lie. Loloxob

November 9, 1966

POSCE -

1 - Hr. Deloach 1 - Kr. Rosen 1 - Hr. Helley

Tighteliary to editable that MORRES CALIFORNIA REPOR HCV191855 12, 1961 SALLAS. YEXAZ

1 - Kr. Shroder 1 - Kr. Rausech 1 - Hr. Wek

1 - Kr. Copred 1 - Kr. Sulliven

SY40V31S

WARREN

A three-hour television program entitlet, "A Ac-Exemination of the Warren Commission Findings: A Minority Report," will be telecast over NERN-TV, new York, Ecu fork, on 11/12/65, at 9 p.m. This progress will be sired over wrong. Chennel 5. Washington, D. C., 8 p.m., 11/12/66. This television progress will be a penal-type show and perticipants are Jacob Coles, Pann Jones, Hark Line, Leo Seuvage, and Harold Melaberg. The moderntor for this show is Jim Dishop. The New York Office. through established sources, obtained a transcript of this show which was recorded August 30, 1966, and it has been reviewed.

Merk Line, Loo Sauvage, Harold Felabarg, and renn Jones have ell written books critical of the findings of the Frontdont's Consission and these individuals have been the subject of previous nemorands showing that their theories. observations, and writings in many instances were propered out of context and did, not reveal the true picture. Thistelevision show furthers their efforts to cloud the issues. They have developed no new information or new evidence which indicates that any individual or group of individuals was responsible for the essessination of Prosident Kennedy other than Les Hervey Carale.

Mr. Jecob Cohen said he is a defender of the Consission, however, in some instances, he is critical and contends that come portions of the Frenident's Commission Report ere carelossly argued. Based on the information furnished, Hr. Cohen was not identified in Bureau files. The moderator of the show, Jim Bishop, is on the Special Correspondent's list and commented he is the only one on the show who "agrees with the Merren Commission Report es it stands."

Throughout the panel discussion, several points era taken up. Diebe include events leading to the essessinations the "clingte" in calles at the time and whether it was dancerous 69 10.7000

62 NOV LE1965,00

ŞI

NOT RECORDED

126 hu. 14 1966

Wisipels

Beach with the Constant

Resprenden to Ar. Deloach
For Assassibation of president John Fitzgerald Rendary

for the President; the question of ownership of the execution title; the question of the identification of the execution title the question of the identification of the execution title by the Delias Folice Department when it was first found on the sixth floor of the Taxas School Book Depository Ruilding; the accuracy and the expectly for firing of the reseasination rifle; and the validity of various photographs and movies that were obtained and taken during the essessination.

The FBI was mentioned in several instances. For example, Crville kix was one of the Dystanders who photographed the motorcade in Dallas and the film he took, plus his camera, was utilized to establish the sequence of events of the assaulation at the re-enactment. Lune alleged the "hix" like was ruined by the FBI and that the camera was also destroyed by the FBI. These allegations are absolutely false. The cutopay of the Fresident is discussed and mention is made of the report submitted by Eurocu Agents who witnessed the sutopay examination performed on the Fresident at Bothesde Beval Bospital. It is stated that the report propered by our Agents was "a very imprecise document" and "it's a very incomplete document." Our Agents accurately and completely reported the opinions expressed by the attending sutopsy physicians.

Fenn Johor, a Texas Hewspaper editor, expounds the theory there is exacting sinister in the fact that at least 14 people are now deed who he alleges had some extra knowledge or connection with Lea Harvey Oswald. He suggests that Dorothy Kilgellon's death could be part of an over-all plot to kill persons connected with the assessination. Kilgellon was a former newspaper columnist who was granted an exclusive interview with Jack L. Ruby by Chief Justica Herren. She ellegedly died from a toxic combination of barbiturates and alcohol.

Ko new evidence was introduced during this television show and each panel member endeavors to support his oum theories and observations. This television show will be recorded by the Laboratory Division and therester will be reviewed by the Desestic Intelligence Division, the Concret Investigative Division, and the Laboratory Division to determine if there is any deviation from the taped script the Eurosu received.

**VCLION** 

For information.

