The Bir Ranftel from HUllsberg 3/22/86 I forget & real this earlier in Early Joly. make a few comments While right my it ugan, enclosed. with repeal to boenco, it is definit to evaluate what with the pering of time water Knew/believer m1964 but unless he was withen detached from any CIA comment on most to a sende he could not believe some of This. dome just out the of what is central intrelevent, H'arren says he dight believe connally but he heard him. Listening & notenho del not obligate the Commission it use on even believe a word the ourd to Warren dres not explain not even talking to moren to Penhops by was in-Amenal by The LBJ line in persuiding him to become chairman. a un bo important & pust to queenes, a guid berg shows us history is too important to trust to historians. O have Warren reproto to totansue dis unsum of mounto. at one et allos. only, 6/23. If you hursit read if you should! Ford was an agency CERILITIANDANT. puble believing that gold beig is seller a nimpy. (FBI+cit) hat chet min then, to prevent listening & North, I have own "new "hourto records prot, with de recards, Can make a fine story, both C/A & F.B. But if uny reports is interested / want to dla with min personally, (M)

p.2 - LBJ the Warren the others had cined to serve if he's be cliserman. While I doubt This is the because so many clished warren's views, from where Russell toll me t is not true. Russell said he dictioned firmly and unrid he heard he had been appointed any way had believed not LBJ had decepted the refusal to sure p3 Warren discloses his instant assumption of Oswall's (lone?) guilt. and gold berg Can spel Tuppit's man. p.5 Warren disclose ignor unce of the most bein prensie widence, saying That when allegedy Ballet 399 struck to bonds in 3 ports of lonnelly's body it didn't touch any bones no wonler he said (1.4) That we can't would have permitted use of & X-rays because That would have been seen averse to orwald's interact. Consolo's through would have seed from I'm une. "." Warren humaelf charactering os The Commissions conclusions as Theory. p. 8 "Deleter reference is to Worrand Willens Unnumed reportso: " The CIA cleared him of chicanty "hot so. Helmis Ald Warren & CIA had finally clicked hoonto was a phony. also not pue. 1.9 Inaidille Duit Wenen stays had takke been a price it would have Alsted only 3 days. Only with multipleme at all?

ASSASSINATION ARCHIVES AND RESEARCH CENTER 918 F. ST., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004 (202) 393-1917

17 March 86

Dear Harold:

Thank you for the information on Whelan and Acheson's possible knowledge about a tape of the autopsy. I have yet to get in touch with any other sources who might know whether an audio tape ever existed, but will keep you posted.

Enclosed you will find the Warren interview. Beyond the colorful gossip re: the Commissioners, I find most interesting his statements about Nosenko (page 8). Let me know what you think.

And I am still trying to coax Kevin or Jim to get me out for a visit soon.

I'll be out in California for the most of next month, but can be reached either through this address or at:

Box 7604

Berkeley, CA 94707.

Sincerely,

Robt. Rouftel.

3842 N. 26th Street Arlington, Va. 22207 June 22, 1974

Dear Mr. Chief Justice,

Enclosed is a copy of the interview with you on March 26. I regret that it has taken so long to transcribe. Please make such charges as you wish and send it back to me. I shall have it retyped and send a copy of the final version back to you for your files.

I greatly appreciate your kindness and patience in granting the interview. I hope that I shall have additional opportunities to meet with you and discuss the Commission and other matters of mutual interest.

Sincerely,

Alfred Goeding

Mr. Alfred Goldberg. 3842 N. 26th Street. Arlington, Virginia 22207.

Dear Mr. Goldberg:

I am writing again in reference to the article you enclosed with your letter of June 22md.

While Chief Justice Warren did not have an opportunity to respond personally to your letter, he did read the article and expressed reservations to me about the wisdom of including the material concerning the personal and political views of certain members of the Commission. He checked the parts he thought should be deleted, and I have crossed them out on the copy I am returning herewith. He has never made any comment about the difficulties he may have encountered with the other members, and after reading what he had told you he felt it would be better if those portions were not included. I am sure you will understand,

Best wishes.

