Dear Jerry.

I waited 24 hours to give you time to call back or to hear from Tyn. Then I phoned a reporter friend with Golden West "roadcasting (pretty conservative and Nixon supporters) and gave him the part about Jaworski's CIA connections. This he could handle easily for radio news.

He did. Did you see that Jaworski has announced the intention of resigning all his directorships? That followed. \*sn't it odd that none of this resulted from that fabled FEI investigation all nominees are supposed to get?

The rest I'll be sending in the form of documents to a friend on the Wash. Post which just happens to be on strike now.

I have no particular interest in being aired. So, if you'd like to know what Art Kevin learned by simply phoning Jaworski and getting his partner John H. Freeman, Art's KMPC phone is 469-5341. His home is 761-3436. Both Los Angeles.

It seems that several hundred thousands in CIA money direct from Washington were involved and that in the operations this Jaworski (Anderson Foundation) part funded, there werek two New York City and one Boston fund or foundation.

Golden West, after getting confirmation, fed all its stations. They then fed UPI, which as of my last knowledge had not used the story.

from time to time before going to bed I have caught a few of your shows. They have been as good as I'd have expected of you. "one better. But you are, in my opinion, a little overboard on the job the press (all forms) has done. There has been virtually no investigative reporting. Excellent reporting of leaks and many with the real need to build their own p.r. with leaks. There is much more fear than shows, is acknowledged or is understood. Many important stories go unreported. I have some of the documents that have been totally suppressed. I have done some of the investigating of what was not investigated. When the brave reporters on the brave papers simply would not touch really hot stuff, I decided that while there was other work I would rather complete, here is the clear and present danger. So, with no prospect of publication I am doing a book. It will at least be a written record of what was not given to the people and how, if the predictable worst happens, it came to pass. It will be largely because despite the press, the Congress and the media failed to do what each could abd didn't.

This is not to say that both have not done better by this scandal than by any I can recall looking 60 years backward. Both have. But this one is that bad, that much worse, that much more dangerous. And if you stop to think of it in a different way, maybe some of both realize that for them the chips are down. If they do, they could play the hands with a little more vigor and have a better chance.

If you follow the Post closely, and I do, you should notice a difference in the Watergate reporting once the story reverted to the national desk. A little-known man to whom much credit is due is Barry Sussman, metro editor and originally the story was his. Now the diplomats have it.

This is not a complaint. Your show is yours. I had no personal interest, only what I regard as the country's and a desire to do something for an old friend. I know you have schedules and things like that. However, if what I told Lyn that was publicly available was not enough, I also thought you might be interested in knwoing that when his partner confirmed it, he added more details about the operation in which Jaworski played his role. I do not doubt all regarded it as a patrictic duty when Washington asked. But if that is the kind of judgement that is going to do the investigating and prosecuting and if there is any question of any CIA illegality (by which I include perjury and tell you I have the proof), is there no potential conflict of interest? The other part deals with Jaworski's regord when Connally gave him a similar function in late 1964. Keep up the great work. est regards.