

11/17/93

Dear Hal,

Thanks for your 11/13 and its enclosures. And for telling me how long you'll be away. I got copies of the Post's three articles, the third a real stinker, and will not mail them until closer to your return home.

The sabot is new to me but I doubt it has any relevance in the case.

I've forgotten Shackelford and his analysis. But I've seen his name often in Livingstone's book. Anyone close to Crazy Harry I'm uneasy about. What you sent of his is OK, though.

I did not stay up for the Frontline show last night. I was up one yesterday and again today. So I can't stay up for the shows.

I hope you find AAK interesting and enjoyable,

Best,



Hal Verb
PO Box 421815
SF, CA. 94142-1815

11/13/93

Harold Weisberg
7627 Old Receiver Road
Frederick, Md. 21702

Dear Hal:

Probably by the time that you receive this I'll either be on my way to Dallas or actually there. I'll be, of course, at the Symposium which will run from Nov. 18 to Nov. 22 and my stay in Dallas will cover the period from November 17 through the 27th. I hope to do some research while there and find what I can. I will be staying as before for at least one week at the Hyatt Regency and the remaining days at some less expensive place (choice not yet made). I'll try to call you some time while I am there and tell you what is going on there.

This will have to be brief as I have a lot to prepare for since I will be speaking on the "photographic evidence panel". My aim is to present the deficiencies in previous official investigations and the shortcomings of the unofficial ones such as ITEK's. The so-called single bullet theory will especially be singled out by me.

Ray Marcus sent me his up-dated version of his new book on the HSCA and the Z-film. I told him I would make use of it in my presentation.

I'm enclosing two pieces on the Posner stuff. One is by Martin Shackelford whose Zapruder film analysis I previously sent you a copy. The other article is by Peter Dale Scott which I thought you should also have. The third item is about the use of sabot fitted over a bullet. Someone sent this to me for my comments. Undoubtedly you've come across this before but I thought you should have a copy.

That Frontline special you mentioned in your letter and their conclusion that Oswald did it I knew as far back as the beginning of the year. The only thing I can add is that I was told that the Russians never considered him a spy as he was too erratic or nutty to be considered as one and the other thing I was told that Oswald had the "help of the mob" in carrying out his alleged deed. By the time you receive this letter you'll probably see this all on the tube. One thing I was told was that they would use my evidence of interviews with former Militant staffers to show that the backyard photos are real.

On this latter point: a buff in my area is circulating an "open letter" to all researchers criticizing me for my position on the backyard photo as being real. I had sent this buff a letter which he describes as a "diatribe" because I had outlined my reasons (he never answered a single point I raised). But I'm not in any way worried about all this and, in fact, intend to present what I know at the Symposium.

Best,

Hal