Ehr-IfTuils add hm to infile

On Octo. 15,1874, John Ehrlichman's defense statement at the opening of the secalled "obstruction of justice" trial was that he had been deceived and used as a patay my Mixen and Haldeman. Commentators reported a "split" had been "in the sir" in "recent days." In fact, any competent analyst should have anticipated the eventuality as seen as it was known that Ehrlichman had been kept in the dark about Nixon's bugging. He then had to know, regardless of what he did or said publicly, that he could be a patsy. That Shrlichman signalled him defense publicly was in the draft of this beckmenths prier to the fact. The araft is unchanged to serve as still another illustration of the fact se dangerous to representative seciety, that in times of crises and greatest danger to the political system the press fails to impart meaning to what it does report. It also does net report all it can and should. The combination did permit the steady growth of ercoping American authoritarianism. It can be fatal to meaningful freedom. This wareported Ehrlichann defease is also another illustration that Watergate reporting was largely leaks, not the result of journalistic digging. It is axiomatic that leakers have their own interests to serve. In turn this means that the reporting was angled as these leaking to the press desired it to be, and that the real and full story of The Watergate was never reported although it is the most-reported of peacetime steries in history.