LAWYERS CLASH **ON WARREN PANEL**

Aides of Commission Debate Mark Lane and Author

SAN DIEGO, Nov. 17 (AP) Two attorneys for the Warren Commission, which investigated the assassination of President Kennedy, clashed today with two critics of the commission's report. A challenge to file a libel suit was issued.

Joseph A. Ball, a senior counsel fo rthe commission, and Wesley J. Liebeler, a professor of law who is a commission junior attorney, traded sharp statements with Mark Lane and Edward J. Epstein, authors.

At several points, Mr. Lane, author of "Rush to Judgment" who is the attorney for the mother of Lee Harvey Oswald, the assassin, called the com-mission report fraudulent.

Appearing on a panel at the Associated Press Managing Edi-tors Association convention, Mr. Ball and Mr. Liebeler called the report fair and conclusive and criticized Mr. Lane and Mr. Epstein, who is the author of "Inquest."

Mr. Ball hinted that the critics of the report had ignored evidence in shaping their versions of the assassination. The commission found that Oswald alone killed President Kennedy.

Kennedy. At the core of the controversy was the decision of the Govern-ment and the Kennedy family to withhold from public release autopsy photos and X-rays of the dead President's body. Mr. Epstein said that most theories that the assassination was the result of a conspiracy depended on the autopsy photos.

Autopsy Report Cited

Autopsy Report Cited He said the autopsy report indicated that one bullet had gone through President Ken-nedy's throat and struck Gov. John P. Connally Jr. of Texas. But, he said, a report by two agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, who were pres-ent at the autopsy, said only one bullet had hit the Presi-dent's back and it fell out of the wound.

dent's back and it fell out or the wound. "The autopsy photos might resolve this mystery," Mr. Ep-stein said. "In a nutshell, if these autopsy photos reveal that the bullet exited the throat, the possibility there was a sec-ond assassin will be virtually reduced to nil. "If they reveal that the bullet

in question did not pass through the body, that would substantially reduce the probability that Kennedy was killed by a single assassin."

Professor Liebeler and Mr. Lane had the sharpest exchange, with Professor Liebeler inviting the author to file a libel suit against him.

The law professor said that The law professor said that Mr. Lane's book was a "tissue of distortion" and he said when he had made similar charges previously Mr. Lane had threat-

ened to sue him. "I've been waiting anxiously for those papers ever since," Professor Liebeler said. "If you have them here I'll be glad to have them here I'll be glad to accept service of process be-cause you know very well as soon as you do that, you're going to have to submit your-self to deposition under oath, and go through discovery pro-ceedings, and that day I'll wait for, Mr. Lane."

'You'll Have them'

Mr. Lane, sitting next to Mr. Liebeler, said quietly, "You'll have them very soon." Mr. Ball said the Warren Commission investigation "was the most intensive ever conduct-ed in this nation."

"If we had followed the same distortions as Lane did in his book, I would be ashamed of myself as a lawyer,' he said. He said Mr. Lane had drawn

conclusions that were not warranted by the evidence and called this "the technique of propaganda."

propaganda." Mr. Ball is a Long Beach, Calif., attorney and a former president of the California Bar Association. Professor Liebeler is a professor of law at the University of California, Los Angeles. Mr. Lane and Mr. Epstein live in New York. In another development, dele-gates to the convention were

gates to the convention were told that the proposals of the American Bar Association in the free press-fair trial contro-versy did not interfere with freedom of speech or of the press.

Right to Remain Silent

Grant B. Cooper, a Los An-geles attorney who is a mem-ber of the American Bar As-sociation's advisory committee on fair trial-free press, pre-sented the lawyer's side at a panel before the 33d annual convention.

Speaking for the press was Sam Ragan of The Raleigh (N. C.) News and Observer, who opposed what he described as a plan to impose censorship of news.

Mr. Cooper said that lawyers, in proposing the restriction of certain information about criminal matters, were merely ex-ercising the right and duty to remain silent on matters that interfered with the right of fair

trial "If you have read and carefully considered our whole re-port, you should be aware that we have not interfered with we have not interfered with your untrammeled right of free-dom of speech, or your undenied right of freedom of the press," he said. "We have not told you what you can or cannot do. This is

"We have not told you what you can or cannot do. This is left to your sound discretion." "It is true," he said, "that we have recommended that a rule be adopted by the courts that in preliminary matters be-fore trial, all or part of some hearings may be held in cham-bers, 'or that the public, includ-ing the mess. he excluded from ing the press, be excluded from the courtroom under certain circumstances.

"This right to hold limited closed hearings has always been inherent in the courts and does not interfere with either freedom of speech or of the press."

"In short, we have sought to insure that every defendant be given the fair and impartial trial guaranteed him under the Constitution, and once fairly convicted, that we avoid the expense and burdens of appeals and retrials resulting from the legal profession's misconduct," Cooper said.