) See details

CONTINUED - OVER

سيت

Reportable to Mr. Lelonch 1.11 ASSIDSTRATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN PITEGERADO KEKSENY

## PITAILS

A three-hour television program estitled, "A to-Examination of the Warren Commission Findings: A Minority Maport," will be telecast over MINETY, New York, New York, on 11/12/66, at 9 p.m. This program will be sired over MINETY. Channel 5. Mashington, D. C., 9 p.m., 11/12/66. This television program will be a panel-type show and participants are Jacob Coben, described as "historian, suther of the article, The Missing Couments;" Penn Jones, "Editor, the Midlothian Mixror, and author of "Forgive My Grief;" Mark Lang, "attorney, and author of "Ruch to Julyment;" Lee Sauvage, "correspondent for Le Fighro, and suther of "The Cawald Affair;" and Marold Meisberg, "author of "thickwash: The Maport on the Marken Report." The Medarator for this show is Jim Bishop, syndicated columnist.

The New York Office, through established sources, obtained a transcript of this show which was recorded August 10, 1966, and it has been reviewed.

rour of the five authors participating in this show, namely. Mark Lane, Loo Shuvaço, Marold Weighere, and form Jones, have been critical of the findings of the Provident's Complesion and have all published books sotting forth their opinions and observations bread on the Commission's findings. In this show, they continue to pursue their ellegations that a conspiredy was involved in the ossessination of Fresident Konnedy: that the President's Commission ignored vituasses and evidence, manufectured evidence and destroyed evidence to reach their conclusions; there is nothing to show that orweld wee the assessin of Freedent Kennedy; and, neveral individuals who were interviewed in Delies, Texas, relating to the espansination have died under mysterious circumstances. Resprends concerning comments made by three individuals relating to the nateenination have previously been prepared and it was determined allegations made were without foundation or substance end in each instance, it was found they devoloped no new evidence which indicated that any other individual or group of individuals was remponsible for the escassination of President Fennady other then Lea Hervey Cawald.

Mr. Jacob Cohon said that by and large, he is a defender of the Commission and "what I defend, rather what I am convinced by, is that there is one and only one decasin." he said he did not defend the Commission springs the charge that "in some of ide jointe, it is rusty, and that some of the report

Har prandum to Mr. Delo-ch Art Assassination of president John Fitzgen/LD Kimnal/Y

is carclessly argued. The indicated there are documents which the Commission never any which ere pivotal in its argument and, if they were nade public new, could effectively verify or silence some of the theories of his colleagues on the show, referring to Leno, Sauvage, Meisberg, and Jones. Based on information furnished concerning Cohen, he was not identified in Sureau files.

The moderator of the show, Jim Dishop, is on the Special Correspondent's List and has said that he is the only one on the show who "agrees with the Warren Commission Report on it stands."

Throughout the penel discussion, several points are taken up such as the events leading to the assessination, the clinate in Dallac at the time of the assessination and whether . it was dengerous for the President, ownership of the assarsinetion rifle, the various photographs and sovies that were taken and obtained during the investigation, the quarties of the erroneous reporting of the assessination rille when it was first found on the sixth floor of the Texas School Book Depository Building, and the accuracy and capacity of firing of the and in this respect, the FDI has been critized, depocially in connection with the observations of special Agents Sibert and O'Rolll who promptly submitted their 70-302 as to what informstion was furnished to them by the entopsy physicians. The penalindicated this document was "a very imprecise document" and also that "it's a very incomplete document." This comment is without justification, as when the autopsy was consucted, the autopsy physicien, Commander throop, was not evere a bullet had person completely through the President until he confirmed his theory on the following norming, 11/23/63, that an exit wound appearing in the front of the Fresident's throat had been obliterated by a trichestony performed on the President et Parkland Hospital. Unlies, Texas.

The television enright had we received is 131 pages inlength. Fore specific connects have been witherwar to point out the inequalities and inconsistencies that exist enough these panelists. On page 10, Mark Lane referred to a photograph of Les Harvey Oswald which above him holding a rifle and he mentioned that apecial Agent Shaneyfelt tretified this picture had been altered and doctored. This statement is out of context

DETAI**LS** 

Cor clivet-over

handrendum to hr. Deloach . h : Assassination of granium form fittigeanly knownedy

13.

en apecial Agent Sheneyfelt tentified before the Commission that this photograph had been "retouched" prior to publication in Various pagazines and newspapers and further the Commission determined the newspapers and publications retouched the photograph themselves and it was not the Eureem.

On page 21, Weighery commented to the effect the assessination rifle reached the Laboratory without any right on it, that the sight was in an inoperative condition, and that the sight could never be made to operate. The rifle was received in the Leboratory and the telescopic sight was ettached locally to the rifle, but it is not known what condition the rifle and telescope exchination were in at the time of recovery by the Dallos Volice Repartment.

