

Assassination Book Called 'Distortion'

STANFORD (UPI) —An attorney for the Warren Commission said yesterday autopsy X-rays of assassinated President John F. Kennedy showed "all shots" fired at him were "from behind and above."

Prof. Wesley J. Liebeler of the University of California at Los Angeles also urged this information be made public. He told 650 students at a Stanford University Law Forum that a best-selling book indicating more than one assassin shot at Kennedy was "a tissue of distortion... a masterwork of deceit."

Liebeler referred to attorney Mark Lane's "Rush to Judgment." Lane represents the mother of Lee Harvey Oswald, murdered assassin of the late President.

Lane told the Stanford Law Forum last week the Warren Commission report started with the assumption there was only one assassin and sought evidence to support only this contention. In his book, Lane contends that doctors at first said one bullet entered Kennedy's neck and another through his back.

The Warren Commission found that two of three bullets fired by Oswald hit the President, and one of them exited through the neck and caromed through the body of Gov. John Connally of Texas.

Detailed analysis of a handprint taken from the bottomside of the rifle found in the Texas school book depository, said Liebeler, "not only proved to be Oswald's but had particular configurations and markings which could have only come from holding that weapon."

Liebeler said the autopsy made on Kennedy was the "best evidence" for determining where the shots came from.

"This showed," said Liebeler, "all shots were from behind and above" the late President.

He said the Warren Commission "has not suppressed any evidence of any kind" in the investigation.

Stanford Daily, 1 Nov. 1966

• Proper Place ¹¹⁻¹⁻⁶⁶ *Daily* Editor, The Daily: S.

The reception given to Wesley Liebeler last Monday was a disgrace to any institution of higher education. The belligerent attitude assumed by many Stanford students exhibited lack of objectivity and common courtesy. The opposition to Prof. Liebeler's remarks simply because he is a representative of the government is childish. I am shocked that any portion of our "academic community" could act in such a narrow and biased manner.

Under the pretense of education many students seem to be charting a course in the extreme opposite direction, towards bigotry and ignorance. The exhibition Monday towards Prof. Liebeler is only one example. Careful inspection of our immediate environment (our student government perhaps) show many of our ideals are degenerating into anarchy and our sense of values is disappearing into a mist of radicalism and false idealism. ED DAVIS