## Please return for 10x Potfile

Dear Jim. Post as propagandist-what today's newest leak can mean to as 11/26/76

While I've been expecting more of this kind of Goebbels operation today's story is not what I expected. I've not even filed the file I started 11/13 when we were in Stevens Point.

I don't know what the story really says. It is too early for the paper.

First I heard a very brief account a little after 3, when I awakened and went back to sleep. When I awakened again about 5 and did get up I heard an item a little longer, by coincidence the first item on waking. The second one says that the CIA's electronic surveillance caught LHO offering the Russian information in return for a trip to Russian

Those Post reporters and editors sure are the keezest! They ask all the searching questions, resson solidly and are determined not be to be had again, as they were on the 11/13 story. So the double-check, consult dependable sources, etc. Lile Kesler told me, they're not proud of the 11/13 gulling.

So when he went to Mexico to make a deal with the Russian to get to Russia Cawald wanted to go by way of Cuba, perhaps the only place in the mestern world from which it was hard to get to Russia?

What priceless information he had! All the darkest secrets he learned while greating coffee machines. Until he graduated to estensible unemployment. "an't you imagine the secrets he learned in daytime prowling of the area of Canal and Camp in N.U.? The Russkies were crary not to accept that offer, weren't they?

No wonder the Post went with the story. Or that Kesler, is his lack of pride in his sheet, had volume 26 opened to CE 2952 when he phoned me the other night, the hoover letter that says the most diligent PBI investigation disclosednot even as indirect had intelligence connection or political one that the Cubans of their friends.

Resler, by the way, is a synthetic would-be old-time reporter who will do saything for what he considers a scoop. To does not give a days is he can get it printed and he has told me that in the sect. To wents to do investigative reporting. Remember the rotten thing he did with Terper on RFN and what he would not use and the retraction the Post would not print when he obviously said what arger could not have said?

On the face this is an unreasonable, illegical story. There is no way if it were legitisate that the Russians would not have said this at or shortly after the time of the crime, when the world had cooled some. It would for them have ended once and for all the US, particularly the CIA effort, to make it look like the Russians were besind it, what scared the hell out of LBJ, with CIA help-read Phillips.

Whether the CIA would have kept its silence is not as certain but I t ink it unlikely, given their political interests of the time. There was also the great risk in not telling both the FBI and the Commission, certainly Johason. LBJ was worried about people thinking he was belief it. Its and Russell had a discussion along this line. Russell told him suspicious would aswer end.

I do not believe it is likely that this secret would have been kept. It is a fake, even if they come up with the intercept in LHO's voice. Esmader, I have this covered several ways with the CLA- with an all-inclusive request and with a separate one that I then wrote further about whee CLA tried to ignore it, anying it was because I did not want to wait natil some time in the remote future when they complete what ever they call a review of all their records. O instead they modahe later leak it to the uncritical Post/

On which "ester tells me he alone is now assigned to the JFK story.

The first such leak convinced me that the time is now for filing for damages from non-compliance. I know the question is time. As it is with their destruction tast is up-coming

These two leaks within a fortaight should be of help before Green and nobinson, in different ways. Defore Robinson on the question of security classification, observance

AND THE PROPERTY OF

THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH

and genuineness; before Green on the probabilities of what she has done to me, which we can't do that way directly but can in terms of what the government does and its cost to me and to the country.

menterande anticipal de la companie de la companie

This is one of the more brasen propagands operations. I feet there is no element of the press that will either say so or even look into it. No was uswilling for the Times to do it with the so-called Hoover meso. That is, he did not see them doing it when I told him there is such a story and how obvious it is and easy to get the proof.

It is fascinating that all this is leaked to the Post. Whether it has a special position of the spooks think the Times will be more critical I've not stopped to think about. That it happens after my fairly strong complaint to Katy Graham, one that a decent publisher would be asking some questions a out, also is interesting because I've heard from none of them.

Sidebart during the briadoast to Schnectady Monday might, to a show with a thought-ful moderator, he arranged it first so that Howard and I could talk during canned commercials and the news and them so Bob Saltaman could join us and not be aired, for about 10 minutes during the news. Saltaman said that he and Howard Simons, Post managing editor, went to the same college at different times, that he had an article in the alamni magnaine, that Simons read it and invited him down. The be repeated soon. When he got there he was ushed into the executive suite, near of next to Traham's office, into a fancy conference room. All the editors were there to meet with him, I think he said from the morning to about one. He did name some. I recall Bradlee and Simons. They have all this interest and don t talk to us? As I remember it Saltaman said he wrote about computerized information retrieval on the JFK assassination and its possibilities, what he has proposed to the new House committee. (I cautioned him about the past in the garbage—piles of the mind on this.)

I could conjecture endlessly on metive, on what someone hopes to accomplish by all of this. The correlation with the new committee can't be ignored. Neither leak seems designed to deter continuation of the committee. It can be argued that either, more both, will be powerful reason for the House continuing the committee. In turn this means what one ordinarily would not expect, that the spooks want the committee continued. I'm not going into this because long ago I said that with the Downings and Genzalezes, later Pauntroys in charge the spooks can use this once and for all to cleanse themselves, as with sever drainings. I'm saying it because it is the fact. If along the Schweiker line, which means ultimate spook exculpation.

