Dear James McRanus,

Tour yesterday's letter with enclosure are helpful to me so despite a great time pressure to prepare for an FCIA hearing Thursday of teday's smill I respond to you on the chance of being helpful to you and so that I may make a note for symelf of the carbon. Ot herwise I'll forget.

Having read the text I know what happened, The same nice gays, young and probably frenk from a reading of San Hecht, have just dome a similar thing to me. It will haunt me for a long time from what my increasing and not inconsiderable experience with official files and filing tells me.

It has to have been Bob Sirkin and Bill O'Reilly of Wit. They are nice guys, they are able reporters, they just have to learn what reporting really is if it to be responsible, other than a sensation that can puff them with the station by wire attention.

They tried to get me to say that Hay's escape attempt was a conspiracy to kill him. That has to nature to become greasy kid stuff. But I did not want to lay them out on their own station so I avaded. Without my knowing it they fed UPI a story inventing my saying what I did not say and making the supposed paraphrase appear to be legit by taking what I did may and quoting it as relevant when it was addressed to establer aspect. I never believed it and I didn't even suspect it. "ears age I teld Hay he'd never make it unless he could not a coal car if he made it ever the fence. This is exactly what I said when he first get out on the 10th, that if there was no convenient slow train the dogs would get him.

When I got home I wrote and asked UFI to file a correction for the record. The morgans thus would have it and so would the FRI. No response.

The same pair, I feel confident, added to what my friend Dan Christenson had added to my work of years ago.

I'd love to know who your "stunned" committee source was manne "that the detailed report had become public." What else when it was printed in Mismi magnaine and Dan wood it has job application?

I guess the reason you could not reach an FRI spokesman for comment is because they did not want the story not used, did not want to have to say only "no concent" when they had checked the story out and they knew I had that, too. They have great fun with all the stroles they can denounce, often the reporters, use such things to defend the FRI against everything and anything, and if all close fails Inbricate to have records to odto.

They have done this with me in the case in court Thursday. It is on the King assession nation but more importantly on the FOIA itself and what effort we can make to cut back on their campaign to destroy it if they can and fractrate it daily. I've get them calling the public record investigatory files, blacking out the names of their sun agents in news stories on privacy claims, even a directive that they violate the law with me going back to 1969. I wish some of the major media would take in some of these proceedings that in my cases and not generally out and dry. They'd learn about FOIA and the specks.

I would put your Jrd graf differently! having been elebbored in its adventure in news faking the House assessing countities has opted the star-chamber approach, this far without any reperted criticism of it.

If you really went to cover what can be covered, and for more reasons them your correct statement of cost/benefit I believe CBS will not want to. There are other approaches. I can give you every hidden mame in all they've leaked and my prior files on all. It could be real Keystone teps fun interviewing those characters and them the committee. Their last witness was Loran Ball. I know him well. They forced him to take the fifth. There is much he would love to talk about if protected against the committee. He was in on the antimostro plets. I'm sure the only reason the committee went through its characte of the 7th is for Sylvia Odio to eyeball all. This means she maid "not the guy" or she'd have followed him as a witness. Locating dien, no longer Odio, is easy. Thanks and best wishes,