Ca pages 25, 26, and 27, comments are made by both Leo Sauvage and Mark Lone regarding the assumition for the essassination ride. They were exploring the availability and reliability of the assumition used. Lane commented the winchester-Wastern Company sent a letter in which they said because the assumition was old, it is now of questionable reliability. The moderator, Jim Mishop, commented, "Didn't the FBI test fire this thing the following day, asturdays" to which Lone replied, the Government did test the weapon "with this old Italian carbine ensumition ..."

The empunition of the type mentioned was evcilable in Dallas, Texas. Accountion was used and test fired on humerous eccasions by the Fil Laboratory and was found to be in excellent physical condition in every respect. Chronograph tests showed it to perform according to the specifications of the menufacturer. In addition, Special Agent Robert A. Francer of the Leboratory Division, testified effectively regarding this matter, including the firing and accuracy of the assassination rifle, before the Frendent's Commission on 3/31/64, which closely established the weapon was a useful weapon. This information is contained in Volume III of the Destings Refore the Frendent's Commission on the Assassination of President Kannady, commencing on page 390.

A point is elso made by the panelists concerning whather or not bee Hervey Cawald was present in the window of the Texas School Book Repository Building from which the shots were fired. The President's Commission relied on Hr. Howard L. Brennen, yet the critical panelists attempted to discredit him

- 9 -

DETAILS.

CONTINUAD-OVER

Resounded for a president sons allygerally kevillak.

reying that he lied and also attempted to point out that due to the position of the sum, the height and opening of the window, it was questionable whether Froman actually did see Seweld. They also go into considerable detail whether or not Unwild was standing or in any other position, however, with all the observations the panelists made, France did identify Lee Bervey Grade even though he later admitted he withheld information due to fear that reprisels may have been taken equinate him and him family. The Commission went into this phase thoroughly and is explained when Noward L. Brennam testified before the Commission on 1/24/64, which information appears in Volume III of the Hearings Before the Fresident's Commission on the Assassination of Fresident Kennedy, beginning on page 140.

The panelists have tried to make a big issue out of the fact that nurerous witnesses did not testify before the Fresident's Commission. It must recain in mind that although numerous witnesses did not eppear before the President's Commission itself, which comprised only seven numbers, these witnesses were interviewed by either the Jesistant Counsels or Stelf needers, and their testimony was officially recorded.

The punclists, and especially Mark Lane, discussed at great length the findings relating to the actorist as to Winther the bound appearing in the front of the president's throat was an entrance or exit wound. Lone has, and continues, to ettempt to defend his theory that shots also can from another location other than the Texas Echeel Rock Depository Building which in reality would belater his theory that two or more assessing were involved in the respectantion of Freedent Kennedy. In this respect, Mr. Jecob Cohen, who has ensured the position of defending the President's Commission, made a clear relatival to these allegations.

Con pages 59 and 60 reference is made to the Espruder film which is the film taken by Mr. Abraham Repruder and reveals the President being struck by the lethel bullet. Mr. Velaborg has contended this film was spliced and that some of the frames were recoved, that this was corruption and destruction of essential evidence. Lane, during the discussion, said they were not charging Mr. Espruder with suppressing the frames in this film, but, "wa're charging the Consistion."

~ 6 =

DETAILS.

3

13.

CCF TIBUET—CAVER

Ca pages 61s and 62, Lone refers again to the "Crville Wim film" and alleges the film was ruined by the rai according to information Wim furnished to Lane. Lane gaid that "several frames were missing" from the film and alleges "it was the ruined film, the altered film, which was shown to the Consission." This allegation is completely false and again displays the irresponsible approach Lane and the other panelists have taken in furthering their own theories.

The Mix demote was not destroyed by the rai Laboratory as Land elleged, as it was used during the ro-munctuont at Dallas and was working properly at that time and was in good operating condition when it left the Laboratory and was raturned to Mr. Mix.

In connection with other photographs, Lane had made reference to a photograph made by Phillip Willia at the causa-sination aceas approximately five minutes after the shots were fired and atetes that one of the pictures taken by Willia rowaled an individual in the background pessibly identical to Jack Ruby. Lane alleged the Commission "cropped" the pertion of the picture showing this individual at the time the Commission's exhibit was published. The Bureau has no knowledge of any altering or cropping of referred to picture. The Commission determined that Jack Ruby was at a location other than the Texas School Book Depository Building when the fresident was shot.

In pages 64 to 66, Lone and Weisberg both claim that someons mutilated a photograph found in Gaveld's effects pattraying an automobile parked in the driveway of General Wolker's residence. Lano claimed someone deliberately nade a hole in the photograph to secove the pertion showing the license plate of the car. This photograph was Consistion exhibit 5, which was seized by the Dallas Volice Dayartment in its search of Cavald's effects and was turned over to the FSI with other evidence in the case. This photograph was in a mutilated condition when received by no and it appears cavald hinself smitilated the photograph to eliminate the possibility of anyone identifying Falker's residence through identification of the car parked in the driveway.

