

Hal Verb
PO Box 1815
San Francisco, CA 94101

11/1/90

Dear Hal,

Superficially your idea of getting all those you refer to as critics and researchers together sound like a great idea but it does not take much thought to realize that it is a terrible idea. Really terrible! If you'd been at the one Fensterwald had at Georgetown U in 1973 you'd realize that any such gather is certain to be a disaster. All the nuts drop from the trees and all the worms crawl out from beneath the rocks.

To begin with, how many really serious researchers do you think there are? Not many, believe me. One of the reasons I don't subscribe to any of the so-called news letters. They are nutty at their ~~best~~ and often rabid, from those I've seen. Most of them have little concept of what is factual and too many are ignorant of the basic factual literature. For example, ~~you~~ you could hardly exclude, John Davis and Scheim. They began with untenable theories and ignore all the fact and make up whatever they think they need to advance the notions with which they began.

This is the kind of thing that aside from being disinformational the government just loves. They pick and chose and select the most outrageous and then distribute it, especially internally but also to those who love them in the press, and ipso facto we are all nutty and all criticism is frivolous or crazy.

On another level, and you say he has been trying to make some progress with Members of Congress, can you visualize Dave Lifton doing anything other than promoting himself and his unfeable theory? He never has done anything unselfish of which I know and I know that the book you may not have taken the time to analyze and check out is grossly and knowingly dishonest. I'm not taking time for this now but I went over it carefully when it first came out. And I'm telling you that there is not a single step in his theory that was possible and for the most part, if not entirely, he knew it. He rewrote the book to make himself the one who invented the wheel and discovered sex but the actuality is that there is nothing that is both factual and new in it. What is his own if the impossible theory.

Commities and affections change but as of my last knowledge those who have a similar but different theory, not that the body was toyed with but that the film was, are at loggerheads with him. And the other way around. Nice thing for a gathering, huh?

You have a remote notion of how awful the Ricky White business is. But the so-called Dallas information center is behind it. Can you keep them out?

There is nothing really new to come up at such a gathering and there is not likely to be because the crime itself was never investigated and besides, for the most part, those you encompass as either critics or researchers have been entirely indifferent to what is available. How many do you think have come here to look at all I have? They don't, most of them, really care. They have their own theories and nothing else interests them or matters to them.

By the way, I'm reusing a stamped envelope I had to open and did not want to send to a stranger.

Forget it, Hal, while you can or you'll certainly do immeasurable harm and will further destroy what credibility the nuts left the rest. and boy do they carry on when they have a chance, when they are disputed, when they can't monopolize!

In your letter you refer to a secret "LETO" as some kind of mission or unit at Atsugi. This interest me. I've no recollection of it and I'd appreciate what you have.

You say Geneva white testified before the Senate Intelligence Committee. Do you mean the Schweiker-Hat subcommittee of the Church committee?

Havf

In your questionnaire you ask if Congressional investigators have reached their limit and if the public would like another "fling." There is no possibility of any real Congressional investigation because no member is about to tangle with the FBI (at the least) and no member can hope to survive that. However, from my mail there is now more interest than since the very earliest days.

To answer that question, I can't think of any realistic position people can take to have the truth established. Asking this question is not realistic.

All we can really do is wait and hope that something does happen that can change the actualities.

There is no single answer, if there is any factual one in the context of your question, to "the exact ~~relationship~~ nature of American Oil and its political divisions" at the time of the assassination.

I think I see what you are getting at. There was a time I thought that by good reasoning we might be able to get somewhere but there are so very many who could have seen benefit from getting rid of JFK that approach won't work, except that it can be used to eliminate.

You quote an unidentified "staff aide" of I think the Congress as saying that the Defense Dept. destroyed its Oswald file. I wonder if this isn't a corruption of what I know is real that I established two decades ago and gave to Jack Anderson, who used it. The Army had as I recall three assassination files and destroyed all three. The files of the Texas intelligence outfit of the reserves also destroyed its records, rather they were destroyed after it was disbanded.

^{MCV} You have a twisted reference to my letter to The Nation. It was not in connection with the Cuba missile crisis that JFK called the generals, not the chiefs of staff, in. It was in connection with Viet Nam. My source was General Gavin. I interviewed him. He called them in, one at a time, and said that was a political problem and political problems are not susceptible of military solutions. It was not a tongue-lashing. He was trying to persuade them. There is no literature on this. I interviewed Gavin for a book-and-author show, Author's Roundtable. Radio.

