

Rd 5, Dilworthtown Rd.
West Chester, Pa. 19380
March 23, 1968

Supreme Court Justice Earl Warren
United States Supreme Court
Supreme Court Building
Washington, D.C.

Dear Justice Warren,

Writing as a deeply concerned American, I would like to know if in light of a large mass of information developed by the responsible critics of the Commission you headed in 1963-64, do you feel a reexamination of the evidence surrounding the assassination of President Kennedy would be warranted?

It seems to me the following issues and others not mentioned here must be reexamined and possibly a reinterpretation of them is necessary.

1. Examination of the photographs of the autopsy to determine the exact location of the back wound of the President. Was it at the base of the neck or 5-6 inches down from the base of the neck? Tied with the evidence on the Zapruder film and other photographs, the trajectory of the bullet could be exactly determined.
2. Examination of the original 8mm film of Zapruder to determine whether Connally was hit by the same bullet as the President. If not, what people shot the President and the Governor that day? Also, did President Kennedy's head move forward until frame frame 312, then jerk violently backwards after frame 312. If so, who was the assassin in front of the parade?
3. Can the features behind the picket fence on the grassy knoll in the Moorman picture be determined? Can the feature in the window next to infamous sixth floor window of Book Depository be determined on the Robert Hughes's film?
4. Gathering together and examination of all presently available films, photographs, taken before, during and after the assassination to see if evidence, not reviewed by the Commission, may contain basic clues as to the activities of various people involved that tragic day in November.
5. Investigate the some 20 to 30 death's possibly related to the assassination.
6. Was Oswald possibly a CIA agent? His high security position in the Marine Service as well as his ability to return to the U.S. so easily (with his wife), possibly infer this relationship. The lack of lookout cards on him in our security departments also seems unusual for defectors. In addition ~~San Antonio~~ New Orleans activities should be reexamined in light of his possible ties to conservative groups which were working with the CIA.

The above are only a few unanswerable questions which the Nation should address itself so that a significant portion of the well read people of this country can agree with the government concerning the activities surrounding that November. The Commission's

Supreme Court Justice Earl Warren-2
March 23, 1968

explanation concerning the events surrounding the death of our President contains too many possible flaws to be accepted without reexamining various issues. Do you feel a return look at the evidence would clarify these areas of doubt and thus help out the growing credibility gap developing between the Government and its people.

Will be looking forward to your comments,

Sincerely yours,

Gregory Pierce

P.S. Keep up the great work on the Court.

Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
THE CHIEF JUSTICE

April 1, 1968

Mr. Gregory Pierce,
Route 5, Dilworthtown Road,
West Chester, Pennsylvania 19380.

Dear Mr. Pierce:

In response to your letter of March 23rd, I must advise you that the Chief Justice has a very firm policy of not commenting on the Report or the work of the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy.

Very truly yours,

Margaret T. Wright

Executive Secretary
to the Chief Justice.