5/22/71

FH, JNS only,

Some time ago I think it is you who gave no copies of some of Bud's N.O. files, which I put aside to go over when I could. As I awnited an overdue guest today I started and I've gone over the Garrison Bartes file. It is incompetent and inadequate, but there are several things of minor interest in it that can become major in considering Oswald.

It shows the FBI could have done much beterr on the rental of the space at 544 for several reasons: the record existed and were in possession of the man that Wall allegedly interviewed; and this man was in regular touch with the FBI, not at all indicted by on-the-Ball-Wall. Therefore, they had some notive to hide this. And the renting group was after er coincided with GRC, I'm incoined to think the latter. But Neuman's com out that some still used the space in his undated letter is interesting.

Should you ever see any other reference to the lawyer Belier, first par. Martin's 7/29/67 memo, it would interest no much. The law firm is in the same bldg as Marques-Dies, I think the Baronne Eldg. It has been a long time...But I have a tip from an undependable source on this firm.

His close liason with the FBI (4) is fascinating, considering Wall.

J Buznedo ent in \$1. Mede him important to JG? What else?

The directorate and officers of the CHC are well-known locally. It may interest you to know that "asariego did the CIA's broadcast from WWL for years. Bringuier's absence from Bartes' meetings is hard to believe, knowing Bringuier. Unless there were political wheels within political wheels. Ditte for other I presume directors de la Vega and Rodriguez, who aspired to head the group.

I am filing these in a separate Bartes file.

TRU

Abb that stuff on Hemming and Davis was not new-big story in papers. So, what did they get from hin?