You ask why this was not brought to the attention of scholars. If you mean on the assassinations, most are anything but scholars.

You ask what responsible steps can be taken by responsible critics to advance the case. I can't think of any other than clobbering the nuts and self-seekers and their disinformational books and articles. These people confuse the public when they don't mislead them and they get most of the attention.

When you think of such a gathering of those "actively engaged in research" why don't you ask yourself what real research you know about, as distinguished from the theorizing, and how many people are engaged in real research, not advancing silly notions. What legitimate "ideas" do they have to share?

As I see it the reality is that there is nothing that can be done today and what we should try and do is not make this bad situation worse. Which I am sure would be the inevitable consequence of such a gathering as you plan.

I am sure this is not what you want to hear but I am certain it is the truth. And I tell you frankly that if you were to hold one here in Frederick I'd not be there. I won't be party to any of the things that have hurt, that have deceived and misled the sorrowing and concerned people, that will advance any of the self-seeking Nuts or worse and their untenable and always unprovable theories. And there is ~~not~~ else now?

Best,

Harold
Harold Weisberg

P. O. BOX 1815
S.F., CA. 94101

10/28/90

HAROLD WEISBERG
7627 OLD RECEIVER ROAD
FREDERICK, MD. 21701

DEAR HAROLD:

~~IT~~ IT'S BEEN SOME TIME SINCE I LAST WROTE
YOU BUT I WANTED TO CALL YOUR ATTENTION TO A FEW THINGS.

TO BEGIN WITH I DO HOPE YOU ARE FEELING OK.
I MEANT TO CALL BUT I THOUGHT A FEW LETTERS WOULD BE BETTER.

ON NOV. 18TH I'VE BEEN ASKED TO SPEAK BEFORE A
LOCAL HUMANIST GROUP AND I CHOSE AS MY TOPIC : "A NEW LOOK
AT THE JOHN F. KENNEDY ASSASSINATION". IT'LL BE SOMETHING OF
A HISTORICAL SURVEY - WHERE HAVE WE BEEN, WHERE ARE WE NOW AND
WHAT ARE THE FUTURE PROSPECTS.

TOWARDS THAT ~~END~~ I PREPARED A SIX PAGE "QUESTIONNAIRE"
LISTING FIVE QUESTIONS AND I'VE SENT THESE OFF ^{to} ~~THE~~ IMPORTANT
WRITERS AND RESEARCHERS AS YOURSELF WITH THE IDEA OF GETTING
SOME FEEDBACK OR REPOSE. I TRUST THAT THE REPLIES WILL BE
MADE BEFORE MY SCHEDULED SPEAKING DATE. IN PARTICULAR, I WOULD
LIKE TO HAVE YOUR THOUGHTS ON MY QUERY ~~NUMBER~~ FIVE WHICH
IS A PROPOSAL FOR A NATIONAL CONFERENCE OR CONVENTION. (I BE-
LIEVE THE BEST SITE WOULD BE AT OR NEAR WASHINGTON, D.C.).

I WANT TO GET THIS OFF TO YOU IN A HURRY. I DO
HAVE A NUMBER OF THINGS TO SEND YOU BUT I'LL SEND THESE TO

YOU IN A SEPARATE LETTER LATER THIS WEEK.

ONE QUESTION I HAVE WHICH I RECENTLY CAME ACROSS WHEN I WAS REFERRING TO MY OLD NOTEBOOKS: THERE'S A MENTION OF A SECRET "METO" WHICH WAS SOME KIND OF UNIT OR MISSION AT ATSU~~G~~BASE WHEN OSWALD WAS STATIONED THERE. HAVE YOU HEARD OF IT? I CAN SEND YOU THE RELEVANT INFO IN MY NEXT LETTER, IF YOU HAVEN'T HEARD OF IT.