It hurse no game agency now to confess that some underling failed in his obligations. I can see GIA throwing Helms into the mentgrinder, the PBI those who were in Hoover's diafavor.

I am saying that both agencies have much to hide and this leads away from what they went to hide. There can, ultimately, be a followup on this, back to square one, that LHO was irrational. Of course he had no secrets and thus could not have made such a deal.

One can even see that this could be sized at detente and reduced military expenditures.

But it certainly is sixed at the present situation and it certainly does establish damage to me with my FOIA re quests of both agencies covering all and for le hong having been entirely ignored.

I wrote the foregoing before daylight, before the paper came. 't is here about 7. I got it and sure enough (I've not yet read it) there is Ron Keeler's by-line on page 1. I turned the TV on to pick the early news up. I get CBS from "altimore now that WTOP tapes it and sires it an hour later. Iswitched from channel to channel at the opening and learned that ABC has ages live from London later in the hoyr, so I'll have to monitor it for the coming hour. The lead news item from Washongton on CBS attributes this to Phillips via AP, with mention of the Post. So Ig guess instant analysis is still possible. ABC carried the item attributed to the Post only. We'll see if anyone axis why Phillips waited so long to speak out and how this fits his "fefense" of the CIA.

FOIA agains you realise that the Cla is supposed to have complied with my requests about a year ago, giving me what is supposed to be all the Hexico stuff, without any record indicating any such thing and with much from "hillips of an entirely different nature, one inconsistent with his silvace on this, one is which his mame is in every case masked. Remember my references to the script for a Seven Days in November? That my stuff. I think I gave you a set of those when I had them duplicated. They begin with the number 1 in their original numbering system, now superceded. However, tale is what they gave the Rockefeller Consission, so there is a hell of a story in that quite separately - that the second Presidential cosmission had all these leads is the area of its mundate and did nothing.

on man consumment in the constitution and the constitution of the

After Ages - with him on a live bookup David Hartman and Steve Bell asked only pointed, antagonistic questions designed to suggest to listeners that he was a KGB or other agent but nos one question about this morning's p. I story when his book, to which they refer, says he was assigned to Mexico City when in the CIA. Great reporting there, too!

I've read the Post story. There is much wrong with it. If I have time before the mail with what else I want to do I'll mid it, etherwise I'dd do that later and separately.

The AP did not see fit to include this story is its B wire from our local paper, which generally prints all it provides on this subject.

Bot a leading news item on econd half HBC's today Show. But they are having a segment on how you can determine you cat's sanity. Cats being more important than government, newspapers and people in both.

No mention in the 10 minutes of news that followed. It would up with a repeat on the Compords from last evening's not TV news.

Lounie, who is about to be eased out - says today may be his last - will watch for wire copy to the degree he is able to.

No time for full analysis but assuming this is truthful, why would the CIA destroy the tape and edit the transcript if it had no LHL consection? Why would Kessler not ask? Why does he avoid saying that "hillips was statoon chief at the time of the assessination and beginning almost incodiately after the alleged intercept?

I recall no such transcript in the WC's files. Naybe it is still withhold. Why does Kessler act go isto this? It would strengthen his story because he would find that it is withheld at the CIA's request. Probably with a claim to "mational security" or "intelligence sources" after the fact of these interceptions is piblic and my FOIA request for them. Long after.

Only an irrational cas would have phoned the Russian Embassy in advance with an offer like t is when he could have walked in, as he did, and then have made the offer. Maybe this accounts for the alleged destruction of the tape.

Resaler asked me, when he phoned, if I thought Duran could have been a CIA agent. I said I did not know but that the CIA's effort to prevent the serious Mexican police harrassment of her could be taken to indicte this. End that all her connections were left. His interview adds nothing to the public record. What it omits is dishomest- told LEO he was an energ of the revolution.

Quote also the initial wrong name for LHO

<sup>₩</sup> 3

No mention that the FOI was in on this in NoCy from the first on the assessmention itself. Its legal attache was stationed in the same station, both in USEmbassy.

Interesting that he quotes selin in a deliberate lie when I told him in advance that this was not Belin's area and saked if he knew whose it was. He said Slawson. I added Coleman and he said yes. So he does not interview or quote either Coleman or Slavson and quote Belin in a deliberate lis. As head of the Rockefeller Commission Delia saw the C'A's Regice City cable and related communications. He has mince asked the CIA for thom. I have shat he has. So both ways he knows it is a lie "that the CIA did not tell the Warren Commission of the report from an alleged witness to a meeting in Mexico City between Oswald and Cuban intelligence agents." This is the "D" ot Alvaredo garte story and is in the commission's files as it is in the CIA's rlease to Selia, men, and I'msure others.

Schweiker also lied. This was out during the lifts of his subcommittee, if the alleged contents were not. Heatily,