August 15, 1966

923A Fulton St. San Francisco, Cal. 94117

Dear Mr. Weisberg,

Just a few brief lines. Looks like it is now becoming respectable to criticize the Warren Commission judging from the press here. In the fast three days there have appeared articles in the San Francisco Chronicle on Lane, Epstein and yourself. I am enclosing one of the articles written by Donald Stanley of the San Francisco Examiner. He takes a very good line on Lane's book and seems at least to present your book in a good light as you can see. I suggest that, in the future, if you have any published material you include him in your mailing list. (I might point out that the S.F. Examiner, a Hearstowned paper on Sundays prints a jointly-published edition and, in fact, both are owned by the same chain).

Am also enclosing an article included in a Mexican paper a friend sent me. I t mentions your book. It appeared in "El Dia" on August 8, 1966. You might send them a copy also of your book since they claim your book and Epstein's are "Indispensable".

One other thing I would like to ask. In checking out a list of things at the National Archives recently (with Paul Hoch) I was startled to find a report on something that I had independently discovered. I do not know what the Archives report on this is but the numbers of the exhibits are #958 and #959. #958 reads: "FBI letterhe ad memorandum entitled "Lee Harvey Oswald" re: Arnold LNU # Arnold Louis Kessler....
4-:4-64 - San Francisco." and #959 reads: "FBI letterhead memorandum entitled "Lee Harvey Oswald" re: Arnold Louis Kessler....
Washington, D.C." It is my understanding that #958 is available and that #959 is not because it is a secret document. Can you obtain for me #958 and if it is available #9592 If you can do so I would appreciate it very much. If there is any charge involved I small reimburse you. Do you think you could send it to me before November 24?

I hope that this last matter will not inconvenience you too much but this document could prove (or provide evidence) of) something I have felt was the case. You will be able to understand this after I have had a chance to evaluate it. I will then offer you what my opinion is on this particular aspect.

In the meantime, best regards to you.

Sincerely, Handley-Harold Verb

Sic des 81.8