Sincerely yours,

Margaret K. McHugh, Secretary.

Interview with Chief Justice Earl Marren

March 26, 1974

By Alfrad Goldberg

- Q. What were the circumstances of your selection and that of the other commissioners?
- A. Two or three doys after the oscassination I was in conference with the Court when I was told that the Deputy At orney-General -- Nick Katsenbach--, and the Solicitor General --I believe it was Archibald Com-- were waiting to see the. They told me that because of the rumors and the worldwide excitoment about the assassination, the President wonted to appoint a condiction to investigate and report on the entire matter. The President wanted me to serve as the Chairman of the Commission.

I had jven thought previously to the matter of Supreme Court Justices surving on Presidential Commissions and had concluded that it was not a good thing to do. I had expresse myself on the subject in both private and public. I declined the offer and as ed Katzenbach and Cox to tall the President why I did not consider it appropriate. I recommended some other people, whose names I do not recall, for the position. Katzenbach and Cox went away, and I thought that that settled it.

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

About an hour and a half later I received a call from Fresident Johnson asking me to come up to the White House to talk with him. I went to the White House. The President told me that he felt that the association was such a torrid event that it Could lead us into⁸ war, and that if it did it would be with another world power. He said that he had been talking with Secretary of Defense MeN mara who had told him that the first strike in an atomic war would cost about 60 million people. I stated my views about the matter

but said that if the President thought it that important for me to serve I would subordinate my views. Ha had already told me the names of the other members of the Commission. He said that all of the others had agreed to serve if I would be the Chairman. The Fresident had pointed out that one of the dangers was that the Attorney-General in Texas would try to set up a hearing and have a carnival down there in Texas. Also, the Congressional Committees in Mashington would try to set into the act. He said that if I agreed to serve as Chairman of the Commission all of these other interferences would cease. I said that if it was that important, I would serve. Johnson announced the appointment by 7:30 p.m. My conversati wth him too' place about 5:00 p.m. Johnson also said he would order the Federal establishment to make any and all facts available and to help us in every way.

I didn't see Fresignet Johnson more than two or three times during the whole time we were working on the report. And on those occasionss there was never any discussion of the Commission. The same thing goes for everybody else in the White House. No one tried to touch or guide us. We held up the Report while we checked out last-minute items on Oswald, particularly the one about him stopping in a Texas town that was supposed to have LBJ allies in it. We had to check on runors and we did. I didn't know that Abe Fortas had any role in gettin; the Commission idea accepted by LBJ. I had no contact with Fortas at that time.

Q. Did Fresident Johnson communicate to you any of his views about the assassination? He is reported to have believed, at least initially, that it was a Communist plot.

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

- A. It was obvious from my talk with Johnson that he was thinking of khrushev and Castro. There were rumors around the world that this was the way the Communists had taken to get rid of Kennedy. These rumors were influencing people here and abroad. It was not clearly expressed by him. There were, of course, two theories of conspiracy. One was the theory about the Communists. The other was that LBJ's friends did it as a coup d'etat. Johnson didn't talk about that.
- Q. Did you have any preconceived ideau about the assussmuti n? Did you entertain the notion of some kind of a conspiracy at the beginning?
- A. As soon as I read about Oswald working at the Texas School Book building and leaving it as he did -- the only employee to disappear -- and after the gun was found, with the cartridges, it seemed to me that a surface case was established. These circumstances, followed by his trip from the building -- getting on a bus and getting a transfer, then getting a cab -- killing Tippet -- and running into a theatre where he tried to shoot the policemen who came to get him -- those made a case. I never put any faith in a conspiracy of any kind.

When this and other information surfaced quickly -- the gun from the mail order house-- there was a case. The only thing that gave me any pause about a conspiracy theory was that Oswald had been a defector to Russia at one time. I don't believe that I ever developed any conspiratorial theory.

