

Dear Cindy,

Your letter of 6/11 arrived today. When we make a really serious effort, there is never, really, a failure. We may not succeed in our immediate objective, but that is not the same as failure. You were very helpful. This refusal to make available what is required to be, by law, helps round out the complete picture. Your prof. may be interested in knowing that exactly the same thing is happening here. I am preparing to go after this material under the "Freedom of Information" law, which requires it be available. Generally, there is no such thing as "secret evidence" in an open court procedure. The one case of which I know was in the Rosenberg trial, when really vital evidence was accepted in secret, with the collaboration of defense counsel. Long after they were dead it was established as fraudulent. This is why secret evidence is incompatible with Angli-Saxon concepts of justice. This was alleged to be "national security" information. I can conceive of nothing that jeopardized national security, in any rational concept, as much as official violation of basic rights.

Your prof is not at all paranoid in telling you to make no more personal appearances in this matter. That was my feeling, but because I am not there I told you to consult him. This is not paranoia. It is prudence.

My friend John Pilger did not reply. I got another friend, with a major London paper, to have the same inquiry made. His paper also was denied the records. It surprises me that they have been without comment. Therefore, I will not try the Press Association. However, your suggestion on the Embassy is a good one. Would you please tell me exactly how to address the request, with full address, and to whom? I am fully prepared to be refused. I merely want to make a record that I asked everyone involved. If I do not have the complete story from the papers, I do have sufficient. But in a case like this, it can be reasoned that anything less than everything is insufficient. If the Embassy refers me to Bow St., you did send me that address. They, however, if they agree, will charge me for 200 pages of xeroxing, and that cost I cannot afford, so I must try everything else first.

I know Jeremy Campbell. He is one of the few reporters who broke his word when he gave it to me. I saw the first in-the-flesh miniskirtkoon his attractive wife. They are the kind of people who bought a second-hand and very expensive Lincoln in which to tour the U.S., which is one of the costlier and more ostentacious ways. He will not cooperate if there is any way to avoid it because he has taken a firm position on the other side and has a long and undeviating record of error to protect. But thanks.

Waste no time on Dawnay. I wrote him. He owes me money and would still owe me a tidy sum after paying the cost of xeroxing. He has not responded. And Eugene has not responded. You may, if you think he'd be interested, tell your prof. I will enjoy adding him to the Clobbersville population in the addition I have just begun writing (for COUP D'ETAT). Had the lawyers not abdicated their almost sacred responsibilities, none of these terrible things could have happened.

In all of this you have been helpful and again, quite sincerely, I think you. May I also suggest that you are learning about government as it is not taught in school?

May 12, 1969
Monday
London

Dear Mr. Weinberg,

I got in touch with my professor and he gave me the address of his British lawyer. We both felt it'd be better to try the English for the information rather than start with the Americans. So I will call him today and try to get some things going. My prof said he'd be glad to help. I'll write you again then.

Oh I must tell you, remember the book you sent for me to Col

Sam Wilson in Calif? I received a letter from him today and he said he started reading it immediately and that it confirms many of his suspicions - must have been a plot and not all the facts were reported. I was happy to hear about that.

Also my CIA friend said he had had many suspicions before reading the Manchester book but I gave him Whitworth to read which he said he loved. (I said I would refuse to discuss till he did that) but he said he'd got on it right away. I can't wait till he finishes it.

That is very very nice of you about sending me the 2 books. Really is. The thought meant a great deal to me. I don't give one to Dainny as he stated he wasn't too interested (because he was folding).

Your passage on your writing was beautiful. I can easily picture you writing as you described also. You put it right on the nose when you write about intellectuals being horrified by your anger and passion and how you tell it like it is instead of understating and all that. It's so true. But although everyone conforms today, it has

always been the non-conformists throughout history who have criticized the most to progress and direction. Altho' the conformists are seemingly reaping their now, you kind of success (and you are in every sense to me successful - it depends on your level of thought) is just so much more important. I mean it really means something, it has value and worth (writing). You courage in standing alone against almost everyone is tremendous. You'd probably never believe it but I hate praising things or people blindly and when I do, as with you, I truly mean it. I

never express adequately but the
feeling is there so strongly
I'll leave off and write you
when something is happening I'm
writing my English address so it's
quicker for you can continue to
use my American. (I'm in the midst
of moving to this new flat with my
mother who just came to Jordan and
my French girl friend) I'll mail (by
Joko care and I shall try
my best

Love

Cindy