

Copy of Ramsey Clark letter,  
in response

Mr. Stephen S. Rosenfeld, editorial  
The Washington Post  
1150 15 St., NW  
Washington, DC 20071

Harold Weisberg  
7527 Old Receiver Rd.  
Frederick, MD 21702  
3/23/97

Dear Mr. Rosenfeld,

Because I regard Ramsey Clark <sup>as</sup> one of the victims of the FBI's dishonesties I ask that at least what relates to him that I enclose be kept confidential. If you think Mr. Downie might be interested, or the reporter he had write me an unsatisfactory letter, Kelly, as I recall, OK but the same confidence. The Post seems to be determined to disgrace itself in all reporting (and non-reporting) about the assassinations, as it did in that awful Outlook treatment, and it has that right.

Although I was broke and in debt it was possible for me to disprove all the FBI's relevant lab allegations in the King case. I refer only to Frazier's false affidavit used to get Ray extradicted -in violation of the extradition treaty.

I am not and do not pose as an expert but I did much inquiring and have some knowledge. I therefore note that with all the firing of that rifle after the FBI did not even both to fire it the marks imprinted on bullets fired from it may well have changed. So, testing now may not be definitive. What is definitive is the fact that the rifle could not have been dropped and found when it was if Ray had had it in that bathroom. I have a case, I think a solid case, of the rifle and package having been dropped almost the moment the shot was fired.

All the FBI's evidence is that the shot did not come from that bathroom.

There is so much! So very much. In which none of the major media had any interest other than in dishonesty. CBS News photographed that alcoholic 10 days after the crime showing him picture of Ray when he was using the Galt alias. Not the guy, Stephens told CBS. Which sat on that for years and then let it slide out. The FBI claims to have no record of its interview but it forgot and let me have its paraphrase. Stephens told the FBI it was not the guy. He was so drunk he would not have known if he had seen anyone, as he had not.

After that Outlook disgrace I offered to be questioned by any the Post might want to question me, with a tape recording made for the record for history <sup>from</sup> its record I did not expect that but I did make the offer so that the Post could be informed for the future. "which is wants no more than that it wants to be informed about the terrible past it failed to treat as responsible, caring journalism required....The volume I leave will I fear discourage any later uses.

Sincerely,  
  
Harold Weisberg