The penalists discuss the errest of causad and his subsequent experience in a linear at the balles solice Department. Loo beauty said "the whole implication by linear have absolutely mg welldity." Following this stotement. He history, the

hadoredand to Kr. Delosch No. Assassibation of president John Fitzgehald Rombedt

moderator, said you, referring to Sauvage, "are then in a position of throwing out the things which do not agree with your thesis and accepting only those which enhance you." Further discussion along this line was resolved by Mr. Bishop who said to Sauvage "then you would throw out the five witnesses" and Sauvage said "completely."

Ca page 30. Weisburg ellegos special Agent
James P. Hosty, Jr., did not stay for the interview of
Gavald on 11/22/63 at the Dallas Police Department. Special
Agents James P. Hosty, Jr., and James W. Bookhout were present
during an interview of Cawald Which interview was conducted
by Captain Will Frits of the Dallas Police Department on
11/22/63. Both Agents identified themselves and advised
Cawald of his rights. On 11/23/63, there Agents prepared an
FD-302 which contained the results of the interview Which
was in accordance with Bureau policy in reporting interviews
of subjects in Europu investigations. This PD-302 was
included as pages 90 and 91 of the report of apecial Agent
Robert P. Gamberling dated 11/30/63 at Dallas and copies of
this report were dissominated to the Fresident's Convission.

Of page 33, Lane alleged the FDI said they heard Ofwald comment he was having lunch with two Regross on Bovember 22, 1963. Lane, in trying to make a point that investigators disagreed on highly pertinent interrogation reports of Oswald, said that the Secret Service said Oswald stated he was alone having lunch on 11/22/63, whereas the FBI said they heard Gawald say he was having lunch with two Begross.

Lene's statements on this perticular point are without question absolutely false. Special Agent James W. Bookhout participated in a subsequent interview of Cawald on 11/12/63, at which time Gawald stated he had lunch in the lunchross at the Texas School Book Depository building alone, but recalled possibly two Negro employees walking through the room during this period. The results of this interview were recorded in an FD-302 dated 11/25/63 which was included as pages 99 to 102 of the report of Opecial Agent Robert P. Gemberling dated 11/30/63.

Lane also alleged that TBI Agents followed him allover the country end recorded every word he said as revealed on pages 81 and 84. At no time did we conduct surveillance of Lane.

- B

DETAILS

CONTINUUM-OVER

nggorandus to Mr. Dolosch P3: Absascination of president John Pitigirald Kenneut

Line traveled extensively throughout the United thites and Europe denouncing the work of the Warren Cormission and attempted to show others were involved in the assacsinetion of President Kannedy and Police Officer Tippit. These wild allegations exused members of the Varron Cormission deep concern and on 2/15/64, Mr. J. Les Rankin, General Counsel of the President's Cormission, requested the Europa to nake arrangements to have talks and meetings of Mark Lane recorded where possible. This was done through comperation of various sources and the tape recordings were farmished the Warren Cormission as obtained.

Un page 89. Nark Lone miereprocents what one Witness reported regarding the General Walker indicent. Lene claimed that Walter Kirk Chieven, neighbor to General Welker, based a shot the night someone shot at desert Walker. Land stated Columen reported he saw a men, corrying what appoints to be a rifle, jump into a cer, put the rifle on the floor, then drive ever. Line claimed the Commission completely. ignored Column's information and didn't even list column's nome in its index to the report. Colemn was interviewed et the Ballas Police Legerteent fallowing the locident and said his best what expected to be a shot from a shotom and did see an unknown wale enter an automobile and speed away. Coleman node no reference to any rifle. This record was raviewed at the Palles Police Department by one of our Agents and was recorded and is revokled in the report of Apoctal Agest Robert P. Gemberling detod 12/10/61 on pages 441 and 442. Copies of this report were disseminated to the Commission.

Contrary to what Land inters, Coleman's name is indexed in Volume XV of the Meerings Defore the Tracident's Countraise on the Passasination of President Kennedy.

The panel discussed at length the single bullet theory, referring to the bullet that struck the President in the book, exited out the front, struck Governor Connelly and was subsequently recovered at the Perkland Henorial Hespital. This is Gozzission exhibit 30% which have continually raters to as a pristine bullet. Land has said "that bullet, insofar as I'm concerned, looking at the evidence, is totally unrelated to the essessination. I see nothing which relates it, and the Commission's ludicrous tale." He then goes on as to what the

LETAILS

. 1. . . .