ONE MORE ITEM: CONCERNING THE ALLEGED ROSCOE ~~WHITE~~ WHITE DIARY IN WHICH HE ADMITTED BEING PART OF THE ASSASSINATION TEAM. I'VE SEEN NO MENTION OF THE FACT THAT MRS. WHITE TESTIFIED IN 1976 BEFORE A SENATE INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEE REGARDING THE FAMOUS PHOTOS MARINA TOOK OF OSWALD HOLDING THE WEAPONS HE IS SUPPOSED TO HAVE KILLED BOTH TIPPI AND JFK WITH. SHE TOLD THE COMMITTEE THAT HER HUSBAND HAD "ACQUIRED" THE PHOTO (OR PHOTOS) AND THAT ~~SOME~~ ^{SOME} DAY IT WOULD BE VERY VALUABLE. THIS HARDLY SOUNDS LIKE AN "ASSASSIN" WHO HAS TO KEEP A "DIARY" WHERE HE "CONFESSES" HIS CRIMES, WHAT DO YOU MAKE OF IT. I'M DISTURBED AT SEEING NEWSPAPER REPORTS, RESEARCHERS AND FENSTERWALD ALL JUMPING ON THE BANDWAGON SAYING ~~THE~~ ^{"THAT"} MUST BE SOMETHING TO IT. "

WELL, MUST CLOSE NOW. I DO WANT TO GET THIS MAILED.

BEST,

Hal Verb
HAL VERB

QUESTIONNAIRE

(1) THERE ISN'T ANY QUESTION THAT THE DISTURBING QUESTIONS RAISED BY THE EARLY CRITICS AND RESEARCHERS SUCH AS YOURSELF WERE EXTREMELY CRUCIAL IN INITIATING SEVERAL OFFICIAL INVESTIGATIONS (STARTING WITH THE WARREN COMMISSION, OF COURSE). THERE WERE NINE IN ALL WITH ONLY ONE FINDING A CONSPIRACY IN WHICH NO CULPRITS WERE NAMED NOR ANY MECHANISM PROVIDED BY WHICH THEY COULD BE APPREHENDED.

THE QUESTION I RAISE IS THIS: HAVE CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATIONS REACHED THEIR LIMIT? WOULD THE AMERICAN PEOPLE BE EAGER TO HAVE "ONE MORE FLING" OR HAVE THEY BEEN SO INUNDATED AND NUMBED BY THE "INVESTIGATION" SCENARIO THAT THEY WOULD REJECT IT OUT OF HAND? IF SO, WHAT, IN YOUR OPINION, WOULD MAKE THE AMERICAN PEOPLE EAGER, OR, AT LEAST, WELCOME A NEW INQUIRY?

ANY SOBER ANALYSIS OF THE AMERICAN SCENE WOULD RECOGNIZE THAT THERE APPEARS TO BE A SPIRIT OF ABIDING CYNICISM OR A KIND OF "WAR WEARINESS" ABOUT THIS ENTIRE AFFAIR. HOW MANY TIMES HAVE WE MET PERSONS WHO SAY, "YES, BUT THERE'S NOTHING WE CAN DO ABOUT IT!" FREQUENTLY THEY'LL ADD AS A REJOINER, "LOOK, THEY NEVER EVEN SOLVED THE LINCOLN ASSASSINATION AND THAT WAS MORE THAN A HUNDRED YEARS ^{AGO} , SO WHAT MAKES YOU THINK YOU'LL BE ANY MORE SUCCESSFUL?"

NOW I KNOW AND YOU KNOW THAT WE CAN ALWAYS POINT TO THE FACT THAT THE EARLY CRITICS WERE POOH-POOHED, LAUGHED AT OR OTHERWISE RIDICULED AND DEFAMED BUT BECAUSE OF THEIR EFFORTS A COMPLETE TURN-ABOUT OF PUBLIC OPINION WAS ACHIEVED TO SUCH AN EXTENT THAT AT LEAST 70 % OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE NO LONGER

BELIEVE THE WARREN COMMISSION THAT THERE WAS A LONE ASSASSIN AND NOW BELIEVE THAT, INDEED, THERE WAS A CONSPIRACY.

ANOTHER ARGUMENT WHICH I'M SURE YOU'VE ~~HEARD~~ HEARD IS IF THE KENNEDY FAMILY HASN'T BROUGHT THEIR POWERFUL INFLUENCE TO UNRAVEL THE TRUTH BEHIND JFK'S MURDER WHAT MAKES YOU THINK THAT LESS INFLUENTIAL AND LESS POWERFUL INDIVIDUALS CAN, NO MATTER HOW HOW PUBLIC SPIRITED THEY ARE? A COROLLARY TO THIS ARGUMENT IS: "LOOK, IF THERE WAS A CONSPIRACY, DON'T YOU THINK THE KENNEDYS WOULD'VE GONE OUT OF THEIR WAY TO APPREHEND THE CULPRITS? AFTER/ALL, DIDN'T ONE OF THE KENNEDY BROTHERS STATE AFTER THE WARREN REPORT WAS ISSUED THAT THEY AGREED WITH THAT REPORT'S CONCLUSION (OF A LONE ASSASSIN)?"

