## 8/29/93

## Mr. Jefferson Morley, Outlook The Washington POst

1150 15 St., NW Washington, DC 20071

Dear Mr. Morley,

Please exuse the haste with which I rate you, my typing, which cannot be any better, and the forceful language to which I'll resort the resons for which are not entirely to get your attention. They to not intend personal or professional insult.

But to begin with I state without question your article, no matter how well intended, reflects an igNorance of all aspects of this matter I think cannot be excused in the major media after 30 years.

There is no real possibility that the disclosed, if that is what really happened, seconds hold any smoking gun. I address this, among other things, in a coming book the appearance of which I cannot tell you, rather when it will appear.

Wonly to a limited degree can the major media blame the multitudinous conspiracy theories for its ignorance. If it had not used those theories to misdirect its own and public attention for your years, the media would not today be as ignorant as it if. If the major media had made any real effort to learn the realities of the situation, it would have known that the crime itself was never officially investigated, was never intended to be officially investigated, as I document in this coming book, itset and that as result there are neigher genuine investigative leads to be followed or solutions to the **situr** crime in that ocean of records the sheer volume of buich denies any meaningful access to them.

(I have addressed this is an overly haste, oped submission I've mailed to Hr. REESE Rosnefeld. I owe him or hos dpeartment thanks for the Friday editorial I missed and am getting. I am told it as says that there really is something other than conspiracy theorizing. How grateful I am for that!!)

You want the reader to understand? You do not refelct any understanding at all in, "To assert that Oswald acted alone is (in the sense of our hopes and fears about the legitimacy of American institutions), an expression of confidence in American institutions; that the executive branch and mainstream media organizations have ungevered and shared the thruth about the assassination and shared that with the people."

Tragically, the exact opposite is the reality. And what can you say that the major media did to uncover the tmuth? Other than for the most part refuse to pay attention to it when it was given to them.

I skip in your piece as so thing catches my eye, "There was a legitimate fear that the assassination could lead to a nuclear war with the Soviet Union." Do you really think the USSR preferred Johnson to Kennedy? That as the argument by which Lyndon johnson convinced Warren to take the job he knew he should not take so Johnson could trade on his name. It is true that "for years" the major media "shunned serious investigative work on the case" and you say they are returning to it. Baloney! They do not know enough to start and they do not know where to go or to look or what to look for. What will those missing Oswald photos do to solve the crime?

The media's deplorable record is reflected in a tiny degree/by what you may remember having heard somewhere, that an Army file on Osweld " was never turned over to the Qarren Commission" and "independent researchers later learned that the file had been 'routinely"destroyed' in 1973." It was at least three files, all the Army then admitted having, it was not "independent researchers" most of whom are conspiracy theories, it was at Indiantown cap, I learned it, the Post was not interested in it and I gave it to Les Whitten who used it ing a Fack Anderson column.

You reflect further lack of understanding in your conclusion, to which I skip having so little time, "So, now is the time to release all documents and answer all the nagging questions: like what happened to the CIA's photographs of Lee Harvey Oswald in Mexico # City..." Welcome to the world conspiracy theorists, Jefferson Morley, Outlook and the Washington Post!

Of course we should know all those things but they will not and acc cannot do what you tell yout readers they will do. You cannot answer the nagging questions with playing detective-story games. And do you think you have scratched the surface of all the many nagging questions?

Until the major media, the Post in particular, puts its mind together and decides how to think and what the realities are, this will be the magging cause of really great public dissatisfaction.

The little precognized thrust of all my work is that in that time of great crisis and since then all our major institutions, including the government and the media, failed and continue to fail. Reconfizing and admitting this is the required understanding for any meaningful inquiry. To begin.

There is no reason to believe now that the crime can dr will be solved. But what can be done to restore a bit of public confident both the gover/ment and the media Mill require, is the simple thing we teach children and as adults so often do not practise: tell the truth. Admit failure, <sup>P</sup>erhaps ask to be forgiven.

And, of course, Marn from the past and not to repeat it. That is what led to the title of my coming book, MEVER AGAIN!

Frankly, I am aghast that the Post and all others did not stop to ask how in the world there can be meaningful access to 800,000 pages! Or to ask why so many that can be relased are released in one indigestible gulp so few can seeven think of paying for, leave alone digest. Bit I'm glassifies something to think about and I hope that at long last the Post will do some real thinking and come to understand the realities on its own. I hope you share this with others. Sincerely, <sup>H</sup>arold Weisberg