

Dear Hal,

9/15/98

Except for Conclusions I've finished a rather long manuscript on Twyman and Petzer. Much worse than you said and I forgot to use what I said that you <sup>wrote</sup> said.

Both are subject-matter ignoramuses.

Gary seems to take every suggestion as some kind of insult but if you think he will listen to you, I suggest that quietly he distance himself from such phonies because if he does not, in time he will hurt his own reputation.

As you know and most others will not believe, altering the Zapruder film was impossible but if that had not <sup>been</sup> so, would those who altered with it let what destroys the official mythology remain? Makes no sense at all

Same with the x-rays, ~~What~~ Whatever ~~what~~ Hantik says about the density, and I do not argue that, the fact is that the x-rays alone destroy the official mythology.

Who is going to run that kind of risk and wind up with what defeats his purpose in allegedly altering that film?

All those with these theories are so limited in what they <sup>and ignorant</sup> know that they are not in a position to write responsibly. I'm sure that Gary, well-intended as he is, knows much less about the evidence than he thinks he does. I like him and do not want him to blemish his reputation. An association with those egomaniacal subject-matter ignoramuses could mean.

Finished reading all those enclosures. Worthwhile. Thanks,

Hal