RUSSELL, Richard B. eference u GEORGIA KENNEDY ASSASSINATION COMMISSION law of the United States (I only from material in the

June 14,

21968

thens

3060

in the col

Senator:

y nor the words on it may be: reproduced in any form; used by an unauthorized person; or placed in the collections of any institution or individual.

 $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ 

with

S is the Information left with me by Mr. Harold Attached hereto Weisberg, who you will remember is the critic of the Warren Commissi who met you briefly in the hall a week or somago.

If the copy of the standard or minutes attached is the only record in the Archives on what transpired at the September 18 meet-ing, it would appear to have very serious matter. Clearly, there are verbatik transcripts available for the other sessions of the Commission. The treatment of voir exceptions to the first proposed draft of the report are obviously inadequate since no real mention i made of them in the attached copy. You will note that Weisberg has included a gopy of the letter to him from the Archivist of the Unite States under date of May 20th of this year and in the third paragraph of that letter the statement is made "No verbatim transcript of the Executive Session of Beptember 18, 1954 is known to be among the records of the Commission." The only explanation of this which I can think of is perhaps the verbatim transcript is still classifie and not available at all. Weisberg requested that if you have suffi ent interest in this matter to make an effort to see the records in the Archives, that you let him know first begause he says he has some other information which he knows you would want to see before g to the trouble of making a contact at the Archives.

With reference to his general criticisms of the Commission, he left with me four books which he has writtengand which have been published critical of the Commission and I have scanned them all and completely mead the first one which was the only one that received very wide dissemination. His work is scholafly and evidences a tremendous amount of research. His basic approach is not to try to prove that Øswald was innocent although acceptance of his inferences etc., lead to that conclusion.

His method is to restrict his criticism; to the actual information which the Commission And and he is critical of the Commission only to the degree that it delegated too heavily to the staff. One of his strongest points of departure with the Commission is on the number of shots fired and on which shots hit Connally and/or o the President. He completely agrees with your thesis that no one shot hit both President and the Governor. He apparently believes that there were at least four shots fired and probably more thus destroying the possibility that Oswald acted alone and independentl

Page 2 June 14, 1968.

ri

Two statements in his book which perhaps are of interest to you are on page 188 in his conclusions:

New Yorks

5

"The Senators who questioned Marina Oswald at that mysterious Sunday night hearing in September, 1964 have serious doubts about the report that were confirmed by her performance."

Also:

"To anyone with any experience in investigation or analysis, the most incredible part of the Commission's inquiry is its complete lack of question or criticism of the police. It just is not possible that the police are as incompetent as this record shows."

Weisberg was at one time a Senate investigator and, through research, he has apparently become very knowledgable on all aspects of the Kennedy Assassination.

I have any of his books which you may wish to see.

CEC

0

CEC:lrr