000259

20935

PAGE 117 of 120

MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION

Chiol SR

TO:

CIA Work in Support of the Warren Commission

The substance of his meeting with Mr. Allen Dulles, Mr. J. Lee Rankin, Chief Counsel, Mr. Wellins of the Justice Department, Mr. Helms and Mr. Rocca, which took place on the afternoon of 14 January 1964.

2. Mr. Angleton said that Mr. Diffles, approvently provoked by press accusations that the Marren Condission has been dilatory, asked CIA for suggestions of diestions or requests to be included in a letter to the Soviet Government. This letter, from the Warren Commission and to be transmitted through the Department of State, would seek additional evidence which is presumably available in Soviet files which could assist the Commission's deliberations. Mr. Dulles did not have a detailed idea of what he wanted to ask the Soviets for but did say that the questions should be "not too technical." Although Mr. Angleton thought that the letter should be written only after the CIA report and comments have been completed, in order to make the letter as effective as possible, Mr. Gulles felt it should go now, be phrased in generali-ties and allow the Soviets more flexibility in their handling of the matter then would a more pointed letter based on our concrete findings. Mr. Dulles asked CIA only for the questions and not for a draft letter. Mr. Murphy suggested that we ask only for contain records rather than put specific questions to the Soutets. Mr. Murphy suggested that these might include. Soviet Respital records connected with Lee Osraid's attended suicide, city visa and registration records for Moscow and Minsk, factory personnel records from Oswald's place of employment, etc. Also Oswald's diary noted that his case had passed through two commissions in the USSR; we might ask for the records of these commissions as well. Mit Angleton said that in transmitting our suggestions to Mr. Dulles we can include any introductory paragraphs or explanatory corrests that we

felt necessary to explain to Mr. Dulles the direction of our thinking about these proposals.

(Note: I instructed Mr. Wigren to prepare a draft of suggested queries, using the assistance of DERYABIN and to have this ready, if possible, by the close of Dusiness today.)

3 Rankin stated that the Commission wants to account in detail, minute by minute and hour by hour, for Cswald's time outside the United States. Mr. Bagley suggested that the narrative chronology of Oswald's stay in the Soviet Union be converted to a chronology tabulation which would perait us more simply to insert added details and dates as we learned of them in other documentation which we can expect to recrive shortly. This was agreed.

(Note: I instructed Mr. Wigren to begin recasting the narrative immediately into this form.)

4. Mr. Rankin said that the Commission has a waist-high stack of documents and reports received from the FBI and Secret Service which CIA has not yet received. These include Secret Service interviews with Marina Oswald, letters from Lee Oswald in the USSR and other material. He promised to send this material to Mr. Helms shortly.

(Note: Fe will pass appropriate parts of this material to DEBYABIN for further analysis slong the lines of his earlier work on documents already in our possession.)

5. Message Angleton, Murphy and Rocca considered DERYA-BIN's initial analysis of Marina Cswald's documents, and the questions to which it gave rise, to be a worthwhile and important contribution. CIA would be unable to make and serious analysis or even hypothesis until some of DEEYABIN's questions had been answered. Mr. Rocca suggested that DERYA-BIN's paper be recast into more of a questionnality form and Mr. Bagley agreed, pointing out that DERYABIN's comment and speculation could be put off to the side as applanatory comment on each question, as appropriate. May impleton and Mr. Murphy thought that it would be desirable to send this paper to the Warren Commission in advance of other CIA reports with a memorandum informing the Commission that we would need answers to this interim questionnaire in order to reconstruct a detailed chronology of Oswald's time in the USSR and to comment on his activity there. The Commission would be asked to procure the information from Marina through any Agency it might choose.

0002598

(Note: I instructed Mr. Wigren to prepare the DERVADIN paper in this format urgently.)

6. Mr. Angleton reported that he had asked the Commission representatives certain questions pertaining to our use of defectors in analysing the Oswald papers:

bave defectors analyse the material?

Mr. Wellins thought that the answer to this question is yes. However, Mr. Angleton asked for a formal request from the Commission to this effect. The FBI has stated that its reports are not to be passed to AELADLE, which may cause some complet cations.

b. Would the Commission be prepared to assume the burden of responsibility if such a defector should disagree with the Commission's opinions or come up with a radically different hypothesis or interpretation?

The persons present said that the Consission would.

co If a defector who had contributed to the analysis of the documents should be unwilling to appear personally and formally before the Commission, would the Commission exercise its subpoena powers against him?

> Ar. Rankin thought that if there was a risk involved for the defector, the Commission would not do so. Ecwever, Rankin promised to get a formal answer from the Commission on this point.

d. Will the classification we put on our correspondence to the Commission be honored?

> The answer was that in principle our classification would be honored. How ever, Mr. Rankin warned those present that CIA should not hope to evade criticism or responsibility through classification of its material.

6. Mr. Angleton reported that Mr. Richard Davis is representing the interests of the Department of State in this inquiry. Mr. Theapson had ruled himself out because he was Ambassidor to the Soviet Union at the time of the events in question.

7. One matter which had been brought to light by the Oswald case was the need for a mechanism in the United States Government to record and assimilate our knowledge of the Soviet handling of American defectors to the USSR. The Bureau is apparently in some danger of criticism for its failure to keep an eye on Oswald after his return. Similarly CIA has not systematically collected nor compiled information on Soviet defector handling. (had kept a list of American defectors at one time, but these individuals have not been debriefed on their return to the West.

8. The Commission representatives at the 14 January 1984 meeting estimated that the Warren Commission would need from three to six months to complete its task.

9. Dir. Bagley raised the question of the desirability of having DIRYABIN carticipate personally, representing the FBI as an interpreter perhaps, in a debriefing of Marina Messra. Angleton and Murphy were convinced that CM must avoid circct involvement with this investigation. Although it is less efficient as a method, we will content ourselves with putting written questions to Marina to be surriced by whatever Agency the Commission may choose to use.

> Tennent E. Bagley Chief, SR/CI

> > 02500

00

ì.