Dear Jim, Post as propagandist-what today's newest lank can mean to us 11/26/76

COL: NO

の数据の時間期の言

CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR (CONTRACTOR)

100000

の日本の日本の日本の

While I've beam expecting more of this kind of Goebbels operation today's story is not what I expected. I've not even filed the file I started 11/13 when we were in Stevens Point.

I don't know what the story really says. It is too early for the paper.

First I heard a very brief account a little after 3, when I awakened and went back to sleep. When I awakened again about 5 and did get up I heard an item a little longer, by coincidence the first item on waking. The second one says that the CIA's electronic surveillance caught LHO offering the Russian information in return for a trip to Russia.

Those Post reporters and editors sure are the keenest! They ask all the searching questions, reason solidly and are determined not hat to be had again, as they were on the 11/13 story. So the double-check, comput dependable sources, etc. Lile Kesler told me, they're not proud of the 11/13 gulling.

So when he went to Mexico to make a deal with the Russian to get to Russia Oswald wanted to go by way of Cuba, perhaps the only place in the western world from which it was hard to get to Russia?

What priceless information he had! All the darkest secrets he learned while greaning coffee machines. Until he graduated to estemuible unemployment. "an't you imagine the secrets he learned in daytime proving of the area of Gamal and Camp in N.O.? The Russkies were grany not to accept that offer, weren't they?

No wonder the Fost went with the story. Or that Kenler, in his lack of gride in his sheet, had Volume 26 opened to CE 2952 when he phoned me the other night, the Hoover letter that says the most diligent PBI investigation disclosednot even an indirect LAO intelligence connection or political one - ith the Cubans of their friends.

Kesler, by the way, is a synthetic would-be old-time reporter who will do anything for what he considers a scoop. He does not give a damm is he can get it printed and he has told ue that in the past. He wants to do investigative reporting. Remember the rotten thing he did with "arper on HFX and what he yould not use and the retraction the Post would not print when he obviously said what arper could not have said?

On the face this is an unreasonable, illogical story. There is no way if it were legitimate that the Russians would not have said this at or shortly after the time of the orime, when the world had cooled some. It would for them have ended once and for all the US, particularly the GIA effort, to make it look like the Russians were behind it, what scared the hell out of LSJ, with GIA help-read Phillips.

Mather the CIA would have kept its silence is not as certain but I t ink it unlikely, given their political interests of the time. There was also the great risk in not telling both the FBI and the Commission, cortainly Johason. LBJ was worried about people thinking he was bekind it. He and Russell had a discussion along this line. Russell told him suspoices would never end.

I do not believe it is likely that this secret would have been kept. It is a fake, even if they come up with the intercept in LHO's voice. Remeber, I have this covered several ways with the CLA- with an all-inclusive request and with a separate one that I then wrote further about when CLA tried to ignore it, saying it was because I did not want to wait until some time in the remote future when they complete what-ver they call a review of all their records. O instead they months later lwak it to the uncritical Post/

On which "ester tells no be alone is now assigned to the JFK story.

The first such lesk convinced me that the time is now for filing for damages from non-compliance. I know the question is time. As it is with their destruction that is up-coming

These two lasks within a fortnight should be of help before Green and Robinson, in different ways. Before Robinson on the question of security classification, observance and genuineness; before Green on the probabilities of what she has done to me, which we can't do that way directly but can in terms of what the government does and its cost to me and to the country.

ANTERNANDER UM STATUERDER UMBERDER ANDER DER BERTER ANDER DER BERTER BERTER DER BERTER ANDER DER BERTER ANDER BERTER ANDER DER BERTER ANDER DER BERTER ANDER DER BERTER ANDER DER BERTER DER B

This is one of the more brases propagands operations. I fess there is no element of the press that will either say so or even look into it. No was uswilling for the Times to do it with the so-called Hoover memo. That is, he did not see them doing it when I told him there is such a story and how obvious it is and easy to get the proof.

It is fascinating that all this is leaked to the Post. Whether it has a special position of the spooks think the Times will be more critical I've not stopped to think about. That it happens after my fairly strong complaint to Katy Graham, one that a decent publisher would be asking some questions a out, also is interesting because I've heard from mome of them.

Sidebar: during the briadcast to Schneetady Houday might, to a show with a thoughtful moderator, he arranged it first so that Howard and I could talk during canned commercials and the news and then so Hob Saltzman could join us and not be aired, for about 10 minutes during the news. Saltzman said that he and Howard Simone, Post managing editor, went to the same college at different times, that he had an article in the alignmi magnzine, that Simors read it and invited him down. The be repeated soon. When he got there he was maked into the executive suite, near of mext to Graham's office, into a famoy conference room. All the editors were there to meet with him, I think he said from the morning to about one. He did name somp. I recall Bradles and Simons. They have all this interest and don't talk to us? As I remember it Saltzman said he wrote about computerized information retrieval on the JFK assassination and its possibilities, what he has proposed to the num House consittee. (I cautioned him about the past in the garbagewiles of the mind on this.)

