%111#

you ignore, Rankin stated that if the report of Oswald as an egent were true, people would "think there was a conspiracy" and "nothing the Commission did or anybody could dissipate." [sic]

Before any investigation? Before the Commission was even fully organized?

To former GIA chief, then Commissioner Allen Duller, the mere thought was "terrible."

"Pantestic," the late Commissioner/Congressman Hela Boggs sgreed.

"Terrific," was the rejoinder.

Uniquely in this case Hoover and the FBI reached a "conslusion" A lone "Red" assassin and no conspiracy. This was long before its investigation was completed and, as this Commission transcript shows, when they "have not run out all kinds of leads" bearing on conspiracy.

Before the Countsaion got started, the late Supreme Court Chief Justice/ Chairman said Hoever and the PBI "would like to have us fold up and guit."

Boggs saw it: "This closes the case, you see. Don't you see?"

"Yos, I see that," Dulles came back.

This is by no means the only time or place the Commission acknowledged in resords it expected to remain forever accret that Hoover had the Commission besed in from the outset. The fear of Hoover throughout the government and within the Commission is explicit in the January 27 transcript, too.

Following discussion of the FBI's formiceing on any investigation and its stypical reaching of conclusions when that was not the FBI's practics or function and the bit about deciding there had been no conspirecy without investigation, Dulles said, 'I think this record should be destroyed." Other records show that it was. Only someone everlooked the stenotypist's tape.

Illegally, as a federal court held, this transcript and others were classified "TOR SHORT." More is that good conservation and its-cense of outrage over such official missonduct? Or the falisification by the executive agencies to keep these kinds of records suppressed? To keep them suppressed, the government alleged they held the kind of "national security" secrets that could start a war!

Unlike you, who rushed into print equating your projudice with fact, I have been working on this for more than 11 years. These transgripts had been illegally withheld from me since 1967. Do you believe this kind of information should be withheld from the people in a representative society? Or from lawmakers when it is a sui generic insight into how commissions and other bodies work when they believe they will never be found out?

When No less an authority than Allen Bulles (in the January 27 seasion) said GIA perjury is right and proper, the ultimate in petriotic dedication; that GIA people frame each other; that he would withheld information from the Secretary of Defense; and that the kinds of people the FBI and GIA use are "terrible characters," you libel me for bringing this to light in an entirely unpaid, decade-long effort?