8/2 /72 I know you see the Anderson column. In handing me this from our local paper bil hoted that the graph she marked was not in the Post. It is, I think obviously, official propaganda. When I said this, bil said, with heat, which is unusual for her, "I think he's a Nixonite." I'd not have thought it, but it made me think. Not fully. But I do note one quite atypical thing, the more so because of ITT and Eagleton: he has had nothing or nothing of any consequence on The Water Gate CapterUnder any circumstances this would be unusual, but when he has had the long association with an inside figure, at least Fiorini,, it become hard to understand except in the sense of bil's comment. I am not criticizing the Post for cutting this graph. I would criticize anderson for using it because it is so completely illogical and self-serving, so at variance with everything known.