\$ 18 \$ A ...

CONTINUED-NARK.

Resorandua to Hr. Deloach
He assassination of president John Fitzgenald kennedt

٠<u>٠</u>

Commission said regarding this built in an attempt to prove this same built could not have struck both the President and Governor Connelly. This eventually leads into the sutopsy report and comments are made regarding Commender Names, who eduted he destroyed his original notes of the autopsy and by Going so, the penalists are attempting to establish some kind of sinister conspiracy. They discuss the securacy of the dravings showing the entrance wounds on the back of the President and Lane questions their validity as they were only artist's conceptions because the Government was never to look at the original photographs and x-rays taken at the time of the autopsy.

Kr. Cohen, who has been defending the Frasident's Commission, describes those drewings very pointedly. Lane at that time ested whather FDI Agonts were present when the autopsy was conducted. This conversation leads to the report of Special Agents Francis X. O'Hoill, Jr., and Joses W. Sitert, which accurately reported what Communder theses told them prior to the time be determined the bullet which struck the Frankiont in the back had passed completely through his body. Hark Land road this report practically verbitin and Mr. Jacob Cohen commented that it was obvious there was a discrepincy between the sutopsy report which was written by Commander Numes on 11/24/63 and our report. He said the FBI report, or Cocument Lane had been queting from, is a document written by two PDI men who were present during the autopay. Coben said, "it is a very improcise downert. It's a very incomplete document." He also sold the report has no reference to a hole. entry wound, in the threat, and it is clearly based upon "what U'Noill and Eibert of the TRI overheard in the autopsy room that night." Further, Cohen mantioned our Agents are not modically trained and that they made countless errors in their Interview.

Although Cohen has been defending the Warren Commission throughout this penel discussion, his comment in respect to our Agents and our reporting in strictly opinionated and not factual, as our Agents accurately reported the information that was furnished to them. Although Cohen stated that the doctors revealed in the autopay, a bruise on the top of the Fresident's lung, and after conferring with the doctors on Saturday in Dallas, "concluded on the basis of all of the evidence, and out of ear shot and in view shot of the PAL concluded that this bullet had exited from the throat. All I'm saying is, all dan't want to shock you now, the PAL hade a whopper of a pistake when it thus summarized that autopay finding."

?

Howorendum to Hr. Delotch
Ref ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN FITZGERALD KENNEDY

This is a completely irresponsible and untruthful statement to the doctors did not satisfied for certain that built had passed through the President until Dr. Hunes' theory was confirmed by his telephone call with Dr. Forry in Dullag. Texas, on 11/23/63, following the completion of the autopsy. When the autopsy was completed on the might of 11/22/63, our results left and ware not apprised of the doctors' theories and the Bureau was not ewere of the final autopsy results until received on 12/23/63. Therefore, the comments made by Cohen are without qualification.

It is noted the panelists make reference to the fact the Covernment suppressed the x-rays and photographs taken during the autopsy. Since the time this show was recorded, these photographs and x-rays were made available to the National Archives and have since been reviewed by Commander Humes who has publicly stated they support his original conclusions reported to the President's Commission.

During the latter portion of the progrem, Mr. Penn Jones, Editor of the "Midlothien Mirror," commented and expounded the theory there is something sinister in the fact that at least 14 people are now dead who he alleges had some extra knowledge or connection with Lee Harvey Cavald or Jack L. Ruby. He even mantioned forothy Midgallen, who spoke to Jack L. Ruby during his trial. This is pure speculation as there has been no evidence or information developed either during the investigation of the assussination or subsequent thereto, that any person mentioned by Fenn was a part of similater conspiracy or that Jack, L. Ruby was part of any conspiracy.

Throughout the script, it is apparent that an argumentative atmosphera exists among mambers of this panel, depocially between Weisberg and Cohen. Nothing was proven and panel members who have been critical of the President's Commission in the past were each trying to prove their own points. At the end of the show, Jin Bishop, the moderator, commented that he finds himself in disagreement with the other panel members. We indicated that when he writes his book, which may be in two years, that unless evidence to the contrary presents itself between them and now, he will support the Marren Commission Report and that hee Harvey Onwald "did it, and did it alone." This television show will be reviewed by the Laboratory Division and thereafter will be reviewed by the Domestic Intelligence Division, the General Investigative Division, and the Laboratory Division to determine if there is any deviation from the taped script the Bureau received.