Dear Ruse.

*

A A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF

It is early in the morning, so I do not have today's meil. If you sent me anything, as you said you would, it had not arrived by yesterday.

I can delay no longer. There has been too much delay already. Therefore, I've written and will mail with this the enclosed letter to the clerk of the court. I can only hope he responds - soon.

There are remarkable coincidences. They disturb me. There is a similar case, where the men involved lacks Skolnicks p.r. skills, where he will not be as irresponsible, but where the consequences are as dangerous because the materials involved are much more significant.

Going alone with this is deliberate stelling by the D.J., to the point of open violation of the law. This is the kind of thing that hurts them in court, so I must attribute possible significance to it. There is no need for it at all, so I cannot assume they do not know what they are doing.

Skolnick's action exactly coincides with the filing of my suit, based on that book. Now, where there sgain, from their letters that are basic to this suit, no possible need for delay, they have gone to court to ask more time. Can I assume this is not because they intend to respond first to Skolnick, where they can be devastating?

Those things you promised me Sunday you should neve sent me unbidden, a month ago. Please delay no longer. It can be very important. If you have tried to make the arrangements I asked in Chicago and have not been able to, please let me know that also, so I can seek alternatives.

Meanwhile, one friend, who cannot openly involve himself, may be a seeking a TV confrontation. This would have certain advantages, but I think both shows he mentioned fear me and will not go for it. However, if they do, and if, as so often happens, suddenly, my need for you to speed it up is even greater. WCFL has pretended to the post office that it doesn't exist. I have given the postmester the first returned letter for him to send to the Chicago postmester and demand delivery. This was a mistake. I should have kept it, with the marks added. But I have the second, likewise returned. Makes me wonder if they have a shyster lawyer or if they fear there is an action against them.

Sincerely