Hal Verb
$1 / 23 / 95$
po Box 421815
San Fr:ancidco, CA 94142-1:15
Dear Hal,
I apureciate the trouble and expenso you went to and tine contents with your $1 / 21$, here yesterdaj afternoon but there are nonc in the Washington area $\perp$ know who do not already know about the $1 / 25$ hasing. there in another anpect of hearings iike that one to wici I draw your attention. Ihwse he rings are to let the bofad know what rucords exist that have not been disclosech. When as has haprened at several of those hearings of which $I$ know the nuts who know nothing at all about actual recoris and cyre even less about then run off at the mouth with their nuttiness it turns the board off and give fuel to those who may not be all thath inous for there to be full disclosure. I am confident that the stafi wats fuli disclosure and I presume this may be true of some members of tike board but the nore nonsense and worso they are given the more that interferes with disclosure and the willingness to go to the trouble some of them means. I heve given them specifics of actual records witheld and copies of records that so indicate. When the Judges and Livingsyones put on the performance they did at Copa and the harrs fifid at Dallas that dcos $n$.t holp, and it does hurt. Tise kind of srap from thesse and from others hurts. It does nct help. ind there is nothine the board can get Iron those who are ignorant of the actual records, have never had any interest iv thom, Then consider also the consequences of porsuadin; the board of mat it not true and having it make serious efiocts to get ... what does not exist. Sio, I think we do not want to recard the board as what it is not anl that we do not want the nuts to appary, waste their time, and make it appear that those who say thers are withheld records are not to be crecited.

I acree with liantik on tho Dale heyers sturf. I see no real usefulnes:a in the Heyers approach.

I think Brandt is worth no time at all.
I do not know the answer on 'rus. Back. I. suppose it is possible.
I thin: time spent on Carr is wasted.
I agree with you on Pauline Bates.
I do not know the answer re those splotches pelmaps frof fingerptint powder but acain, I do notse that this $\overline{\bar{x}}$ can, laad to anythinf of value. Do you know anything about 准 Naldron? Wartin Haldron who was a IVY tinos reporter was a friend.
of your double had-shot article, which I think is food, I also agree with you ihat it as wrong to go off the records as they did, with anything at all.

Hallace and Cyril did a gool job on Lattimer who eeems dedicated to destroying any criedibility he Fever had. U/jich a think was; litjle to begin with.

I ar concorned about th: wastin; to two fon the bafrd and its staff. There is siaply an enomous volum of records in man moverment agency files and they'll not be able to get anytimil: lile all on thom disclosed in any event. So any time wasted for then js hurtful. In adretion, tho more thoy wheck and find foolish the less they will feel dotemined to porseverc. rihon the ere are seemines solid cases that can be medc, lina that the back of JK' o hoa. was blown out. Whother or not this indicates or can incibato any records boins withnold, before a case is goven to isuch a body there should be some checkins, of existin; feact. Hot just an argunent to make the case for the theory. In thas instance, what doe: the Zapruder film show? That the back of the heas weas not blown out. $\mathrm{S}_{0}$, unloss it;en can be shown that the film was doctored, and $£$ believe tha: is japossiblo, givines anythins like this to the baord is counterproductive, hurtful and tuins it off and diminishes all clse they are given.

Gary diguilar apears to we put out over my disagreement with somothing that is probably a theory. the did notres;ponsd when - ssked him for tho sorube of rinck sayine that there vere pictures taken at the autopy he did not see when shown supposedly all those picture snd he did not responsd when - made a suerestion to him about ho: he could carry forward with Jaili what he started and others did when they first protested the Humes defenso. I have no personal feeline about this. I rather like Gary. But not tellinf. no the Sousse of that Finck stotenent was hurtful. Wot in the least to me personallu. I'll regret it very much if ho and those he doals with i'ind thenselves in the end like thofeydise men of Hindustan who in tho poem wore taken to an elephant and each described what hafelt, life a aighi tree, a snare, etc. I think that those who believe the autopsy fion was ltoye: with should bortn ber bein: heir oup devil's alvocates, as I suggested to both ary and lientik. The besio question for them to address is why would anybne run tim risk on toyim; with the filin only th wind un with what destroys the purpose of any such tricks, witi fin thei destroys the oficial story? Fow one tiong, and there a:e norr then this off, with those 40 cust-like frogmats in a head Aray. 'That is Gbsolutely inposable with miliony amo. ©r shoumig fragents where the autopsists siai there were none. To illustrate what withholdine that info frorfine can mean, I lmew a bonre stafif member bufure he was on the stafl. - could eive it to him and then he'd have a vastis for secizing the misaine film. fand it lave talcon tirne for wary whener he phoned ani wotc. wo bis blowback on aw such projocts ank they'll see what in man. And with that back oi the head blan owt then, the 2 film shows tio head there intact at about finme $3 \dot{j}$, for scvmal frmes. Snd i $1 \therefore$ vero poscible to alter so tiny an original, hardly nore than $1 / 4$ in maximum dimension, so that on projoction to a width of five feet, What + hed when $i$ sav those silides in early 190 or late 1966 , and not have it show, which I do not for a minute believe, what thon aiont havinf, access to all the copies so they, ton, could be ffered. and what iii one vas not sotten and altered? Again thenks, and best wishes,
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Han VERB - $0.00 \times 421815$ S,F, CA. 94/12-1815

