a mysterious loan of \$850,-000 to lobbyist Jake watergate special prosecutor, once approved Jacobsen and his business partner, Ray Cowan. LEON Jaworski,

111

about an alleged milk bribe and also for misapplying \$825,000 in funds from one of his banks. Watergate grand jury federal indictment on two Jacobsen is now under dal.

Cowan, also indicted in the bank fund mis-application, is a fugitive. He fled the country and is

believed hiding out in South America.

The twists of fate that have tied Prosecutor Jacobsen and to the em-Jaworski to human dramas emerging from the Watergate scanfrom Texas - is one of the battled John Connally - all defendant

Jaworski approved the loan to Jacobsen and Cowan in his position as board member of the M. D. Anderson Foundation.

The most startling feature of the transaction is that the foundation

loan. The Star has pieced together the strange cir-cumstances leading to the The date could be crucial in determining its legality.

special prosecutor on the recommendation of Connally, was a partner in the law firm Fulbright, Crooker and Jaworski. Jaworski, before his appointment as Watergate

Fulbright and Crooker are dead so Jaworski is the head of the firm or, as he

claims not to know when likes to put it: "I'm the the loan was made. captain of this ship."

The Jaworski legal firm over the years built up a strong corporate clientele.

One of its main clients was the world's biggest cotton merchants, Anderson, Clayton and Co. It was headed by millionaire M. D. Anderson.

exempt trust. set up During his life, Anderson et up a charitable tax-

The president and chairman of the board of trustees of the Anderson Foundation is John H.

Freeman, a Jaworski law firm partner.

Another member of the board is W. B. Bates, also a Jaworski partner.

Also on the board is A.G. McNeese, chairman of a Houston bank in which Jaworski's law firm main-tains, the controlling interest.

the Anderson Foundation had granted a loan to id Jacobsen and Cowan of appeared in the 1970 tax on return filed by the foun-The first indication that

return, Under Item the foundation 21 of the

listed its notes receivable as \$1,300,211.46. Of that, the largest single sum was \$849,942 in

Cowan. the name of Jacobsen and The money, it noted, was dvanced against

There is no explanation why the loan was made, despite the fact that it is unusual for foundations to advanced dividuals. make money by lending money to private insecurities presented.

Foundations usually

## 于 D TOZA DANKORUK - PX

research. vest their funds or disburse,

themselves up in com-petition, so to speak, with banks and other financial institutions to lend money. Seldom do they set

The loan is even more puzzling when it is recognized that Jacobsen himself had a controlling interest in seven banks and savings and loan in-

Jaworski to Jacobsen and Cowan was not the only one Yet the loan approved by its kind, or an and the

> return for 1971, it is revealed that it also loaned \$500,-000 to Mr. Hugh Buck. In the foundation's

the Jaworski law firm, Mr. Buck is a partner in

1969.

dation's board dispense largesse to private friends of a founrare that a foundation will it is extremely

The most amazing aspect of the whole affair is that the Jaworski-bossed foundation says it does not know precisely when the loans were made. The loan to Buck, he said, was an "old loan." He added that it might have four to seven years ago.

years ago.

Cowan was made about ten

.flict.

that any foundation or in-It, is incomprehensible

since the tax reform law of stitution could make loans of \$850,000 and \$500,000 without recording the dates. Jaworski's law partner John Freeman, said tha the loan to Jacobsen and The dates could be vital why did a ten-year-old loan suddenly surface on a 1970 appear on a 1971 return? because of possible conmilk fund, involving Jacobsen and Connally, Jaworski, at the start, disqualified himself from "old loan" suddenly the investigation of the suddenly

The last

You've got t ... They won politicians g

been made anywhere from

MOUNCESTIONS DEOPTH

tik, 3 military. HE MORNIN

If Freeman is correct,

STATES AND AND THE SEA SEA SEAS AND THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PAR