

cc Gary

6/28/69

Dear Hal,

You will recall that when I was out there more than a year ago you took me to a friend's friend who you thought would be in a position to get certain kinds of information for me. Nothing came of that. Thereafter you wrote to say someone was making a trip and if I'd send questions he'd seek the answers. Later you told me he was unable to complete the trip and no longer had the questions.

Apparently, in some manner, he did get to ask some of the questions. I have gotten a single copy of a paper in a language I do not read. When I can I'll learn what, if anything, it has of interest.

There may be more. Time will tell. I'd rather you did keep this ENTIRELY to yourself.

I repeat what you have ignored, that you should before this have considered the consequences of your judgement being wrong.

Dave and Fred, whether or not you know it, have been conducting a vicious, non-stop campaign of slander against me. What I know of it is what I can deduce from questions that are asked me. In the course of getting fact, rather than depending on recollection, I have discovered that with Dave this goes way back, to well before we met, to the summer of 1966. At that time there could not possibly have been anything even his sick mind could regard as reason. Eventually you will not be able to avoid the reality. I fear when you do you will be greatly embarrassed. Meanwhile, if I can read between the lines with any degree of accuracy, he is invoking you in confirmation of these things he is saying. I hate to believe it. More, there has been a measureable effect I think can be attributed to nothing else, with the result a diminution in the work that is done, what is accomplished. This, too, is measureable. It is not conjecture. It has happened.

Of course, I am not unmindful of the greatness that flows from Dave's mind when it is not just sick with slanders and helping Liebelers. There was the book of the papier-mache trees, that superbly proven system of Brown and Root tunnels in the Plaza, that long week of conspiratorial meetings in Texas between Johnson and Dulles (also, exactly the same Johnson with the same evidence proving it was Rusk) ending in the assassination they plotted, that Thornley affidavit that just happened to approximate a frameup of Heindell. Crook that he paints me, isn't it strange that I haven't stolen all of these great accomplishments from him? Or that I didn't steal from Fred his proof that each and every frame of the Zapruder film was immediately faked, carefully touched up - but not carefully enough? Of course I did steal from Fred his detection of changes in color in the Zapruder film. Of this they have irrefutable proof - my writing to tell Fred about it about a year before he ever saw that film. This is not impossible. It is just that I am that good a crook. If Dave hasn't told you this yet, ask him. They have proof of other things I stole from Fred, again established in writing, like his thanks to me for the pictures I gave him, a new kind of theft, again establishing my accomplishments. Let you don't know as skilled a crook anywhere!

Hal, Hal, what are you mixed up in?

Sincerely,