TO SUM UP, MY QUESTION IS THIS: WHAT KIND OF REALISTIC POSITION CAN BE TAKEN BY THOSE OF US WHO STILL CONTINUE TO SEEK TO HAVE THE TRUTH ESTABLISHED ONCE AND FOR ALL.

(2) THE RECENT SPATE OF BOOKS WITHIN THE LAST DECADE ALL POINT TO CONSPIRACY, PLOT, TREASON OR SOME ASPECT OF THIS IMPLYING, OF COURSE, A CONCERTED ORGANIZED ^{EFFORT} TO MURDER JFK. BUT NO BOOK THAT I KNOW OF HAS RAISED THE PRECISE NATURE OF THIS ALLEGED CONSPIRACY. IF, INDEED, THERE WAS A DIVISION WITHIN THE POWER STRUCTURE AND A BITTER RESENTMENT OF KENNEDY'S POLICIES WHAT PRECISELY ARE WE TALKING ABOUT. CERTAINLY WE KNOW ENOUGH BY NOW ABOUT J. EDGAR HOOVER'S WELL DOCUMENTED HATRED OF THE KENNEDYS, LBJ'S SIMILAR INTENSE DISLIKE, THE CIA'S RESENTMENT OF KENNEDY'S ANGER AT THEIR RENEGADE TACTICS BUT THAT IS NOT WHAT I AM TALKING ABOUT — AND I INCLUDE ALSO ORGANIZED CRIME OR THE MAFIA — THEY ALL HAD THEIR MOTIVATIONS.

BUT MOTIVATION IS NOT ENOUGH. TO CLAIM THAT THE CIA, THE FBI, THE CORPORATIONS, OR THE MAFIA DID IT IS TOO GENERAL AN INDITEMENT AND REALLY ANSWERS NOTHING. RECENTLY I HEARD A TAPE

OF AN INTERVIEW CONDUCTED BY A LOCAL RADIO TALK HOST. HE HAD LBJ'S ALLEGED MISTRESS (MADALAINA BROWN) ON AND ASKED HER ABOUT WHAT LBJ THOUGHT ABOUT THE ASSASSINATION. SHE SAID THAT ONE DAY HE "CONFIDED" TO HER THAT HE BELIEVED JFK'S MURDER WAS DUE TO A COMBINATION OF "AMERICAN OILMEN AND THE CIA".

OF COURSE, THIS IS SECOND PARTY HEAR-SAY AND PROVES NOTHING BUT MY QUESTION IS: WHAT WAS THE EXACT NATURE OF AMERICAN OIL AND THE POLITICAL DIVISIONS WITHIN THAT ENTITY PRIOR TO AND JUST BEFORE THE ASSASSINATION. SPECULATION IS NOT ENOUGH . I'M TALKING HERE ABOUT REAL DIVISIONS AND REAL GRIEVANCES HELD AGAINST KENNEDY. I KNOW OF NO STUDY PUBLISHED OR OTHERWISE THAT GIVES FIRM DETAILS. THERE ARE VAGUE HINTS OF OIL MEN CONNECTIONS THAT POP UP, FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE JIM GARRISON INVESTIGATION AND ELSEWHERE AND INTIMATIONS THAT POWERFUL CORPORATIONS SUCH AS LOCKHEED, BOEING OR GENERAL DYNAMICS WERE BEHIND JFK'S MURDER BUT THIS SHOT-GUN APPROACH TO FINDING CULPRITS HINDERS MORE THAN HELPS SERIOUS RESEARCHERS AS YOURSELF WHEN INDIVIDUALS LOOK TO CRITICS TO FIND TRULY SIGNIFICANT RESULTS.

MY QUESTION RAISED ABOUT WHAT THE EXACT NATURE OF AMERICAN OIL SHOULD NOT BE HELD TO CONSIDER ONLY AMERICAN OIL BUT SHOULD EXTEND ALSO TO ANY OTHER ENTITY SUCH AS GENERAL DYNAMICS OR EVEN THE CIA. IF ONE STATES THAT IT WAS A "RENEGADE" ELEMENT WITHIN THE CIA THAT WAS FULLY OR PARTLY RESPONSIBLE WHO ARE WE TALKING ABOUT? AGAIN, A BROAD BRUSH APPROACH CAN ONLY DETER OUR EFFORTS UNLESS ONE IS WILLING TO OFFER SOME DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT ONE'S CLAIMS.

