

Dear Hal,

5/12/98

I'm deep into a lengthy manuscript on Posner's book on the King assassination, which I'm not advertising to avoid much correspondence on it, so again I'll respond to your 5/9 pto, ptly and will read the enclosures, for which many thanks, in odd free moments.

On Walt Brown, I do not trust a word of his and he is a subject-matter ignoramus who thinks he is Perry Mason. However, if there is a videotape of either Posner in a tizzy I'd like to see that!

Twyman and Fetzer are a waste of time. I read Twyman's book after being asked to write about it and then came Posner, who is more important.

With Gary, a man I like, I think he has a problem from not having a real grasp on what is related so what is in his mind is without contradiction and appears to be without question. Wish I could be there the 23rd.

The name Art Snyder means nothing to me. I could have heard from him and not remember it but I do not remember it.

Antik and I were in touch until he learned that I do not agree with his thing. Then I not longer heard from him. I hope it continues that way. But there is something those people do not face, and again, the lack full knowledge of the available evidence: why would anyone fake picture to defeat the purpose of the faking? The official autopsy pictures and X-rays refute the official story, so they would not have been faked for that purpose and they would not have been faked with that result. The X-ray that shows those 40 dust-like fragments in the head is enough to refute the official story. The X-ray that shows fragments in the neck also refutes the official story. On the latter, see my comment on it in the appendix, on the report of the pathologists when they were shown the film and on the DJ panel report.

Hope your defense of your credibility is worth the time and that it is effective. I'm glad to be detached from so many of them who think they are so bright that established fact is not necessary for them.

I gather from his long silence and a comment you made that Hoch has withdrawn and whether or not he has the interest is doing nothing.

Many thanks, and our best, Hal
Those 40 dust-like fragments is not possible from that kind of ammo, made under the terms of the Geneva convention. I think I went into this in Post Mortem and in NEVER AGAIN! Any fragments in the neck or chest prove that there was no possibility of 399 having been used in the assassination. The specialist, esp. on X-ray, on that panel, were the best, so when they say fragments, that is what they were. But even if bone, it required cause and that cause is not in the official story.