Q. How was the Commission Staff chosen?

A. The selection of the staff was left to Lee Rankin. He may have asked me about people sometimes, but I left it to Rankin. He may have known some people were friendly and acceptable. I don't know whether any commissioners recommended any people. I thought that the lawyers ought to come from different pert of the country und and not from one group. I wanted the men to be independent and not to have any connections that might later be Ombarrassing. Rankin showed me a list of senior and junior members and the geography -- where they came from.

Q. Do you think that any useful purpose would have been served by appointment of an adversary counsel?

- A. We were plagued at times by Mark Lane. He claimed to repre ant Oswild's mother and wanted to have an adversary role in the proceedings. We invited the American Civil Liberties Union to participate in our proceedings. They were appreciative but said they didn't want to be there all the time. There were opportunit, for public testimony, but only Lane requested an open public hearing. We invited the American Bar Association to sit in on all of the hearings. They accepted the invitation, and a local lawyer sat in for them much of the time. We never offred to hold adversary proceedings. We took the position of not prosecuting but investigating the facts. We may have discussed holding adversary proceedings, but I don't remember. We brought Lane back from Europe to testify, you may remember.
- Q. Do you feel that the investigation was thorough enough? What do you consider the most important loose ends?
- A. The Commission felt that the investigation had been thorough enough We couldn't think of anything left uncovered or any witness unhear I still think so. No one that come forth with anything new. All of the Commissioners were agreed. There were no loose ends.
- Q. On reflection, do you think that it would have been better to have permitted <u>trre</u> the Commission Staff access to the X-reys of the Freedent?

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

A. On reflexibion I do not believe that access to the X-rays should have been given. The public was given the best evidence available the personal testimony of the doctors who performed the sutopsy. In a trial, the court would not have permitted the X-rays to be introduces because it would have operated against the defendant. The decision was itrigely mine and the Commission approved. I had seen people trying to get artifacts related to the assassination of the President, and I knew that they would try to get pictures of the President's body. The photos were sent to the Department of Justice and were not to be revealed without the content of the Kennedy family. They should be there for all time to come. They represent sequestered, not destroyed, evidence. President Johnson got a committee of pathologists to go over the X-rays with the consent of the family. They examined the pictures and considered them consistent with the doctors' testimeny. For good or ill, I take full responsibility for it. I couldn't conceive permitting these things being sent around the country and displayed in museums. And carnivals and barkers and that sort of thing. You remember that the Department of Justice condemned many of Oswald's artifacts.

- Q. What is your recollection of the Commission's consideration of the single bullet theory?
- A. I was inclined towards the belief that the first bullet went through both the President and Governor Connally. I felt that the bullet found on Connally's stretcher in the hospital had caused both wounds for the simple reason that neither wound involve bone -- the bullet just went through flesh. The force of the bullet wis subdued when it passed through the President and it spent it clf going through Connolly. I didn't put much faith in Connally's testimony at all. John McCloy cited two instances in WorldWar I when people who had been shot a considerable time before didn't know they had been struck at all, and then after a few second dropped deas. I didn't put much faith in Connally's having heard a shot and then feeling the yound. A shot can deaded one's enctions or reactions for a mort time.

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Only three shots were fired. No one could have fired from the knoll or the overpass without having been seen. That didn't cause any particular debate on the Commission. Senator Rinsell was not there -- he was rarely there. I was disturbed about him because he was always saying he was going to resign because he was too busy. He was busy with the Armed Services Committee. I couldn't talk with R asell because of the segregation cases. I sent Remain over to talk with him. I wanted Ressell to be aware of everything that was going on. I wanted him to put a representative on the Condission Staff. He put a women larger from Atlanta on the Staff and she kept him informed of what was going on. We had no difficulty with Russell then. I don't remember him being in on consideration of the single bullet theory. Before we put out the Report, Russell wanted it held up so he could go down to Texas and go tappugh the Depository Building. He could well have questions the single bullet theory.