(3) NOTABLY LACKING IN VIRTUALLY ALL OF THE BOOKS ON THE ASSASSINATION IS ANY MENTION OF THE MILITARY AND ITS ROLE IN THE JFK AFFAIR. TO BE FAIR A NUMBER OF BOOKS HAVE POINTED OUT CERTAIN ASPECTS OF THEIR INVOLVEMENT SUCH AS THEIR PARTICIPATION IN THE AUTOPST AND THEIR CLOSE SCRUTINY OF OSWALD PARTICULARLY WHILE HE WAS IN NEW ORLEANS.

~~SECRET~~ 4

BUT BY "THE MILITARY", I MEAN HERE THE PENTAGON. ANTHONY ~~SMITH~~ SUMMERS IN HIS BOOK, "CONSPIRACY", POINTS ^{OUT} THAT "INTELLIGENCE INVOLVEMENT IN THE ASSASSINATION REMAINS AN ^{OPEN} ~~OPEN~~ QUESTION" (QUOTEING A LAWYER ON THE STAFF OF THE CONGRESS ASSASSINATIONS COMMITTEE) AND ^{HE} ALSO POINTS OUT THAT "THE DEFENSE DEPARTMENT HELD OUT ON US. AND THAT -AFTER ALL - IS THE DEPARTMENT THAT DESTROYED THE OSWALD ^(A STATEMENT BY A STAFF AIDE) FILE." I SHOULD POINT OUT, ALSO, THAT SUMMERS REFERS TO "CLUES" SUGGESTING THAT OSWALD PRIOR TO THE ASSASSINATION WAS "THE TOOL OF SOME PART OF AMERICAN INTELLIGENCE."

IN MY VIEW I FEEL QUITE STRONGLY THAT OSWALD WAS AN AGENT FOR THE ONI BUT I DO NOT STATE SO AS A FACT PROVEN. THIS IS AN ASSUMPTION BASED ON VARIOUS ITEMS I'VE LOOKED INTO IN THE PAST AND ON WHICH I HOPE TO DO MORE RESEARCH. ~~IF~~ IF YOU HAVE ANY INFORMATION IN THIS AREA I'D BE MOST APPRECIATIVE.

BUT GETTING BACK TO THE MILITARY OR PENTAGON ANGLE. IF YOU WILL RECALL HAROLD WEISBERG HAD A LETTER PRINTED IN THE ~~NATION~~ "NATION" MAGAZINE LAST ^{YEAR} ~~YEAR~~, I BELIEVE. IN IT HE REFERRED TO THE FACT THAT AFTER THE CUBAN MISSILE CRISIS OF 1962 KENNEDY CALLED INTO HIS OFFICE EACH ONE OF THE CHIEFS OF STAFFS OF THE MILITARY AND, ONE BY ONE, GAVE THEM A BITTER TONGUE LASHING. A NATION WRITER IN REPLY AS A REBUTTAL SAID THAT DESPITE THIS IT MADE NO DIFFERENCE: JFK WAS A COLD WARRIOR AND WE MUST JUDGE HIM BY HIS ACTIONS AND NOT HIS RHETORIC NO MATTER HOW HIGH SOUNDING IT WAS ABOUT PUTTING AN END TO THE COLD WAR OR ABOLISHING WAR.

BUT THE REBUTTAL MADE NO REFERENCE AT ALL TO THE ^{UNUSUAL} ~~UNUSUAL~~ EVENT OF JFK CALLING IN EACH CHIEF OF STAFF AND TELLING THEM WHAT HE THOUGHT. NOW WHERE IN THE LITERATURE OR DOCUMENTATION IS THERE A REFERENCE TO THIS EVENT? ^{SCHOLARS?} AND, IF NOT, WHY HASN'T THIS BEEN BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF ~~SCHOLARS~~ ^{SCHOLARS?} DOES THIS HAVE

