apy in RSU, Vallee

3/21/70

Dear Russ,

I were exchanged about 2 o'clock this morning by a friend who told me of the Swolnick broadcast. He has never seen COUP but immediately understood (he is a writer, an investigative reporter currently engaged in a tough, dangarous take) this had to be my material for one of Skolnick's men, in albright, and been in touch with him. There was no hint of any public use from you or through him. Rether it was entirely carrying forward the incomplete investigations. This friend phoned me about Monday. I sent him questions to be maked of Holden without indicating the answers, as a test of all involved, my friend get this yesterday, anche to albright yesterday, and, an ticipating what was about to mappen, did not even give than the questions. Because of earlier and unpleasant experiences with must be regards as Skolnick's potential for irresponsibility and love of ego trips, he had but northing to do with him for some years, his own work has, in the past, been seriously impaired but just such things Skonick has done.

Spilled milk is for cate, a futility for others. But it is good to learn from the spilling.

As I wrote you, I have been in truch with those whenhave the capability of arranging magazine erticles and the finds with which to finance the work. The man who arakened me put me in touch with them. He was told about me by an executive of a major publishing house, which will not touch my work in this area and it was his intention to help.

It remains to be seen sactuer any good can come from what Skolnick man done. If Bolden, with whom he was in touch, apeaks again, he has to be crazy. That demage was done I have little doubt. The question is the extent. When you are here you will better universand the ellipsis in my attached letter to WHOV.

I lon't as we to tell you that you expected Skolnick to call me and I ned already told you he sadn't. This looks like a pretty obvious play of the bind we all fell for.

All causes, as you will learn, attract the secannally dedicated who equate themselves with the cause and wind up thoroughly convinced that their personal desires and selfere as they conceive it are identical with serving the cause. I have described a few from my awn experience to you. Most often (and without exception in my field) they wind up doing not only more harm than good but with leaving some demograph that are beyond repair.

If WRSV does not give me a tare, I suppose I'll dave to buy it. I understand they sometimes cake transcripts of their more popular broadcasts. Because I am currently in negotiations/relations with those who could get real hung up about this, I hope I get it scon. If you have my way of expediting it, please try. And if you have friends who might be watching the papers, I would like clippings, too. A fellow named Weistraub, with the bun-Times, was there. There was also a some from Katz who did some questioning and seemed rather well informed, so well informed my friend wendered, he saked questions.

It haptens. You have to get used to it. But it is never pleasant. and in my experience there is no expection to the rule that on belonce, the effect is kerm, not help. This whole affeir hes capabilities you have no way of visualizing, as I told Lucht. By the way, His Skolnick tell you he was going to breadcast my stufff and the call ended 3 a.a.:

Best to both.