- Q. What do you consider the most important issues faced by the Commission?
- The non-conspiracy theory was probably the basic decision of A. the case. Two groups of the public thought ore was compiredy -they were at opposite ends of the spectrum. It was the Communists or the right-wingers who did it according to these two views. They disagreed violently on what kind of conspiracy and who would be involved. The Commission made the basic decision that there was no evidence of a conspiracy. I think that enhance Allen Dulles was a little uncertain at times as to whether there had been a conmiracy or not. But thi never ripened into bloom. I am not sure but that For: may h ve believed in a conspiracy. He may well have said something on the subject, but i don't z remember. Ford wanted to fire Bell and Redlich because they had attached the House Unamerican Activities Committee in the masty Ford also had a complex about the State Department. He wanted to get something into the Report about the State Department. After the Report had been made, Russell made some statement to the effct that he wasn't sure that there had not been a Communist compirecy. Russell attended the final Commission menting and went to the White House when we presented the Report to the President.

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONCRESS

-6-

- Q. What do you consider the most important contribution to the Report made by the Commission?
- A. It was remarkable that the Commissioners all agreed on anything Politically, we had as many opposites as the number of people would permit. I am sure that I was anothen a to Senstor Russell because of the Court's racial decisions. Hale Boggs and Ford were not congenial — there was no camaraderie between them, they were at different end of the political spectrum. Atlen Dulles and Jack McCley were not p rtisan at all. McCley was very helpful throughout. I doubt if he missed any meetings and he heard most of the testimony. Mc Cley had very positive views, but he was not partisan. He was objective and extremely helpful. Dulles was also helpful. He had a fertile mind but rather proliferate. He was a little bit garrulous, but he worked hard and was a good member.

Normally, in organizging a staff you take people who are similar in thought and have one point of view. To the contrary the Commission Staff was from all over the country and without any previous connections among them. Consequently, it was less likely that we would get a cohesive report. The diversity of selection of the staff may have made a positive contribution to the Report. On the Secret Service and the FBI, the Commission didn't think that Sam Stern's report was objective or logical. We relegated it to the files and had it reworked. We would have looked bad if we had failed to report point out that the FB1 had had reason to look up Oswald before the event, knowing all that it did about Oswald. J. Edgar Hoover was furious about it. But it just had to be done; it couldn't be passed over. We felt-we had to call it to the attention of the public. The FBI had notified the Secret Service about only two people in Dallas before the visit. And we would also have looked silly if we hadn't mentioned the Secret Service agents going out the night before the assassination in Dallas. The staff was in contact with the FBI all of the time during the

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

investigation, and the FBI could influence a lot of people and persuade them not to criticize. There was another factorthe Department of Justice cont a youn; man over to the Cornicci to act as lisison with them. He was very critical of me from the time he come over to us. Lor Renkin an Objef Councel was in a very delicate position. I had the prostast configure in Renkin.

- Q. Did the Commission insist on or push certain lines of inquiry?
- I can't think of anything in particular. On one occasion we considered the matter of a defector from the Soviet Union. Α. The CIA cleared him of chicanery, and we discussed whether to use him as a witness. I was adamant that we should not in any way base our findings on the testimony of a Russian defector. There was a lot of discussion of this matter among the Commissioners but no argument. After considerable discussion, we decided not to use Lis testimony. He had given a clear bill of health to Oswald as for as being a Soviet agent. Much later, at Anderson House, I ran across CIA Director Helms. He took me agide and told me that the CIA had finally decided that the defector was a phony. Ford was especially interested in international aspects of the assassingtion. Everybody on the Commission wanted to visit Dallas. Russellcouldn't go most of the time, but he went down later. Some of the Commissioners went individually. McCloy scouted the place on his own. Boggs was a good Commissioner. He amproached thing: objectively. I found in very helpful. Boyys was friendly and Ford was inte onistic.

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Q. Were you generally sati fied with the operation and performance of the Commission Staff?