ANYTHING AT ALL TO DO WITH THE ASSASSINATION? WHAT SECRETS ABOUT THE CUBAN MISSILE CRISIS HAVE NOT BEEN REVEALED THAT WOULD TOUCH UPON ITS POSSIBLE ROLE IN LEADING TO THE ASSASSINATION? IN MANY WAYS THE 1962 CUBAN MISSILE CRISIS LOOMS MORE IMPORTANT THAN KENNEDY'S FAILURE WITH THE 1961 BAY OF PIGS MILITARY MISHAP. REMEMBER THAT AFTER THE CUBAN INVASION KENNEDY VOWED THAT HE WOULD BREAK THE CIA INTO A THOUSAND PIECES. IF THAT IS TRUE (AND HIS ADVISER, FRIEND AND HISTORIAN SCHLESINGER SAYS IT IS) WHAT KIND OF VOWS DID JFK MAKE TO HIMSELF AND OTHERS AFTER PUTTING DOWN ALL THE CHIEFS OF STAFF? THIS INFIGHTING BETWEEN JFK AND THE MILITARY HAS NEVER BEEN FULLY DOCUMENTED. I, FOR ONE, WOULD CERTAINLY LIKE TO KNOW AND I'M SURE YOU WOULD TOO.

(4) THIS IS MY NEXT TO FINAL QUESTION. WHAT SPECIFIC STEPS DO YOU THINK SHOULD BE TAKEN BY RESPONSIBLE CRITICS AND RESEARCHERS TO ADVANCE OUR CASE AND POSITION FOR UNCOVERING THE TRUTH ABOUT THIS WHOLE AFFAIR? I REALIZE, AS I'M SURE YOU DO, THAT THIS CAN NEVER BE A MASS MOVEMENT BUT THERE IS THE HISTORICAL MOMENTUM THE EARLY CRITICS PROVIDED THAT CANNOT BE DISMISSED.

WHEN DAVID LIFTON WAS BEING INTERVIEWED ON TELEVISION ABOUT HIS AUTOPSY FINDINGS A FEW MONTHS BACK HE ALLUDED TO EFFORTS HE HAS UNDERTAKEN TO PEACH CERTAIN "KEY" CONGRESSMEN. ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY EFFORTS IN YOUR AREA - IF SO, I'D LIKE TO KNOW MORE ABOUT THEM?

(5) FOR MANY THE JFK MURDER IS A "CLOSED" ISSUE BUT EVEN J. EDGAR HOOVER, HIMSELF, STATED THAT AS FAR AS THE FBI WAS CONCERNED "THE CASE WILL BE CONTINUED IN AN OPEN CLASSIFICATION FOR ALL TIME." (MY EMPHASIS).

HOOVER'S TIME CONSTRAINTS MAY BE HARDER TO TAKE THAN

THE FBI'S SO-CALLED RE-ENACTMENT OF THE ASSASSINATION FROM THE SIXTH FLOOR OF THE TEXAS SCHOOLBOOK DEPOSITORY BUT THERE CAN BE NO DOUBT THAT MANY OF US DEMAND ANSWERS A LOT SOONER THAN "FOR ALL TIME."

TOWARDS THAT END I AM HERE PROPOSING THAT WE HOLD A NATIONAL CONFERENCE OR CONVENTION IN SOME LARGE METROPOLITAN AMERICAN CITY IN 1991. THE EXPRESS PURPOSE WOULD BE TO PROVIDE A VEHICLE OR AVENUE OF EXPRESSION FOR THOSE ACTIVELY ENGAGED IN RESEARCH ON THE JFK ASSASSINATION SO THAT WE CAN SHARE OUR IDEAS, SUGGEST NEW MODES OF INVESTIGATION THAT WOULD INCLUDE NEW TECHNOLOGIES UNREALIZED IN THE 1960'S AND OTHERWISE ADVANCE OUR GOALS BY POLITICAL MEANS FOR IT IS ONLY IN THE POLITICAL ARENA THAT WE CAN ACCOMPLISH THE GOALS WE SEEK.

IF YOU ARE INTERESTED IN SEEING TO IT THAT SUCH A CONFERENCE OR CONVENTION BE HELD PLEASE LET ME KNOW. I AM WRITING TO OTHER RESEARCHERS SUCH AS YOURSELF AND WOULD APPRECIATE YOUR THOUGHTS OR PROPOSALS. AFTER I RECEIVE A SUFFICIENT NUMBER OF REPLIES AND IDEAS I WILL AGAIN COMMUNICATE WITH YOU TO ESTABLISH THE DATE AND PLACE.

I DO WISH TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR REPLY TO ALL OF THE ABOVE FIVE POINTS. I INTEND TO DO ALL I CAN TO SEE THAT THIS IMPORTANT ISSUE IS NOT A CLOSED AFFAIR OR A "DEAD" ISSUE

YOURS TRULY,
Hal Verb
HAL VERB