Rt. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701 9/7/76

Ben Bredles

のこの物理的なたの道と見

CHERNEL CONTRACTOR

「日本のない」の

2640 2000

CONTRACTION OF THE OWNER OWNER

Contraction and the second second second second

Vashington Post 1150 15 St., 26 Vashington, D.C. 20005

Dear Hr. Bradles,

This one I ove you and the Post.

I leave the interpretations to you.

You may recall I sont you a carbon of the letter I wrote ion Whitten about gross inaccuration and being used. And about the time I'm willing to take W be of help to these who want accuracy in what they take to the country. Do taked the time.

About the time Bob Woodward called me about the advance-warning story on the JFK assassingtion, perhaps the same day, Mark Buslansky also called me as what is in the Anderson column you was today.

I know you did not run the whole column. I had that in today's HIPost read to no this afternoon.

At first I did not recognize the Buby sray that had been fed Anderson from some declassified GIA files. When I was reminded I phoned him. I think it was a week ago "enday. It had been some time since I wend these recercis. But there are more of them.

The source of the Ruby mensence, as I remember the mass, was a Briginbur of bad reputation, a payche and one with an and to bury in Castro. After the original reports the CIA did some abcoking in "codes. I've payshrased the results.

Or, a totally undependable source and a single source, regardless of what wishful thinking is added to it. I had abready cautioned the column that it was being fed ald stuff, what you had printed long ago, little of this as you print. On the Ruby propaganda, which is what it is, Solensky told me he know of those other reports. I offered him access to sy files.

I also held him that back in 1965 I had three or four long interviews with an Instican soldier of fortune who had been jailed with Trafficante, that he had made no mention of this and that if it had happened or if he'd thought to make it up he'd have sold it.

While I can't recall as brief a bit of writing with an many disclaimers the anission of that energies t be accidental.

There is no single part of this column that can be believed. It very elviously has invisible angless. But the suspices done not excuse the departure from normal standards and common honorty.

The diagnest I feel is in addition that I feel for this whole wretched campaign to make it appear that JVK get bimedif killed - and deserved it.

Stacerely.

Harold Weisberg

Anderson column of 9/7/76

,

 \mathbb{R}

BM 9/7/76

The WxPost column was cut by what was read to no from the HY Post by phone.

I don't recall ever seeing any writing with as many disclaimers.

The most important one was missings their source, CIA stuff from Bud, was not quoted fully or fairly. This on the Ruby angle.

He is a gramy man and otherwise was evaluated as a worthless source. His name is John Wilson Hudson, as I recall. There are CIA reports from London on this. I did not recall it when Mark Semolonsky first questions no about what is inherently an unbelievable story. I called him back to be sure he knew when I was reminded.

Besides this there is Dewald as a sharpshooter and Reselli's unidentified associates. Read Horgan, Vaddan, or Mafia?

I can't recall a line in this mi column that does not exude awareness of what it was doing, of its basic, intended dishenesty.

A reasonably intelligent high-school kid about the able to see through this kind of writing. Or, they are not worried about their editors or semething else means more.