## Day 62673

## The White House View of Friends and Foes

men has surfaced over and over again. ures implicated in the testimony of tive evidence on almost all of these John W. Dean III. Abundant corrobora-House attitude towards the major fig-Watergate is provided by the White The best guide to where it's at in

surely guilty of truly awful crimes, on the pressure, Mr. Nixon has been playing sweet violins to men almost his confidence. But far from putting truth from these men who so abused he would presumably try to force the If the President was truly innocent

tried to mobilize the CIA to interfere persuasive evidence that Haldeman gate cover-up. In particular, there is man smack in the middle of the Watermilitary officer has placed Mr. Haldesion. Sworn testimony by a reputable one Watergate villain in the Dean verchief of staff who figured as number Haldeman, the former White House with the FBI investigation of the case. Consider the case of H.R. (Bob)

been my privilege to know." of the finest public servants it has in accepting the Haldeman resignation of April 30, Mr. Nixon called him "one about Mr. Haldeman, On the contrary, of criticism from the White House But there has been no public word

the Watergate burglars. ments taken from the safe of one of the destruction of government docu-Ehrlichman played at least a part in Two persons have indicated that Mr. Ellsberg's psychiatrist back in 1971. fort to burglarize the office of Daniel man had advance knowledge of the efnumber two bad guy in the Dean tesiide on domestic affairs who figures as chman, the former chief White House hird parties shows that Mr. Ehrlichimony. Impressive testimony from Then there is the case of John Ehrl-

his conversations put out by the White The President, judging from logs of

> public servants it has been my privi also called him "one of the finest In accepting his resignation, Mr. Nixon April. But Mr. Ehrlichman, like Mr. Haldeman, was let go only on April 30. March, and almost all of it in midlege to know."

the Watergate break-in. Several credi advance discussions of what became John Mitchell. After lying about it for months and months, Mr. Mitchell has of espionage on the Democrats. But apart from occasional signs of ble witnesses have indicated that Mr break-in as part of a general campaign Mitchell approved himself acknowledged that he heard testimony is former Attorney General Another critical figure in the Dean the Watergate

nervousness at the popping off of Martina Mitchell, the White House has said nothing adverse with respect to Mr. election night, 1972. accepted a call from Mr. Mitchell on Mr. Nixon's phone calls show that he Mitchell in public. Indeed, the logs of

against Democratic political leaders party in the illegal sabotage operations been repeatedly identified as a central pointments secretary. Mr. Chapin has Chapin, formerly the during the 1972 campaign. Another important figure is Dwight President's

But Mr. Chapin left the White House



under no cloud. He was given a job by a federally regulated company that does not normally act in a way calculated to displease the President. The White House has constantly denied that Mr. Chapin was fired, or even eased out, because of Watergate connections.

As a final example, there is the case of William C. Sullivan. While serving as an assistant to J. Edgar Hoover, Mr. Sullivan spirited documents compromising the administration out of the FBI and into the White House. This act was apparently done without the knowledge of Mr. Hoover, and resulted in the growth of a bitter spirit of animosity towards Mr. Sullivan in the FBI.

But though forced out of office by Mr. Hoover, Mr. Sullivan was brought back to the Justice Department by the Nixon administration after Hoover died. He is now resigning as director of narcotics intelligence. But the resignation comes under fire of his former colleagues in the FBI, not with any adverse criticism from the President, the White House or even the Attorney General.

The solicitude shown for these men by Mr. Nixon cannot seriously be put down to scrupulous regard for the workings of the judicial process. Such scruples did not deter the White House from vilifying John Dean when it first seemed he was going to hit Mr. Nixon hard in his testimony.

In fact, the pattern of events suggests a contrary motive. Mr. Nixon seems to be flattering, protecting and otherwise sweetening precisely those who are in position to tell the truth and assure that justice be done. Maybe his motive isn't to buy their silence. But he certainly isn't behaving with the outraged sense normal to an innocent leader betrayed by the men he most trusted.

© 1973, Publishers-Hall Syndicate