Mr. Brian Kelly Outlook, deputy editor Washington Post 1150 15 Br., RM Washington, DC 20071 Dear Pr. Pelly, Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Rd. Frederick, MD 21702

In your 3/3, not here until today, you say "I am not interested in... any evidence of who night" have killed King "other than Ray." This represents the preconception of that issue of Outlook and it misrepresents anything I have written, including in my letter to "r. Downie.

So, I have no way of understanding what you mean in saying that "To date, I have seen little or no such evidence."

I'm almost 64 with much clse on my mind and my memory is not what it was, but I believe that what wrote hownie raised question of the journalistic honesty in presenting only one side and that from two with much to hide and mo evidence that ay was not and could not have been the assassin, which developed and for the rost part Jim bear presented at the hearing of several decades ago.

If by this you mean what I think does not interest the Post, you'd be interested in proof that day was not the assassin, that I have, under oath and subject to cross examination.

Jim Lesar consulted my memory on a couple of points so know he and you have spoken. Fact is I urged him to limit what he gives you to our work, and that was without any pretense of solving the crime.

By interest was in making the unwilling system work. I regret that the courts as well as the press insisted on not working in their traditional way.

Sorry, I misread your letter. What you do not understand and what the press missed entirely is that neither the JFK nor the hing cases was ever officially investigated or intended to be. Each was an effort to make a precomption appear to be reasonable. If the Post had not decided that beginning with the very first book on the Warren Connission at would not review any of mine case was entirely on might be aware of this in the JFK from the documentation of it that is at the beginning of my MEYER AGAIN! In the Ring case FBI records I got in CA 75-1996 in which Jim was my lawyer state that all it did was a fugitive investigation.

There are quite of few cases such as the crap you published of those seeking favors making up what they thought could get them favors, like Byers and Curtis, in those FBI files. There is also one rather provocative indication of who did the job. You are welcome to that if you want it. I have it from the FBI's files and I have it from the FBI's source. The FBI ignored it. Naturally.

For you to expect a solution to the crime from lesar is not only unfair, it is unprofessional when you published all that hogsquash from Dick Billings and Priscilla Johnson McWillan. Whose husband announced his book as presuming May's guilt and then saying that made the writing easier. That makes her an authority? A quotable source for the Post? Or publishable as an authority?

Dick billings knew me from when he was at LIFE. be did not speak to me about the King assassination although I had been ay's investigator and rote the first book own on it. He and h is committee began with the preconcretion of Ray's guilt and never looked at anything else. Until the BI palmer Byers off on them. but might be interested in what the bt. Louis Post Dispatch morgue has on that rine gentleman and what his situation was at the time he gulled the bouse assassins.

You publish what I describe as lies. I offered, with no demand of any kind, to address what you published. That you published lies is not of interest the you or to the est? In that owine in particular? And all you are now interested in is what the Post did not demand of the FBI, a solution to the crime by anyone other than May.

If you and the Post regard this as journalism, I do not.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

## The Washington Post

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20071-5530 (202) 334-6000

OUTLOOK (202) 334-7573

3/3/97

Dear Mr. Weisley -Les Downie forme your letter along to me. I had already spoken to James Jesar about witing a piece along similar lines. as I told Mr. Lesar, at these foint in the debate, what of am nost interested in is any widence of who might have done the other than Roy. To Date, I have seen little or no such evidence. We'll see what Mr. Lesar come up with. Sincerly Fria Kelly Deputy SITTL