

add who was there. From Dean? Description of what they deliberated, how, etc.

U

4  
the commercial value of the book that could not appear for many months.

But Tad Szulc is another story.

It is in "Give Us This Day", not in the index to The New York Times, that I learned that Nixon was Eisenhower's Bay of Pigs chieftain when Hunt was the CIA's.

Had Hunt not blabbed it would not be known.

He is the spook who blabs in the cold.

It is, however, quite remarkable that neither Szulc, who drew upon these proofs in a long article he wrote about Hunt for The New York Times Sunday Magazine of July \_\_\_\_, 1973, nor the other reporters and papers who had the same proofs before I obtained them, ever mentioned this earlier Hunt-Nixon connection.

Nor any of the other connections this talkative man disclosed in this writing of years earlier that the greed ~~to his~~ <sup>his</sup> and the longing for self-justification ~~in him~~ impelled him to offer for publication months after he was caught up in The Watergate.

These Hunt-exposed connections make it easier to charge and prove high crimes and misdemeanors other than those charged in the courts <sup>and in</sup> of the official hearings. These were, in fact, all hidden by and ~~in~~ <sup>during</sup> all the investigations, putting the investigators, all of them, in the position of aiding and abetting, of <sup>CC</sup> ~~accessories~~, of criminals themselves, although politicians never so conceive their own frailties and failings.

Had there been any real, diligent investigation, had the press <sup>really</sup> done its job by digging and reporting what it learned, Nixon would have been more vulnerable than a battalions of Achilleses. His greatest vulnerability, beginning that incredible moment no novelist would have dared invent, when ~~the~~ <sup>his</sup> crazy Cubans, while squandering a fortune, turned off the walkie-talkies to save pennies, was from Hunt. The most obvious ~~the~~ of the many reasons is that, despite all the lying, Hunt was as of that moement working for Nixon.

Q The first of the many White House war councils was on how to hide this. The answer was <sup>Hunt's</sup> simple: lie. Disassociate. Past Nixon employment could no more be denied than ~~was~~ Liddy's could be. So, it was pretended that, like Liddy, Hunt was no longer working directly for Nixon. If both, like Liddy and McCord, had been working for CREEP alone, they would still have been working for Nixon, personally, because CREEP was Nixon's personal creation and