Dear Hal，

## 12／28／95

Good letter to imerican Heritage，which i事 eertainly is not．You＇ll get ho response．

Glad you are thinking about the book version．Please also koep in kind the ．they possibility of adding more on the officialy－acknowledged shots．One thing you may want to keep in mind is the firmness with which people stick to the impossible．as a reminder I return the clipping you sent me．

I do not know ani do not recall hearing from Case but unless there is more than one by the name I recoll olson from his undergraduate days．He and his mother came here， and I think he was at the Univ．$/$ Mich． $2 H e$ also did some melonry with Paul that Doug has I think rather effectively refuted．

CK on Case＇s desire to keep his paper to himself until published，I can under－ stand that．But caution him not to hold his breath．

Good letter by Gary．When you speak to him if he has anything in riting that hey can send me for file on the Breo book cancellation I＇d dike to have it on file．

Even if NEVER AGAIN！did not cause it．
I＇o．bad the 乍这 Guardian is so much less than that．But what isn＇t now？
（1）think you should take up with the pestmaster the crude opening bf yout mal especially if you can show it betore you leave the P．O．

I fear Mailer is of tha past and his work is，too．No bonest writer，no matter how great his talent，can survibe any association with such scavengers as Schiller．

I hope you are having a productive week．
$B_{\text {arb phoned me last week．She says Doug had been busy on other matters．}}$
I＇ve read Livingstone $a^{\prime}$＇s cahpter on the supposed doctoring of the Z film and note in it Gary＇s and Kantik＇s involvament with him．Galy 11 yer learn that $I$ told him correctly about association witia these who can hurt his reputation．It is terrible atuff． So is the last part of Brown＇s，which I＇m into now．

Thanks and best，


HAL VERB PO, Box 421815 S.F.CA.94142-1815

Hanoi Wesbareg
7627 OLD Receive RoAd
Fresterick, MD. 21702
Dental=
This entire next week I Rave off from work to of can devote moretime on looking at particular items live wanted to chock out. $d$ am taking your suggestion seriously about doing a frow on the first shot ividmee and d've done a brief out hire of the sources trope. Naturally, goren books will be cited. When $d$ do cire up worth a near -completed text d'll submit it tr you for suggestions, enow, etc. Of course, I'll neal to br uniting to manyctifferent people to see if I can get thin observation, comments devoting comments thinly to the" fist shot widince" approach. Resides gunself, other Ill be in touch with include fay Mach. See Sene ave, Ion Olsen and DougfeSalles. All aqua with the first shot thesis Impropersing with onlyloug dissenting on the originating point. In case yin may not re all Olsen curate an article. bockinthe early 1970 's utilizing graphs and his backqoued in physics todermontate the validity of the hypothesis and Sere Case is the perand met at COPA this year whee did a
ditaitel vier analysis of the blurring effect o of the $z$-film. d ont you a copryof his article which apseamed in the COPA abstract bulletin thy published in 1995. Cru should have et.

Ease and Il have been in contact ard he recently sent me an updated draft of a proposed aitide hi's during, disproving the Alvarez analysis of the $z$-film. $d$ corull've sent your a copy but hi asper me mut to send any copies out to anyone until he gets it published. d did asp Care forpermiss in to use $x$ and 10 refer to ct in my proposed poke he agreed. Ombined with the other somas dIm now going through at think a canoay that mypoposed book will be "definitwr" on the first shot. With or min ir or the so called"ontros "setting st wrong and hupelessly"for out" one can, at last, yo to one single pounce and ante it as primary. d hope d can doth, at least.
as fun as other events happening thar is lite to report. I did get you note about rising the Maxttolland article fro ore of you chapters. Trwouls Ghat end, dm enclosing, a hand batten ester d wo te to the American Hertaye about the Hollaml article dive had ne reply but Ill study future issus to pee what the letters to the editor do say.
also endorsed is the November, 1995 yonne $7^{\prime \prime}$ ONNECostr" Cconpletejpunted by co pa plus some articles and letless to the chita whilipu shoulifind of interest. Note the article on the new Hivinystine book in which article yore are gaoled.

Sassy a guitar toed me that Derris precis purposed book has been cancelled. Gary diden 4 tell me whit the recon was bit ot would by int resting to know why.

Gory and al submitted twranticles to the local bay area "alternative" newopsper here (the"Bayftuardian") and that was a month ayr- after repealed calls to are of the editors who told me "they're "working an it" rot a word has appeared. At could be thy' re scared as Garb' thirst was to mil "JANA" ( 4 the AMA in tum for their abhor ions handing in the "sin" issue. Ny article was a criticism of the ARE A repriced objectively (A couldive been a lot harder but cons thinking of how th" "Bay Guardian" would react if t were mine hash-hilling. on retros ofect, since they 'ven not pribloshed it, apparently tho made no difference). The "Bay Guiliair "twist to Resent ito ff as afealess journal that dues to counter "establiskman" profess but it is easily cowed. Just to give gur an example of the bird of otsies they did punt within the last month was a "fluff "price o a a Minuter who "infiltacied" ${ }^{2}$ meeting of stree intelligence offices and another article on "the best bees to buy cis. F'" (was the aimed for Joe Siifack?2). So for my callow hay been con anowad as tow hat they will te doing with om a tides.

And speaking about om "dos nothing grarnals, lime been receiving as yup probably are the ARNB announcements about what theine ip to. One of the in latest rupert pays that documents the ANRB have tipped up relate to HSCA paywall records" Astounding! This certainly ss one cray of getting to the bottom of the whole cure!

Is should note here that firm time to time lye mold that the envelope drecenve containing the ARRB

Reports has been torn open (as if to see what to inside of $t$. O en tho be an mangle of the government $^{\text {a }}$ spying upon itself??! Well, maybe they'll learn something from reading the intents. Who prows!

You had in a previous letter asked if d knew of Aomeme at "Rolling tone" magazine but d do not. meme like fail Grosser unsold be gen bust bet as he knows the area better than d.

Onefinal mote and this bears on Moran Marti. The lalest issue of" "Ne N. Y. Review of Books" ( $1 / 1 / 166$ ) has a book review of Thaile's latest bork in picasso the a tickle is by loge Shatheck who happens to be President of the Associatur of - teary Scholars and Critics.

Shattuck posits out that Mailer's preface to his new book lays claim to "no original scholashis". And priv to this Shattuck refers to mailer's "lazy assumptions". d thought you'd get a bock out of these refiraress to Mattertricidentally, Mite was severely taken to task fir his broken Picasso in an issue of the "Ratio". Since you suit you subscribe to it yon May have seen it. Tre"nation" article goes much fur thu thar the NY Review docs.

Nell, that is allfor nourd can think of d hope you work is coming abrys $d$ will keep you posted on my efforts.

Best,
Hapless