Harod Weirsgra
7627 OLD Peceive Roxd
FFENGAC, MD. 21002
Deartal=
I'm unting this letter $t$ you on Satudry with a kind of whit to mpke oure 1 est it to thport office in tine ar the yw can receive at rathe pumptly.

The reasom for thio wish is tat there will be an assassination Remens Peviev-Boal hearing in Washing Linom Wednesdoy Ton $223 k+d$ coanted to miske certaincpurecrive the tems indosed plus orivegur time tr ale it peipll you kenow on the Washingem ave to go to the Rearing-l Rave nordea who the Oourl will heest, Perkaps you could aleit Jion lesen so ther to godoun altend.

In any vout d cm inclosing the following tom
 ofpreciateyour commantion which dill mote on my listing) :
Hew ackarso =


Thony un Convally FGAP AT ZAPRUCe FAMME Z-22K
(Thyview is that the "floip" was caused ly the wind). Grem
comments appreciated.
(3) FOP a gent SWASLIGGEN neur bove: D've mat him + he toll me phsmally of the scresof "black bag"

Pate $20 f 6$
Fobs white it Che Cicago FBI office. 1 asked Bill Jurner tr seif he woll ask Uraenmen if ho bencur GUY BANNSTFS.
(4) the letter ly Er Brendt to myoelf m fis clefense of the Waren Emmissin thein view thit 3 sing wer fired t all fan tha 6 flom. Brandt was tho uitness d helped to diviver (he showel up in follos on the occasinn of the 30 itx a miveray i'Th's dosty. Fe poring tod to photo in
 ammehat 2 wre then!" (Gou can see rim in Unellis \#f the equivalent z-prime Nole Aat onendt aqued cirth mi chit the pinst shot stuck JFK (thig of ot fim him on 3 differentocassion, once in $T V$ in Dollw at the " 1 sh" Sympouim d lvenot got neplied to him (am unking on a loy siply to-him. He doeen 't realije tht hio ous obsenatims seinfice my cmalusin rebutting" no compiracy".

Fim a invers ation I had (folayin foct/ Llearned frim GanyShair that 3 sardt has quer can "OMA (tstopel "tape to the "G the flon Exhibt" where Bromelt "s now wirking for them as a "dreent". An that "Histoy" he sap he Reard 2 thots. Shaw said Chat arandt immediately after the finst ohot ren back tovard the fenoll parking area. This is pretly much what ts melt toed me in 1993 when d pist dwocored him + lozated him on the Willis 形 shovo.

Brantt is alss quoled in the litect "Dotelinalallas" magayin ly a ciman uputer from Floida who says Gsindt hearl 2 shots. Thu we have 2 sources fo his otatiment.
d'd appreciate your Comments on what Branatt unite to ne tanythny pu can add an this.

