

Editor, Outlook  
The Washington Post  
1150 15 St., NW  
Washington, DC 20071

Harold Weisberg  
7627 Old Receiver Rd.  
Frederick, MD 21702  
11/24/96

Dear Editor,

Please excuse my typing and writing. They cannot be any better. I'm 83 and was twice hospitalized this year for congestive heart failure and a number of other medical problems. I must keep my legs elevated when I'm typing and writing. I correct those of the mistakes I catch with the copy on a clipboard I hold in the air while I write with the other hand.

There is much more I could have said than I do in the enclosed but I wanted to keep it to a length that did not exceed the length of Morley's piece. Not that I expect you to publish it. ~~Merely~~ that length would not be an excuse for not publishing it.

What I say of the LeFontaine book is not exaggerated at all. Morley is hung up on it. He has refused to examine any of the criticisms of it of which he knows, and that is a rather small percentage of them. They are so much the subject matter ignoramuses that they claim as their great achievement publication for the first time of what had been publicly available for years through my FOIA lawsuits. And they then misrepresent that, as I believe I told Outlook a couple of years ago.

The impossible consensus Morley thinks would serve a public purpose would require that truth and established fact be ignored. Not many societies continue to be free when that is the practice.

He also makes statements for which he offers no support, like

"The notion that Oswald acted alone has high-level validation."

It has no "validation." Not a bit of it!

It is not possible to be honest, which I have no doubt Morley intends, and say this with any knowledge of the long-available official evidence.

What kind of journalism is it that is with disregard for the readily-available evidence?

Journalism it is not!

Sincerely,  
*Harold Weisberg*  
Harold Weisberg