Mark Lone, Empathy, WWDC

46.21.49

White Parts

allaliceated

Sugarante

1969 - C. -

ALCONT OF

ale and a second

Says the committee is much being killed. Question is it killing itself.

He attributes to "one of the most effective campaigns by the intelligence community." It is "their counter-attack against this investigation."

The "three people in the media" who have done all of this, most impass, Burnham, O'Leary and Lardner. "They are certainly activists."

Accuses Burnham of "creating news" and says there are no real questions about Sprague's background. In fact is all was published earlier in ^Dhilm, papers. Burnham said less, omitting the ACLU's criticism of Sprague for a lack of concern

for legal and Constitutional rights.

"They have not told the truth and they have not raised serious questions." My own about conflict of interest go back to the day he was appointed.

Lardner in N.O. On Shaw "We now have documents from the CIA under the FOIA" that prove it. I published it in 1967.

"The last person to see David Ferrie die, though he never was indicted,...was George Lardner. He's sort of been an activist." Campaign to allege that "ardner assassinated Ferrie by Lane's associates AIB, Cutler, etc.

Objects to the Post and Lardner not reporting his speech in Dellums office.

"Yet in today's New York (sic) Post Hannage Lardner qu tes in full a man named "arold Weisberg, who is a critic of the Warren commission of sorts---"

I was not quoted in full.

Fisk, "Who is he?" Lone, "Why is Weisberg quoted ... I don t care of Lardner quotes me..."

It is only when "ardner wants to attack Sprague that he goes out of his way to look me up. I'll stand on every word I said. "ardner has known me for a decade.

He also says I attack the concept of the committee. This is an overt lie. Mine is the first book to ask for a Congressional investigation and I've spent hours with this one, which is a large part of the cause of my criticizes of it.

Pretends that Lardner has "set me up" an an authority. Not only have I done more, published more and continued with it when mark was commercializing and when he copped out but the DJ had certified that I, not he, know more about the case than anyone in the FBI.

Says "he will not makes the mistakes the Warren Commission made." He begins with it, by presumptions of guilt in both cases, by leaking it prejudicially and dishinestly and by including it in the report.

He says he has spont 15 years looking into the JFK assassination. "e has not. "e did not do all the work in his own forst book, once it was out went around making speeches instead or working and when he had milked the compuses developed other interests until suddenly this became a popular cause he could latch onto again. Tell the story of the blacks in New Orleans and the rental cars.

Fisk: the objections are to the nature and cost of the investigation. ^True. Lane equates this to the cost of building a garage. If relevant this does not address the nature. Nor does he do more than repeat Sprague in referring to the cost of looking for ^Pattic Hearst. Same with costs of Warren ^Commission. This does not address the legitimacy of the 13 million, its meed or how it would be spent.

Go into budget- smallest item for witnesses.

" isk is right in saying "wuthout knowing" in relationship to their expendtires, including junkets. And in this lone has gotten away from the nature of the investigation

Even the reference to the Congress not exercising oversight and not being able to get records is phoney. He did not say what real effort it made. 't passes laws. Why did it not pass a law if it wanted access to these FBI records? Why does it not sue the FBI, as I do and Lane does not? I get records. And I have already bequeathed then to the public.

Fisk is right in saying the Congress has not enacted the laws to enable.

112.44

1.1

al shewing

新日本の

Hosty letter- Lane implies first knowkedge before Edwards committee. Tell story. Include Hosty's destruction of all records and who knew.

When Oswald was questioned "the agents said "Oh, we forgot to use a taps recorder."" Goes into absence of stence. This is not the account of taps recorders and the business of no transcript somes from my work, not his.

"Took me two years to find a publisher" for his first book, which he protends he had written two years earlier. Both are false. "e began with a pugblisher and did not meet his contractual oblightions. He had not written it then as he says in his own second book.

"5000 ditations and references." Example, the first 10 are all one. Some are to non-

In fact he had given up and a young woman with more guts that he had arranged for the publication without him referring to her in it or the paper to which he was indebted that had been edited by her father.

"I think that the compaign to attack this committee... has been orchestrated by the intelligence organisations of this country." He?

Lane says that the PSE should be used after people testify - endorses the device when the AGLU takes the opposite position. What is wrong with the human mind in evalutaing trust, or fact, except for those like Lane who do no original work. He even lies about Sprague "mothing thinking of buying one." His budget had provision for two. tell about results of PSE's on this subject.

Says he did not attack me in response to first question.

Then, "I wrote the original screen play for the film Executive Action." It comes from Farewell America. Even eriricises the late Dalton "runbe because their script had to be rewritten. In fact they were thrown off the set.

"It is basically somewhat funciful the work that "arold has been involved in."

"The Washington Fost will utilize...anyone to attack the formation of the committee." I did not attack t e formation of the committee. My book was the first for it, not hise

"Weisberg I believe is not a sincere critic of the Warren Commission." The reason is because I will not say that wrong is right because it serves "ark's commercial purposes.

By approach is "disruptive and takes us off the basic questions." Only because I do not support "this investigation." Tick off the jackassery - the FRI leak, the GIA leak, the Ray in Portugal bull and the leaking of my own work to Anderson as the committee's own work. Use Milteer as example. This is not what I want of my Congress, if it is the career of a professional plagiarist, ane. "s says what I told Lardner is "for the purpose of undermining the work of these who want this committee to continue."

Lane has faith in Gonzales preconceptions on both Ray and Oswald, Connally's clothes, Weberman.

"If given the subposes power." What did tagy do with it when they had it? They didn't even take subposes when they went to Memphis and then led the members of the committee to believe that what I gave them the prosecutor, who had refused all records, had given.