I could conjecture endlessly on mative, on what someone hopes to accompliah by all of this. The correlation with the new conmittee can't be ignored. Neither leak means designed to deter continuation of the committee. At can be argued that either, more both, will be powerful reason for the House continuing the committee. In turn this means what one ordinarily would not expect, that the spocks want the committee continued. I'm not going into this because long ago I said that with the Downings and Consuleres, later Fauntroys in charge the spocks can use this once and for all to cleanse themselves, as with newer drainings. I'm saying it because it is the fact. If along the Schweiker line, which means ultimate spock exculpation.

It hurst no gram agency now to confess that some underling failed in his obligations. I can see CIA throwing Helms into the meatgrinder, the FBI those who were in Hoover's disfaver.

I an maying that both agencies have much to hide and this leads away from what they want to hide. There can, ultimately, be a followap on this, back to square one, that LHO was irrational. Of course he had no secrets and thus could not have made such a deal.

One can even see that this could be simed at detente and reduced military expenditures.

But it certainly is aimed at the present situation and it certainly does establish damage to me with my FOIA re quests of both agencies covering all and for lo hong having been entirely ignored.

I wrote the foregoing before daylight, before the paper cans. "t is here about 7. I got it and sure enough (I've not yet read it) there is Ron Kesler's by-line on page 1. I turned the TV on to pick the early news up. I get CRS from "altimore now that WTOP tapes it and aires ti an hour later. Iswitched from channel to channel at the opuning and learned that ABC has Ages live from London later in the hoyr, so I'll have to monitor it for the coming hour. The lead news item from Washongton on CBS attributes this to Phillips via AP, with mention of the Post. So Ig guess instant analysis is still possible. ABC carried the item attributed to the Post only. se'll see if anyone make why Phillips waited so long to speak out and how this fits his "defense" of the CIA.

2

ŝ

FOIA again: you realize that the CIA is supposed to have complied with my requests about a year ago, giving me what is supposed to be all the Hexico stuff, without any record indicating any such thing and with much from 'hillips of an entirely different nature, one inconsistent with his silence on this, one in which his name is in every case masked. Memomber my references to the script for a Seven Days in November? That my stuff. I think I gave you a set of those when I had them duplicated. They begin with the number 1 in their original numbering system, now superceded. However, this is what they gave the Rockefeller Commission, so there is a hell of a story in that quite separately - that the second Presidential commission had all these leads in the area of its mendate and did mothing.

ana ana ana amin'ny tanàna mandritra dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia

Aftes Ages - with him on a live hookup David Hartmann and Steve Bell asked only pointed, antagonistic questions designed to suggest to listeners that he was a KGB or other agent but now one question about this morning's p. I story when his book, to which they refer, says he was assigned to farico City when in the CIA. Great reporting there, tool

I've read the Post story. There is much wrong with it. If I have time before the mail with what else I want to do I'll add it, otherwise I'dd do that later and separately.

The AP did not see fit to include this story in its B wire from our local paper, which generally prints all it provides on this subject.

Not a leading nows item on soond half HBC's today Show. But they are having a segment on how you can determine you cat's samity. Cats being more important than government, newspapers and people in both-

Ho mention in the 10 minutes of news that followed. It wound up with a repeat on the Concorde from last evening's not TV news.

Lonnie, who is about to be eased out - says today may be his last - will watch for wire copy to the degree he is able to.

No time for full analysis but assuming this is trathful, why would the CIA destroy the tape and edit the transcript if it had no LHL connection? Why would Keasler not mak?

Why does he avoid saying that "Millips was statoom objef at the time of the assausination and beginning almost immediately after the alleged intercept?

I recall no such transcript in the WC's files. Maybe it is still withhold. Why does Kessler not go into this? It would strengthen his story because he would find that it is withheld at the CIA's request. Probably with a claim to "mational security" or "intelligence sources" after the fact of these interceptions is piblic and my FOIA

Only an irrational man would have phoned the Aussian Embanay in advance with an offer like this when he could have walked in, as he did, and then have made the offer. Maybe this accounts for the alleged destruction of the tape.

Kessler asked no, when he phoned, if I thought Duran could have been a GIA aguat. I said I did not know but that the CIA's effort to prevent the serious Mexican police harrassment of her could be taken to indicte this. End that all her connections were left. His interview adds nothing to the public record. What it omits is dishonest- told LHO he was an aneny of the revolution. Quote also the initial wrong name for LHO

1.15 A. A. S. S. S.

10/00/00/00/00/

ġ.

No meation that the FRI was in on this in McCy from the first on the assessmention itself. Its logal attacks was stationed in the same station, both in USEsbassy.

Interesting that he quotes Selim in a deliberate lie when I told him in advance that this was not "elin's area and asked if he know whose it was. He said Slawson. I added Coleman and he suid yes. So he does not interview or quote either Coleman or Slavson and quote Balin in a deliberate lie. As head of the Rockefeller Commission Belin saw the C.A's Mexico City cable and related communications. He has since asked the CIA for them. I have shat he has. So both ways he knows it is a lie "that the CIA did not tell the Warren Commission of the report from an alleged witness to a meeting in Marico City between Oswald and Cuban intelligence agents." This is the "D" of Alvaredo "garte story and is in

the commission's files as it is in the CIA's rlease to Belin, men, and I'msure others. Sokweiker also lied. This was out during the lifts of his subcommittee, if the alleged contents were not. Hastily,