Your letternof the 6th was delayed by insufficent postage．
I wish I had time to answer your questions as you＇d like but $I$ do not have the time．
The current status of the Ray case is that the only avenue he has for a frial is new evidence and I do not think he has any．I do not really expect any on the coming HBO trial of James Earl Ray．I＇ve had nothing to do with him formally for some years．Hear from him from time to time and respond．There was a Fox TV special several eeks ago I was on and I＇m to be taped this week for an Unsolved Mysteries for airing about the time of the assassination anniversary．

What Humes．Boswell，原inck and JaMA is disgraceful．While I do not know what motivated them I suspect making themselves look better when they knew what JAMA would od is at least part of it．The editor is a friend of theirs from their military days together．

I think the ${ }^{\hat{j}}$ tone movie was bad because he said it was not fiction and because as non－ fiction it is a lie．He did turn people on but in doing that he misinformed and misled them and that is not good in any way．

What you may have regarded as fact in the movie wasn $t$ for the most part．The Garrison book is not factual and the Marrs book is a disgrace and a travesty．Few people in the field，if any，know less about the fact of the assassination that Harrs．He can ${ }^{2} t$ even get the untenable theories straight and that is his field．

I appreciate the kind things you said in your letter．
I take it all the clippings are from Newsday．Humeset al are wrong and lied．Ricky White is a faker and his claim is a fraud．The 区IA did not releasd its Oswald file。 Far Hrom it．It gave that ${ }^{\text {Ommistme records most of which originated outside the CIA and }}$ here not on Oswald，I think only 13 ercords．Very few．It shows the contempt in which the CIA holds the Congress，what the Congress will accept in silence，and the incompetence of most of the reporting．Besides which fhere was nothing new in those few records．

I do not believe Crenshw＇s story and most of it comes from one of the Dallas con－ spiracy theorists who adopts every theory even when they contradict each other．But he is a nice guy．

I＇m familiar with what Rietre and Cifster say and have no reason to believe that the film was doctored．As Iivingstone has yet to tell me，why would anyone fake pictures that destroy the purpose of the faking？Those pictures do destroy the Report．It makes no sense to me that they would be faked．

As a generality，if you see a theory presented as a solution，dinge disregard it． The crime itself was equer officially investigated so there are no leads to follow and in addition those advancing the theories are not familiar with the established official fact．They are would－be ferry Nasons．Bome of them really believe what they say．I don＇to Best wishes，
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