

12/2/69

Dear Emory,

Many thanks for your letter of the 29, the enclosures, and the effort reflected.

Your unanswered inquiries to Bill Turner going back to June are, perhaps, their own reflection of him, the role in which he casts himself, his sincerity and other things. However, I add this: you are better off with no answer than getting one you feel you can depend upon and then depending upon it. If he has done a single worthwhile thing in all of this it does not readily come to mind. Incredible as it is, with his ten years in the FBI, all that special training, the valuable experience one would assume is a by-product of both, and the best he has done is hurt us through a very effective and very bad influence on Garrison.

His record, in fact, is so very bad there are those who seriously regard him as a government agent (I do not). Their belief is not without reasonable foundation. While I appreciate your writing him, I strongly encourage you not to do so in the future, for he is eminently undependable, is loose with everything, especially fact and is just plain incompetent on top of it.

I avoid him whenever possible, and it is usually possible, both in SF and NO.

If I have not asked you before, have you followed the Penkovsky case? If you have, what kind of file, if any, have you on it? Or books? Do you by any chance have "Front of the Secret War", which was translated into English, by Tsitov and Chistyakov? If you do, I would like to borrow it if my efforts to locate a copy continue unsuccessful.

Also, have you any facilities for getting Russian translated? I have two Pravda stories, not very long, I'd like translated.

Do you know of any other books (aside from the Gibney "Penkovsky Papers") or serious, dependable articles dealing with it? Especially from the other side.

Again, thanks.

Sincerely,

EMORY L. BROWN, JR.
ROUTE 4, BOX 82
FARMINGDALE, NEW JERSEY 07727

PERSONAL

General Correspondence No. 44

June 9, 1969

Mr. William W. Turner
C/O Ramparts Magazine
301 Broadway
San Francisco, California
94133

Dear Mr. Turner:

On page 57 of Lee Oswald's notebook (GE-18) you will find the letter-number combinations, "DD 19106" and "DD 10206". A friend of mine has an idea that these may relate to Oswald's alleged involvement in intelligence activities on the behalf of the American Government. I was wondering if perhaps you had any information on this topic that you could give me?

Sincerely yours,

General Correspondence No. 57

EMORY L. BROWN, JR.
ROUTE 4, BOX 82
SQUANKUM RD.
FARMINGDALE, N. J. 07727

October 11, 1969

Mr. William W. Turner
Ramparts Magazine
301 Broadway
San Francisco, California
94133

Dear Mr. Turner:

On 9 June of this year I wrote you concerning the JFK Assassination. It is rather important for me to find out what significance if any, is attached to the numbers DD 19106 and DD 10206 which appears on page 57 of Oswalds notebook. I have been working on the case with several others for two years now and your assistance in this matter may be most helpful. Hope you will reply.

Also, there is the use of numbers such as T-1 and T-3 (etc.) which are used in several of the official reports. I understand that at time it has been the FBI's policy (in field offices) to credit information to such numbers when that information was secured by wire-tap. Do you think this to be the case in this matter?

RSVP

Sincerely,

EMORY L. BROWN, JR.
ROUTE 4, BOX 82
SQUANKUM RD.
FARMINGDALE, N. J. 07727

November 28, 1969

Mr. William W. Turner
C/O Ramparts Magazine
301 Broadway
San Francisco, California
94133

Dear Mr. Turner:

Enclosed, please find two letters to you (copies) designated as GC-44 and GC-57. You seem to be very much interested in the Assassination as am I and with your background I thought that perhaps you might be willing to give me some small assistance. If you could shed any light on the questions contained in the enclosed material I would appreciate it very much.

Sincerely yours,

Enclosures (2)