A. I think that judgin from what developed I have to be satisfi with the work of the Staff. Some of the Staff members diunat work too hord. But all in all I think the Staff did a good job. After all, the individuals weren't free to roam over the whole situation. They had to submerge themselves into particular aspects of the investigation and the Report. I gave my attention to the senior members of the Staff. I talked to Joe Ball for instance, and with Bert Janner and I can't give a firm opinion about the younger Bill Goleman. I dealt first with Rankin and then with others. I have men. always operated by picking someone I could trust and giving him the greatest latitude. I had great confidence in Lee Rontin. He had proved his integrity to me in the Dixon-Yates case when he was Solicitor General. We had to have a minimum of "ublicity if the Commission was to do its job, but we couldn' prevent witnesses from talking to the press. I had thought of Warren Olney for the Chief Counsel job, but someone said that we shouldn't have anyone who was already in Government for that job. I agreed. McCloy recommended Rankin for Chief Counsel.

Q. Do you think that the Report might have been more thorough and more accurate had more time been allowed for the job?

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

A. We had all the time we wanted. If we had gone any further we would have gotten into the political mess of the presidential campaign. There were no new avenues left to explore. When we had found somethin, new we did stop and explore. Doubts always arise in investigations such as this one and sometimes mulling things over may lead to doubts that confuse. I believe you have to bring things to a head. Ten months is a long time. This murder case could have been tried in the courts in not more than three days on the facts. The only real question h ad to do with whether others were involved. Putting it all together was a real job.

Q. Were there any special problems in working with J. Edgar Hoover and the FBI?

-9-

- A. There were no special problems from Hoover and the FBI. I saw Hoover only once during the investigation. We had lunch. The Secret Service was very cooperative and so was the CLA. Feop e don't remember that we had all the facilities of the U.S. Government investigative sgencies at our disposal. The police departments throughout the country helped. We got scientific findings. We had an enormous amount of help. Never in the history of the country have so many responsible agencies focused on the facts and tried to determine them.
- Q. Are you awere of any political consideration of any kind or any exercise of political influence on any commissioner from any source?
- A. I don't believe there was any political activity at all. On the part of Ford it was a matter of political orientation rather than ideology. I am positive there was no bint of political influence. I think I can say the same thing about the staff.
- Q. What do you consider the chief weaknesses of the Report?
- A. I have always had a pride of accomplishement about the Report. I don't feel that there is much left to be desired from the Report. We reported every bit of evidence we took in the case. The records are in the National Archives. We did reach agreement among a group of men who were not noted for their homogeneity. It seemed to me that we had an enormous amount of help from agencies of the overnment at the direction of the Fresident who instructed them that nothing should be held back. We got everythin we wented. We achieved as much proof as could be
- achieved. If I had had doubts whether the FBI and the Secret Service were performing and that it would take something additional, I would have indisted on access to their records, and the rest of the Commission would have too.

Q.

To what do you attribute the negative criticism of and the growing doubts about the Commission and the Report?

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

- A number of things greatly contributed to putting doubts in ·A. the minds of people -- the chaotic conditions in Dallas, the system of news gathering, and the relationship of the press to the news media. Many things that didn't happen at all also contributed. And people all over the world are conspiratorial- minded. And often there hav been conspirations. But our history has not been that way. Outside of the Lincoln assassingtion, there were no conspiracies. The attempt on Truman was conspiracy of sorts. There's no use discussing this with people overseas. Almost everybody there thinks it was some kind of a conspiracy. I talked with the press a couple of times overseas -- in Ethiopia and Feru. About 1955 on 1967 the Associated Press wanted to go into the whole thing and assigned two men to do a definitive story. They worked a whole year and turned out a book, but it was never published. They agreed with the Commission, and that was not news.
- Q. If you had to do it over again, what would you do differently or have the Commission do differently?

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONCRESS

100-0-

A. I can't think of anything at the present time. I don't remember anything that was basic to the report or its integrity. I wouldn't want to revise anything at this time.