

ORAL HISTORY 440

INTERVIEWEE: Senator John Sherman Cooper

Cates: Hugh Cates. It's April the 29th, 1971. I'm in the office of United States Senator John Sherman Cooper. Senator Cooper is a Republican from the state of Kentucky. Senator Cooper, would you mind just stating some of your recollections or impressions of the late Senator Richard Brevard Russell?

Cooper: I first met Senator Russell in 1947 when I came to the Senate for a two-year term. I was defeated twice. I've been back in the Senate several times. I served for 15 years. I knew him like all Senators knew him, observing him on the Floor of the Senate, admiring him for his dignity, his presence, his authority and his tremendous power and influence in debate. I'll just say commonplace, but it is correct that he's always considered as an outstanding power, force in the Senate.

Cates: Senator, excuse me, I didn't mean to interrupt you, sir, go ahead, sir.

Cooper: He was often...I remember the first...when he first came here he was very courteous to me. He was always very courteous to people. He would listen to their views, unless he...at times he would get a little irritated because they were so...he could tell he thought they were very prejudiced or biased in their views and were not objective. I was much interested in defense matters having served two years on the Armed Services Committee in '53 and '54. When, after development of sophisticated nuclear weapons where it is so difficult to understand what all these weapons were about, when you were not on the Committee. I would ask him, when he was


words on it may be reproduced in any form; use the unnumbered page or placed in the collections of any institution or individual

from material in the Special Collections Division, THE UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA LIBRARIES, Athens, GA 30602. Unless per-

missions of any institution or individual. Under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the United States (USC 107),

LIBRARIES, Athens, GA 30602. Unless permission is granted, no copy of this work may be reproduced in any form; use

law of the United States (USC 107), this single copy has been produced for reference use only from material in the Special Collections



her very strenuously and his was the most powerful examination. He was of the
courteous, dignified, but nevertheless he searched for the truth. I will
say that we did not get from her any additional information, but I always
believed that Senator Russell thought that she had some fact, not necessarily
that...that it was anyone else but Lee Oswald responsible, but...but that
he had some feeling that she had not told all the facts. I think that has
born out in statement he made a year or so ago in which he said, as I
recall, he had not yet been persuaded that we had all the facts. The
most compelling position he took in the Commission was this: there was
a question of whether or not the shot which struck President Kennedy or
one of the shots, had...had passed through Governor [John] Connally of
Texas on the front seat. To...to find that it had passed through both would
make the decision somewhat easier in the time frame. It wasn't conclusive.
And so there first...an opinion by most of the Commission that we should
say that the shot passed through both President Kennedy and Governor
Connally. Governor Connally was a very strong witness. I see now why he
has the present opinion in the country that he's a very strong man. He's
a very strong witness. He said categorically that he knew it...that the
first shot did not pass through him. And he...I remember he said, "I
turned my head when I heard the shot. It did come from the direction which
you have decided it came from because I'm familiar with firearms. But as I
turned again to the left, I felt the impact of another shot." Senator
Russell just said, "I'll never sign that report if...if...if this Commission
says categorically that the second shot passed through both of them.
I agreed with him. I must say he had great influence with me, but I too
have been impressed by Governor Connally and so the Commission then did
agree that, I cannot recall the exact words, that while there was evidence

1788-1885

document has been reproduced in any form without the authorized permission of the Library of Congress

Smithsonian Institution, National Archives and Records Administration

Under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the United States of America

IBARRA (1788-1885) unless previously published

Smithsonian Institution, National Archives and Records Administration