Mrs. Katherine Graham, Publisher
The Washington Post
$115015 \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{t}}$, NW
Washington, D.C. 20005
Dear Mrs, Graham,
In addition to a oopy of my today's letter to Ben Bradlee I maclose copies of two Newsday stories by Les Payae, alyrelating to the Post's reporting and non-reporting about the $\frac{\mathrm{King}}{\mathrm{i}_{-}}$assassination.

Whe Post, which is the major Washington acurce of information, particularly for Hembers of the Congress, subscrites to the Newdiay wire. This gives it a monopoly in Mashington on what Newsday syndicates.

The Post did not see fit to use these stories, which Hewsday did symicate.
The Post also did not see fit to use the wire-service condensations.
There can be reasonable diciagreementa over what is news and what meets competitive editorial judgements for speces in any edition of any paper. Your editors happened to see no values in these stories that the editors of Newsday and the wire services did see.

Whatever the basis of your editors' deciaion the effect has been to make possible a major deception of the Congress. It, Mr. Fawntroy in particular, was deceived by one of the self-promoters and comercializers of these great tragedies of whom Mr. Bradlee had oonfessed fear to me, Aside from the kisleading of the Congress another result is the defamation of the FIII. In ny view if it is held responsible for its actual sins it will be held to account for enough.

I do regard more than what I mention to ${ }^{\text {an }}$. Bradlee as less than honest journalism in today's Post.

If you doubt my estimate of the news value of other Post non-reportings I am quite prepared to gies you what the Post has found without news value. You may then decide for yourself whether the editorial judgamente were based on normal and accepted atandards.

The Post may now be more successful commeraially that whon I started reading it regularly wore than 40 years ago. I do not regard it as the paper it then was.

There have been many changes in the world in these yoars. In my old-fashioned view there has been no change in the need of the people to know, honestily and faithfully, for representative society to be able to function as it should.

Sincerely,
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Tan constintion alone rataea that I bolieve are baxic questicns. One is of the bitenctioy of the press and the Post in carticular. Anotber is the role in which the press, arsin the post in particular, has cast itgolf in recent years. For all practial purpoiza, Iron my own axparionce and obserfation, on basic political isswes the pross



Mine ia monis the more extensive experiences with government mecrecy. I know of no
 In no pingie ease bsivo I ever found a legitinate escrot. In everyoese I have found that the law was corrupted to hids what is emberrasiang to government. I oun racall no secret
 other ady around. The classic example 13 of the Bay of PIgs, a diaaster visited upon u3 by presis secrecy, Yost of the world knew nongthing lise it was coming. Only the dmerican woople Lid ont bscouse the press, including the Post, failed in ita primary objigation.


 on wita tha book only becauna in it he doas not adiress any of the fact of that terrible

A. 700 iencw, thers has never been a trial. Two days after this yas asgared Tine Hew Yory Tiess cixiried a repors on a number of boniks that had been contracted, all in support of the





consini raoy, which has left me free to. work on his biography." Bather than inviatigate give thing, haring inrestignted neither the crian nor the lingering quention of oogspdracy Do doubt the reason you deccribe yonr Plutarch as an investigative reporter, "hired a psychicifint" so he opild better undorstand thit danipresent aythology that is the subject of pearious correspondence batreen us, "what does, it to to a guy to sleep in the same bod with his parenta when be is growing up."
$\omega_{J}$ tilis tribita to "Liveaticative reporting" you and McHillan have made assamans off fial vercatage of the Norld's Nopulation 马fere the actualitiou of life permit no other aisedine
 Thiove intemplou has hin asying "his bock was to have been pablishod four montha after the



Ton a hardonek book to be pablished in lesa than four montha requires that it be



 entirely irrelefont. Thu you have canvertad it into "an esplosive breakthrough" and
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