

11/1/71

Dear Paul,

Enclosed are copies of my two letters to Sen. Jud. and the appropriate pages of what is probably the most stolen book in history, WHITTEWASH. After getting the two-sentence letter from Holloman I phoned. You were not in. I spoke briefly to McKenzie, whose intent was to be helpful. There is no way he can help me, but I appreciate the intent. My purpose was to inform him.

The excerpts from WW, please remember, represent my state of knowledge and understanding prior to 2/15/65, when this book was completed.

That is so far in the past! I wanted to ask you for the citation to Warren's statement that the Bar Association would be counsel for Oswald. If McK give you the message and you phone me, that may save me a long time tonight, for I'll have to start preparing a statement and I'll have to be going into town to mail things, which can coincide with the time you might call.

It is obvious "olloman intends a brushoff.

What is less obvious is that I have another purpose, making an official record that will require Powell to disqualify himself if any Warren Commission cases come before the Court. One is mine for the spectro. I think this partisanship might be extended to anything having to do with political assassinations, including King's.

I have had a note from a NYC friend who has seen the full text of the LBJ book. In it he says that Bobby had full charge of the FBI's investigation of the JFK assassination. It is impossible for me, off the top of the head, to conceive of what LBJ had to know is a bigger lie, and I wonder both about motive and the Macbethian subconscious. LBJ personally saw to it that "obey would be isolated from the investigation. If not the first, it was one of his very first Presidential acts. Why does he lie? I go into this in the book I've just completed, lamentably with ^{out} access to the full text of what can hardly in honesty be called a "Vantage" point. Except in the sense of taking adVantage.

Best,