He rfused to debate me saying "I would sooner have a discussion in a mental institution .

Here is where to show the Freep. Tell about BSC and not a farthing. And say the illustrations are legion. Invented footnotes to nonexistent sources.

He says he'd be happy to meet with "ardner and Leary.

"What have I said" about what the papers said. Use my quotes.

Criticizes statements of opinion in signed articles. This is one of the functions of signed articles, traditionally. And I am not their apologist. The Fost has never reviewed any of my books and never said a good word about me. In fact they bowed to mane's blackmail threat to sue them when I said he is less than honest.

He says it is unfair for "ardner to quote me. Why did he not say he quoted Lame? Met and use his quotation of Lane on Sprague. He said "ardner quotes no others.

He says the committee's side not used. Post on Kesaler and Goshko stories and the tremenduous play they got, whole front page one Chicago paper in Hovember.

"We represent" the American people. In lies? Leaks? Prejudgements without investigations?

I think I represent the American people in wanting truth and honesty and in not wanting their ^Gingress imposed upon by the ricoff artists like Lane who has parlayed this into what is reported as a six-figure deal.

The caller who said "you threw the baby out with the bathwater" is right." He is right in calling Lame "Diamonf 'im Brady." But to this point he has not pointed out a siggle e error in anything I said.

When Downing retired "there was bo chairman." There is succession by seniority, as when tere is death, to continue functions.

Can Mark still protend Gonzales was then for Sprague.

difficient in

C. S. W. S. S.

Sector States

Says the reason he would not take counsel's job is he felt it was wrong. Not his sixfigure deal?

Says this committee has not made the mistakes of the Commission with the FBI. Instead it hired BJ lawyers, who are above investigators and direct them.

After he booby-trapped the trusting committee- the unchecking committee - into believing that the Memphis pelice had destroyed their King files he now says that "all 198 180 boxes" he describes as en ing surveillance were destroyed. "s knew this was a lie before this broadcast and the fact is the Memphis authorities had not destroyed their King files. This lie of his, uncritically repeated by the committee and then defended by Syrague is one of the reasons for despest doubt about this committee. He says the committee needs subpens power to prevent this but they heald bearings without issuing a single emmittee subpens. Even after they discussed with me in the interpreters what subpoenses to issue on who they had not done this imminemath a month later. Why did he not tell you why this committee and Sprague did not issue subpenses promptly and now claim they have to be continued to issue them belatedly?

Asked can we use the FBI in some way he talks about their "track record." What is wrong with sunpoenacing their agents and getting their records? I'm deposing some and I'm not a committee and have no tax money - or any support from the ¹anes and other commercializers.

(Not to use- at about 15 on side 3 he says that Hoover turned the entire Hing assassingtion over the the SAC Atlanta who then turned it over to the "get king" squad. "The same squad which for years had tried to destroy Dr. Hing.)

"I never speculate_about who was involved or what the motive was." Here use Freep. And what he said when Shaw was indicted. "I deal with just facts."

(Almost 60 goes to memphis with Abby Mann.Said Dr. Ming was a friend of his ans asked mark to meet him there. Ot this Hark says "And the material I discovered in those three days is what I wrote about in that article (Newsworks), and when as moon affect the we discovered this evidence we want to see Coratta ing in Atlanta and gave her the we information and than I came back to Washington and sahred it with some of the members of the black caucus. First one was Andy oung. ... and with Walter Fauntroy ... Ivonne Burke ... und they arranged a meeting which has not been much talked about in the press but Coretta King came to Washington to meet with "ip O'Heill, who is now the speaker, and with Garl Albert" and asked for the investigation. ...And now we've seen a very serious attack orchestrated upon the committee and upon its counsel. 't is my ewn belief" that anyone in Sprague's position would be attacked. By me? (end aide 3)

Legislative purpose - where was Lene in the original resolution - my history on this.1975

Says Sprague is cautious. Mexico? Hemphis? Fortugal? Ray and Oswald the assausing? Not even the immediate serving of subposmas? This is being cautious?

Goes into Executive Action as "a fiction film based upon hard fact." How about the book? "Everything in the film was based upon hard fact. ..." Everything in the film not him but good but in spite of this it is a big success. Lamarre, Steve Jaffe.

Asked about his appearance on the Merv Griffin show he says it was live in DC "What had happened is that several weaks before then Robert ennedy had sent an emissary to Jim Garrison in New Orleans. And the emissary said that Robert Aennedy wants you to know that he supports your investigation into the assassination of his brother. and if he is elected Fresident he will blow the thing sky-high, he will find out who killed his boother...but he cannot say snything now because there are guns between him and the White House." He has embellished this total felsehood not to include a second emissary with the message that "if he wine that last sajer primary in Galifornia he may very well break it that night." Now I had known about that for some weaks" He did not talk about it because he felt he had to be secret. He says it was with "ack Anderson in the andience. And asked "obert's position he said that with the primary over "I think I oan tell you." So he says he then told the story. It is 100% phoney, as "arrison said.

Says Sibert-O'Neill report was a top-secret document. It was never classified.

Q4

He says, falsely, that Francier did ballistics tests in fragments the size of pinhead.

"The bullet was removed from Dr. King's body, intact. Hushroomed at the top but intact." • then says that same ramier said this was not sufficiently intact to determine. First of all this iss not true in any part. Franker spoke of deformity and mulitation. Second of all it is my work he is in the habit of stealing. I had to file a lawsuit against the DJ Wilk to get that ym record.

ands at 50.

Adala,

Nederije.