## NEW ADDRESS:Rt. 7, Frederick, Md. 21701:::301/473-8186

2/27/68

Dear Bob,

I got the message that you had called about Thornley's remarks while I was in Celifornia. My wife understood you to say that you were sending me a copy of that tape so that, if I see fit, I might respond. I have also heard from some of the fine people in your audience of your offer to Paul Scott to respond to my refutation of his slanderous, inspired column.

Whether or not it is necessary or desireable to respond to Thornley-for I think it essential to protect his rights and not lead him into an involuntary abandonment of them-I would very much like a tape of what he said. I would like to hear it and I would like it as a matter of record. You see, it is I slone of those writing in this field who understood the significance of his testimony. It is possible he did not.

There is a background to this. When I was in New Orleans immediately after publication of OSTALD IN NEW OPLEANS, among those I sought out was Clint Bolton, Thornley's member, friend and "agent". I urged Bolton tom impress upon Thornley the importance of his coming ferward with either the information he had or the willingness to provide it to those who might understand that he did not. I told Bolton to tell Thornley that I'd be glad to talk to him, that if he preferred to speak to Garcison, he should do it voluntarily while he could for it was inevitable that if he didn't he'd be under compulsion to do so. I further suggested to Bolton that if Thornley were to engage in a genuine exchange with one who really knew the subject he, as a writer, might suddenly find he had meterial of which he was unabore.

To may recell that I seid and wrote that the FBI and the Jonmission misrepresented the avidence on the Osweld handbills to state that it was Oswali who
strangel for the printing whereas IOC% of the avidence is that it was not Oswald.

To own investigation consisted of interviewing the owner of the printing company,

Jouglas Jones. He confirmed that it had not been Caweld. I gave him about 100
essorted pictures and asked him to pick the one that most strongly suggested the
man who made the arrangements. Confidentially, the picture he selected was Thornlay's.

Now, this does not mean it was Thornlay. It does mean Thornlay looks like the man,
whether he was or not. Of course, I gave this to Garrison. There likewise is no
question of the fact that Barbara Reid says she saw Oswald and hornlay together
at the Bourbon ouse. She told me and I told Garrison. (No one had ever asked her.

Richard Townlay, then with WDSU-TV, was with me.) While I was not at liberty to pass
all these messages to hornlay - I would have in a person-to-parson exchange- through
Bolton, I think it is an evilence of the fact that I saek only the truth and was
looking out for his interest in acting and saying as and what I did.

Bolton wrote Thornley a rather anide letter, with indecent humor that has suggestions of enti-Semitiam. We have rather poor taste. He used this letter as one of his columns in a small French-Querter weekly, hence I know about it. But he did suggest to bornley that he follow my advice.

There are other things I have been told about Thornley by people who say they knew and worked with him. Garrison doesn't know all of them yet. They do not encourage belief in his total purity.

Dast week one of the assistant DA's in N.O. phoned me about another matter. He then told me that as he left, Thornley said he'd like to speak to me. I am willing, but I cannot afford the toll chargess, "scavenging" being what it is. I dare say it is still to his interest to do this, but as I haven't bugged him, I now shall not.

Like most reople of the redical right, Thornley is the captive of the strange notions be seeks to will into reality. He has, I think, blown his chance.

However, I would like to know what he said of me and my comments about him. Also, my work is to wind up as an archive in amajor eastern university, and I'd like to have the tape for that purpose also.

One of your listeners tells me you have offered Faul Scott the chance to deny my refutation of his officially-induced slander. I hope this is true. I am also told that bet es of the end of lest week, he had been silent. I am not surprised. There seems little doubt that this slander, or rather, a combination of them, is being carefully spread by the radical-right (I think fascist is closer) committees of both houses of Congress. What they seek to convey is entirely false. Of course, this is a cheap way of saying what they dere not, that I am some kind of Communist. To anyone who has real my writing or heard my speaking, this is obviously false. But, like all earlier fascists, this makes no difference to them. They want it to be so, so they act as though it is and write dishonastly to convey the idea that it is. One of the devices is to use falsehood that seems to have the imprint of efficiality. Another is to take inscrurate news stories and pretend they are accurate. I think it is unlikely Scott will make himself vulnerable to suit, and I'm getting to the point where I've had a bellyfull and just may stert filing some. Nowever, if I may, I'd like a tape of your offer to him and, if he accepts, his response.

Glad you took my fat hint about Fenn Jones. He is a very brave, homest and dedicated man, subversive anough to causade for an elected school board in a climate that got him burned out for doing it. His wife is as wonderful.

But I'm sorry I didn't have a chance to thank you for the offer on Thornley. The day after I not home my wife tore all the lighments in her left ankle. Doctor says it is worse than a break. So, aside from what " usually do, I've got the bause and her to take care of and some of her york (that of the shipping department) to do.

There are two favors I'd like to sak of you. If you recall the chapter of Careld in New Orleans entitled "Freliminary Fostscript from Mirmi", I have for some time had an interest in the National States Hights Party. I now have similar but not as explicit data from the other coast. If you come accross anything on them or ever interview any of them, I'd be very interested in seeing or hearing what you have. And second, there is a man who may figure in one of the of shoots of the story who lives in Tampe I'd like someone to interview for me, in strictest confidence. It is a CIA thing. I do not 'mow if it is for real. That is one of the things I seek to learn by this interview. Do you know a good newsmen (esp. a radio one who could tape it) who might be willing? If yes, I can tell him the story over the phone.

Thanks for everything.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg