Mr. Leonard Downie, Jr., editor The Washington Post 1150 15 St., NW Washington, DC 20071

Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Rd. Frederick, MD 21702

Dear Mr. Downie,

3/14/97

You referred my letter to you to Frian Kelly. "e either did not pay much attention to what I said or he paid more attention to what has been the Post's policy on our political assassinations.

Mr. Welley says he is interested in any evidence of who might have killed King other than Bay but has seen little or no such evidence.

Naturally not. He did not look for it, did not ask for it, not even when **#** he had an offer of it before him, and over the years he'd not have seen a word of it in the Post despite the ready availability of it for decades.

There is no likelihood, from what I learned, of ever identifying the actual assassin(s), but the record does exist that Way was not the assassin. It is evidence produced in a court of law, subject to cross-examination, and the Post was there and has some knowledge of it.

The King assassination is one of the most terrible of our recent ctimes. Another is the JFK assassination. The latter, whatever the intent, had the effect of a coup d'etat in a society like ours. That has not been worthy of montion in the Post or any other paper I've seen.

Instead the Post Mas, with both crimes, fallen into line behind failed officialdom, and over the years has not only supported the official assassination mythology, it has suppressed what disproved it. I do mean suppressed and by normal standards that is what it has been.

I'm sorry this imposition of a policy determination on what is or can be news continues. You do not think in those terms, I'm sure, but this is what we found so wrong under Hitler and Stalin, different of it is in degree.

I'm sorry, too, that the l'ost does not take its obligations more seriously. In the future some of you may come to regret this and in the present you alienate many who are turned off by this policy.

Harold Weisberg

Mr. Brian Kelly Outlook, dejuty editor Washington Post 1150 15 Sr., NM Washington, DC 20071 Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Rd. Frederick, MD 21702

Dear "r. "elly,

In your 3/3, not here until today, you say "I am not interested in... any evidence of who might" have killed King "other than Ray." This represents the preconception of that issue of Outlook and it misrepresents anything I have wriften, including in my letter to ¹⁴r. Downie.

So, I have no way of understayding what you mean in saying that "To date, I have seen little or no such evidence."

I'm almost 64 with much clse on my mind and my memory is not what it was, but I believe that what - wrote ^hr. ^Downie raised question of the journalistic h)onesty in presenting only one side and that from two with much to hide and *MD* evidence that "ay was not and could not have been the assassin, which ¹ developed and for the most part Jim Lesar presented at the hearing of several decades ago.

If by this you mean what \perp think does not interest the Post, you'd be interested in proof that day was not the assassin, that \perp have, under oath and subject to cross examination.

Jim Lesar consulted my memory on a couple of points so \perp know he and you have spoken. Fact is I urged hig to limit what he gives you to our work, and that was without any pretense of solving the crime.

By interest was in making the unwilling system work. I regret that the courts as well as the press insisted on not working in their traditional way.

Sorry, I misread your letter. What you do not understand and what the press missed entirely is that noither the JFK nor the hing cases was ever officially investigated or intended to be. Each was an effort to make a precomption appear to be reasonable. If the Post had not decided that beginning with the very first book on the Warren Coartission it would not review any of mine you might be aware of this in the JFK from the documentation of it that is at the beginning of my <u>NHVER AGAIN!</u> In the king case FBI records I got in CA 75-1996 in which Jim wasz my lawyer state that all it did was a fugitive investigation.

There are quite q few cases such as the crap you published of those seeking favors making up what they thought could get them favors, like Byers and Curtis, in those FBI files. There is also one rather provocative indication of who did the job. You are welcome to that if you want it. I have it from the FBI's files and I have it from the FBI's source. The FBI ignored it. Naturally. For you to expect a solution to the crime from Lesar is not only unfair, it is unprofessional when you published all that hogsquash from Dick Billings and Priscilla Johnson McWillan. Whose husband announced his book as presiming Ray's guilt and then saying that made the writing easier. That makes her an authority? A quotable source for the Post? Or publishable as an authority?

Dick Billings knew me from when he was at LIFE. he did not speak to me about the King assassination although I had been ay's investigator and rote the first book own on it. He and h is committee began with the preconcretion of Say's guilt and never looked at anything else. Until the faBI palmer Byers off on them. You might be interested in what the St. Louis Post Dispatch morgue has on that fine gentleman and what his situation was at the time he gulled the house assassins.

You publish what ¹ describe as lies. ¹ offered, with no demand of any kind, to address what you publish d. That you publish d lies is not of interest ti you or to the diff. ^on that okime in particular? And all you are now interested in is what the Post did not demand of the FBI, a solution to the crime by anyone other than Way.

If you and the Post regard This as journalism, I do not.

Sincerely

Harold Weisberg

The Washington Post

1150 15[™] STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20071-5530 (202) 334-6000

OUTLOOK (202) 334-7573

3/3/97

Dean Wh. Weisley -

Les Lownie pour letter along to

me. I had already spoken to Tares Jesan about writing a prece along similar lines. as I tall Mr. Lesan, at these point in the debate, about I am most interested in is any wridence of who might have done their other than Roy. TO date, I have seen

little on no such linkance. We'll see abot M. Lesar come up with.

Sincerty Bris Kelly

Deputy Som