Doar $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{ce} \mathrm{K})$.
9/5/72
You will redubor that wecntiy I reported in's cownt thet Jack maderson's colums
 buses on the a as do other recont ones sone of wision I 'Ve noted to you.

Tho fost's hoad iss "how Lrue , wave Points eestward". thia an the colum sayine it are really quito folso. I think you can gat the angle from the beginnine of tiv lead sam
 consist of prosiduch over an onomsous inorease and roiusing to do anythine about the medor
 the GLing which also subsidizes them beaides using its plane: for thomo

Then indorson cites and quotes fron two Cla memos, the dates buing jart or the giveasay
 the uis was about to be witaly publicised. Undor the cirounetances, I think this is not 3 leak but a daliborate foed that, For whatever reakon, andurson took wiciritically. It surves GIh purposes and is so denjened. It says that the now major sources aro "allies" Iran and hîghanstan. an ovon tried to pan blewe on India with a clever fommation in whoh I thini: the avorage reader wilh miss the key words, "legal" and "mocicinti", "hs for India, th docurents suy it nou produces about throemquaters of tise world ${ }^{\text {b }}$ legal opias for macicinel purposes." Control is then said to se a "wyth". low the rality i that at least $3 / 4$ of the opius cones from Siossia, not Zudia, Iran, iffinanisten or arywhere else, and an of thas is tunder the control of cha peophe of varioua sorts.
bspecially sith all the attention to thas woontested fact, bogiming with hecoy's stili-mucontesteci Congrossioninh tertimony, is so obviously propec nisistic a colum causo for thought. It is incompetont reporting, anateuris at best. It hew wew proven false over and over. There wa recontly a lons piece in thu, for example, on thit, - believe also in Hife, there is the attontion to the holpy bovk now being reviewed and out or about to bo out(documenting the cace against the CI itwelf), and there $\pm$ is tha inabolity of the ots to cone up with any kind of rofutation when offered the chance by harpors, which se nod a bit anxious to bet out fron mader llowoy.

If $i$ an without ready explanation or that this means, it is obviour that this is from hizon ana promash propagande and in both cases worse than false for both are responsibie
 atypieal igroxing of The watorgate Caper story and his invoivegent with one of thome armested. That wan, fiowini, has bow thod to the theft of papers from o'isiden's filen and somo of

 claims past comection ith the un. It socms tiat to his bookedge she has a deal ith the Neader's Degeat that he has mason to bliove will include trontan of both ula and dope
 he actualy porumes her that onfa is financing Castro by ship and tope to 0at tro, who
 tho politios involved or the fact. both of wheh proclude the prosibility ara micht, indeed
 of cood proparanda against "the enomy".

If there is one thing that could not have beon secret inom the ous it is ite own involvant in dope an the astoundine incroase in its production an. use by wherieans, particularly troops. frow the outset inportant unough pople hat to heve had suilicient knowlodge, sis is usualy the caro with the politic ny dedicetod, the political objoctive is all thut counts and $t$. othor costs are accopted as eenential to the fuintemsential oujective, the parity of which, naturally, just cannt be question. loo bed, but $c^{\prime}$ est la furre. Therv ia a parallel to virtually every mideary dictatorship o: notem times and virtully every frustration of every effort to overthrow one. Weed one say wor than Wominican Republic"?

Anderson As too axputionce, too sophisticatert, to be unaware that at the very best he is beins usuc. I tink in context is hes to be conmilered that he is conscious of wat he is into and that tids, in turng, wans he is in sowe kind or bind. Whe wetergate baper and the iterini invoiverent do not oxhaust the possibilities.

Tho overt mopaganda for, this isuden overd support or ixon, botin incicate there iay bo som thing rativer surious behind ail of thas.

