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## Dear Kevin,

When last I apoke to Mark allen he gave me to believe that yoi have the feeling I do not trust you. I do not recall what it was or was about but it is not so.

As this will show.
Yesterday I had a second meeting with a publisher. The first was a lunch in Washington. This time the publisher, chief editor and counsel came down for the day.

Their minds blew. They sau such things as you have never seen. You can, of course.
Now they will have to decide if they fear retaliation. If they do not there will be an unprecedented opportunity.

Not just for a one-shot and not just to print - with real promotions without which printing accomplishos little.

It involves no conflict with your work on the comittee, no areas of question of your breaching trust.

I write now and ellipticaliy because the continuation of the committee is anything but certain.

Because I know from two sources how you got elobbered when you wanted to do the necessary.

And because I think you may be a bit disminchanted.
I would like to know if you might reconsider in the event there is the deel that is in the works.

Either way I'd like this news to be between us. Jim is the only one who knous. There are reasons.

If you are not in apxpser a position to judge then I tell you that your committee's leak on CBS TV Saturday was as dishonest as all the earlier ones, in some ways more so, and that $R_{a y}$ said nothing new last night on Who's Who. All that and more is in his testimony. The leak is a direct plagiariam of the work of a frlend of mine who was folloving up on my Milteer work. It was published in Miami magazine dated October. He used it in a job application the with the comaittee. He visited us at the time.

I know the committe's assumption is that $k_{\text {ay }}$ is the Fing killer and that his brother Jerry is "Raoul." They'll swallow their teeth on thise if they live long enough. But in this they were helped by Hay's appearnnce because of the kind of person he is and the odd way his mind works.

