Dear John.

Relet 16:

If you reread Post Mortem you will find that I did not get prints of those pix the judge made the Archives take for me. I was even reduced to using a tracing I'd made, inset in a margin. They got away with deceiving the judge and all he ordered is that the pictures be talen and shown to me. Since HSCA televised the clothing I renewed the request, as the records should show, and was again turned down.

On the records, I do want to see them. I was under the impression when I gave you the release that you would send me copies as soon as you got them.

Each time someone gets records relating to me they include records with which I was not provided.

You really should reread what I wrote for I did not write that they took pictures of the front and back of the tie for me. In fact they took no pictures of the knot because the tie was unknotted and they couldn't. They took pic of the front and back flat surfaces of the tie. I asked for a sine view of the knot, not one of the back, from the side of the knick

You may want to get your own rejection after the public uses by HSCA. If you ask you'll be rejected. But I don't think they'll destroy those negatives, not when those shots were taken by court order.

When you get the records I do want to go over them, thanks.

Sincerely,

January 16, 1980

Harold Weisberg Route I2-Old Receiver Road Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Harold:

I should have all the document related to your efforts to study the clothing of President Kennedy and special photographs. I have been told that there are approximately 550 pages related to your work on this matter.

I am inquirying if I may obtain from you photo prints that were made for you before the provisions of 1972. The prints are mention in your book Post Mortem page 340. The first two prints are of the front and the back of the knot of the tie. The third not beening mention. I understand that this will take alot on your part, but it would be deeply appreciate. I am sure if I went to the Archives and ask for the prints from the negative, I am sure that they would not allow it citing the provision of 72 or that the negative no longer exist. I would be more than happy to pay for the prints and the cost of time involved. Please help me.

I am sorry that it has taken such a long time to obtain (or foward to the Archives) the request of documents. I just have not have the money since then.

If you like, I can forward my correspondence with the Archives concerning the clothing.

I shall await your reply.

Sincerely yours,

John R. Woods, II 538 Ironton Court Wurora, Colo. 80010