Pale $30 f 6$
(5) Dr.Mantik's suply to the Myens auticle in "the VIDEO TAATER USDE" magayine in which MYEAS "provides" compnoter "poopf" of noconspindcy. (llm sicheprozess of usiting a replyto Myes article ot dunbestan l $n_{-}$milane $\bar{s}$ too Coun commonth on this are efpheciated. I previoushy sent yue a copry of Myew articlel.
(6) GainMack's great rewiew of Sevaly Olivers book (he doenn't bryher bing in Dealey) - Hote that Gay mentims a piossible che to Ch" Bobush $k_{x}$ lady" bosed in a Kolsk man's experience. Coull this be刃ns. Beck?? Incidentally, Gary has now leenceppointed the A chivist fri the 'Gt Flon Elhbit. I am in touch woth him. Gary rephacel an archivist who sxy he was vorted out pubably bec ause of his activism $m$ the case.
(7) Th dettu fron Wegsma Can to a texas poper (he'0 etill defending the Uaven Cmomissiun $/ 4$ the neply to him lya reseauch. Note that Conhai his adehes listed os it $\overline{5}$ now eacy to unte to him. Phy friand, Tonsandes, knue Can when tom hived in lubbove, texas.
(8) The 4 pays of documents on Sergiv Carbo Cubrapteansin yven brok, "Oowaldin Ner Orleani". d've poinlet oat to a reseauher unking on the C 480
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angle that CARBO was also involved in the CIA deot to coverthvow-Inyztian President Goulail in the apring of 1964 . Frow a reseanch ifru in toach with he indicates to me that Mccoy uas unsturemental in thas plot throagh a mining company MKCloy repreceriled at tho time cuken MCClry cuas alor unping for the Wanen Comviss ion). Whatlo interesting to nolle in fais whole GARBO capen co that Carlo's son way in the Bayoffigs imasion. If areill recall SerpiOarbr hal stited in a newo aitiale chat there would 've"in a shot time" an "event" orcursung Chot would "dramatically alt." the peacefal cogistence policy betwen the 2 super-praverd Us $t$ Aussia). I alurap thrught c(lebd's comment (mall $m " 119 / 63$ ) refened to the JFll asoassuration but a nourbelieve d un usongt Cont CARBO probably uwnefening to a second inasion of Cibra. d could bewzory bit something wasinthe wind. And, if, inleed, Oabrbson was inthe Ouban bruasim of (96) whrsebetter ear could he have listered to than his own son!

Wote also is Those CABB payes that then is a veference to "Oswald's manusscript" plios a belief held lya"stemrgiophen" who thoaght Opwald was "an agent". His my belief that
thro refers to Parline Batis. Wass't Butus the coman le recall reading many, many yass back who thed to dery Onvill as Kaving a "ayptit clearanee. \& know head that ome wren in ome borebut dcan't recall wher a ly whon bat my memory payp thit ot was a cormem unith?
( $q$ Indiosed is a 9 pas FPCC pamprilet cuitten by V.T Lee on "Cubran Count tr-Revolitimavies in the U.S." It was one of her pouphellets Opuald oplered foon the flce $y$ induded en the inventory of items found in Paine's gavage. I had askel a researches Lamaillulhm, oossei ithe coalf sit a cypy of ot at The Oichirs whis he frequently vosts bit hepail the Quchiws 'otme walled"nim. Uken he finally got a gasix cony whot he gil was whit ltm melorong. a, Comerexplained it to me the dark oplotches beginning to afifpem ipfye + (it thewifeu) whe due $t_{0}=$ Che rexult 7 " If migenpint dusting" (obrviuldy dine by the $(B)$ ). Lama pronted out $G$ me thot no such dark shits oppecoed on eitter the froot o brech cove only on the irside! Why? This $\bar{s}$ what camer told me (the explanation war proided fir fim ly the NA archurit whon I peseume is fter filly. What in you evoluatar fall thi? When do you thins the firveppunt testing was
done-puir tolamarío requast or aftur Lamaris request to ootain a copy, My guess is that it was before $x$ nist ofta

The mentreseng thingobroit this V.T. Lee pampRlet is foit duas partly seyfmibite fir it being piented. When VTT bee put told mehe Mal infurmation abret what the axile wer doenz ot thein han for a secend invasing toen him this had to be punbe t ciculated widely. Dhe information hall Toget out tith general mivlic. He aqced $\theta d$ loaned him moneyto ent at pinted \& distubuiter. Lee did pay meback latu. When Onoull orleud that pamplet my verur © Ghat Onvald hol to fiol out ar best the conld what the kicle plans werc even ithe hod an "eac" towhit thy were wary through an erile ( onexce group).
(10) Thellashing tunspectatua $(1 / 1 / 4 / 4$ a tribe on the ARRB ub-coming Rewsing Note th Jor 25 to wose Rewing
 miami ic La. Have you ary inho on tha? (d guss' thisatich was unten iy Max \& Heliand).
(II) The Withansafie antide on the JFKC Gopes.



Have to noun nowid want to ext this mailed out printo-! Boet,

