

Dear Hal,

2/6/92

Your Priority package w. handwritten but undated letter mailed 2/4 came today. Telling you now should eliminate past confusion. Many thanks

Busy indeed! Had taping scheduled for KKAS at 3 a.m. yesterday and they were only a few minutes late.

You said nothing about your health. Hope that is a good indication.

Thanks re Kangas. I've made a ~~separate~~ separate file for him, and what you think is his. Yes, the kooky stuff is also important for the historical record.

Persisting splitting of fingertips discourages typing!

From what I recall I can't think of any questions to which Seaborg could respond. If he heard or knows something, that is different. The FBI foreclosed the AEC and I got their NAA records. Gallagher badmouthed the AEC's expert, Aebersold, to keep him out and he did do that. Gallagher went to the Tenn. AEC plant where the work was done by a contractor, AEC getting copies.

But I think it would be good to learn if he knows anything he thinks could be of interest. He might.

Thanks and best,

"
stal

HAL VERB
P.O. Box 421815
SAN FRANCISCO, CA.
94142-1815

HAROLD WEISBERG
7627 OLD RECEIVER ROAD
FREDERICK, MD. 21701

Rec'd 2/6/92

Dear Hal:

This will be quite brief.

I'm enclosing copies of articles, magazine stories, and a variety of items all related to the JFK assassination. Some of it is in the "hookville" realm which, of course, you'll readily recognize. I thought it important to keep as part of the historical record.

You'll see an ad enclosed where I'm to be the speaker at a "Socialist Action" forum which will be held this coming Friday (Feb. 7) at 8 p.m. here in S.F. I chose the theme "JFK = THE COVER UP CONTINUES". I will have it in 3 parts = (A) discussion of the movie "JFK" & how the controversy started; (B) The nature of the cover up and why it is still continuing + (C) suggestions for effective political action to make the most of the situation.

By the way your name will figure heavily in my presentation. Someone is going to make a video which he intends to show on "VACOM" (which, I believe, is a cable outlet). I don't have cable but I'll try to have a copy of the video sent to you.

There are a couple of other things I should mention in the pile of stuff I'm sending you.

You'll note the so-called, "SPECIAL REPORT - JFK assassination solved!" (I put a rubber-band around

it or you'll notice it fairly quickly. There is no ~~author~~ author listed. However, in the next to the last page it shows a New York address & a P.O. Box address in San Francisco (under boxholder). It is my belief the whole concoction was put together by a local "researcher" here known as Paul Kangas. Kangas puts out different items from time to time (read the 4 page "Jail Bush For the Murder of JFK" which I've enclosed). Kangas is largely responsible (in this area at least for promoting the "three tramps" theory, the "Nixon knew Jack Ruby" fiction & now the George Bush was the CIA man who ~~was~~ was given information about Miami anti-Castro exiles & their reaction to JFK's death.

I enclosed a "Realist" item which mentions Kangas & the Nixon-Ruby fiction. As for Paul Kressna's comment that when Nixon learned about Ruby shooting Oswald he told a reporter, "Two rights don't make a wrong - I mean ---", I can't vouch for this but I had heard this alleged statement a long time ago & always wondered who ~~could~~ could thoroughly document this.

You'll note also the Austin Chronicle, Dec. 27, 1991 which "discusses" the George Bush involvement beginning with his call to the FBI about a right-winger (James Parrott) who is alleged to have made a threat to kill JFK when he was in Houston.

Getting back to the "JFK (SPECIAL REPORT)" you'll note that on page 36 it states that: "The Pepsi 'convention' was actually the planning meeting for the JFK assassination." When I read this I

said to myself, "at last, they've found out who
the conspirators really were - it's the "Pepsi Generation"!
(my emphasis).

I've also enclosed a 9-page study of "JFK"
(the film) which is by Dave Perry. He sent it to me
saying that "Hampshire" magazine would publish it.
Perry is an excellent investigator (he's the one who did
that great piece for the "Third Decade" exposing the
whole Roscoe White fiasco). You have a copy I sent you
on the White thing Perry wrote.

As I was about to close this letter I received a
phone call from a researcher from Palo Alto (his name is
Ted Rubenstein). He told me that he had learned from
Jonathan Marshall (who used to be with the Oakland
Tribune and is now with the S.F. Chronicle) that Bob
Ranflet had died in a motorcycle accident apparently
collapsing at the wheel. Ranflet was an excellent JFK
researcher (I met him two or three times, although briefly).
The death occurred Jan. 29th. Rubenstein got in
touch with Paul Hoch (at my suggestion) & said that
Hoch knew about Ranflet's death. Paul told Ted
that Ranflet had been under medication for depression.
I believe there is supposed to be an autopsy taken
to determine the cause of death. Paul told Ted that
Ranflet had been coming back from a CABLE-TV
taping he had made (Ted did not know whether the
taping had anything to do with the JFK case). I called
the fellow who is doing the video of my talk (Feb. 7)
& he did not know anything. He suggested it
might be a cable station 26 known as KTSF-TV.
This fellow will call them & see if they know
anything about this.

-4-

Shortly after I spoke with Ted I got a call from Tom Sanders. I told Tom to ask Jonathan Marshall if he knew anything more about the Sanftel incident. Tom did not know Sanftel but is a good friend of Marshall - they knew each other when Marshall was at the Oakland Tribune!

I guess I'll close now. I thought I could keep this brief but as you can see events unfold sometimes making this difficult.

When you get the chance do write. I know you must be awfully busy. I know I am. I get calls from all over - they don't seem to stop!

Best,

Hal Verbo

P.S. - Almost forgot! I happen to know very well the son of Glenn T. Seaborg (whom, of course, is mentioned in "Post Mortem"). In fact, I've met Glenn T. Seaborg himself several times (the last time I met him I discussed with him the question of "cold fusion" an experiment which he strongly doubted. In fact, he was correct as that experiment was totally flawed. I never discussed the JFK case with him. His son did tell me that one day J. Edgar Hoover, himself, had called Glenn & it was an "odd" call he couldn't quite figure out.

This is what I'd like to ask of you. Can you submit a list of questions I could ask him about his role in the JFK case. I'm familiar with your work on it. It's possible Dr. Seaborg may have in his possession notes or memos he's kept. What do you think? (I'm on good terms with Dr. Seaborg so I think he would give honest replies). — Hal