Mr. Paul (Illegible)
Assistant to the Director (Disclosure)
Bareau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firesras
U.S. Treasury
Vash, D.C. 20226

7627 Old Receiver Reed Frederick, Ed. 21701 2/6/60

Dear Mr. Paul (Illegible).

I also have an illegible signature, but to those who do not know no and for those from whom I have reason to expect correspondence I provide my name in legible form.

Today your form latter and entirely undergribed employees come. The stamped date in Feb 1 1980.

There is no earthly or meanthly may in which I can relate that you send to the dequest you avoid identifying, except that you include a serux of my 12/25/75

FOIA request of the Degatiment of Justice. For your information that request is being littlemed as C.A. 75-1996. The coming calendar still is for this Friday.

At another point in these unbound ecolorumes there is a referral from of the FEL.

It is stoop dated May 4,1970. However, I am left to assess that this referral related to the case in court because you obliterated the masse, not doubt perceiving the "Jemonstrable Marm" your form letter includes as a remon for the withhelding. These people have not been afreid of home from se in our many manifelle I do not really believe they four "demonstrable harp" from an small sen she will soon be 67 years old. However, if you can specify this "demonstravic/ harm" I'm certain your seasons would be entertaining, if not personalize.

What can be perceived in more embaurament because you failed to not within the time specified in the Act and the Fall took a year or more to get around to the reformal, nother that and in special "summary judgment," naises in court and assessments that all teformals had been again uppn.

Your "desconstrable harm" fours are extensive. They include the United States attermey in Dallas (was he secretly SSA there?), the man King who tried to buy the Camald rifle and such the government (was that a secret trial and do I only imagine reading about it in the paper and receding mandrade of pages relating to it from the FEI?), the employ that sold General the rifle (Klein(a), the Chief of the General

Crimes Section of the Department of Justice (who was so little section of "semonstrable barm" from so that he both signed and typed his name in correspondence) the name of your "Chief Counsel" (does he weer a face mask and use an alias in court?), Madded Seaboard Traders in Los Angeles (they sold the Ogenid pistel, according to published facedwiles of the transfection you will find in the Warren "eport), the POLI/PA branch often of the PM (who is less timed text you and does might it when he writes see, which is not infrequently), and a few others, shoot all well known and all the others within an Order of the Court in C.A. 75-1996.

Your sourcey injects some confusion, in that you reflect received the referral and acting on it in May 1978 and yet also out on it under date of 2/1/80.

Your form includes but a single claim to exception, (b)(7)(C). Your record is held not quite unique (only because you have make a published saterials of the Marren what is in the FM's public reading room, the published saterials of the Marren "omnisation, its unclassified records re-dily swallable at the Sational Archives and the proceedings of the federal courts, not to sention radio, TV, namepapers, magazines and a number of books. To make this claim there must be a privacy to protect.

Some months ago I heard from a Ma. Minds Zanetti at Promonry, they for and from a Ar. Slake, as I recall the ness. I recally agreed to their taking sore time, on the claim of need. For this a need for more time? For what, chaoking the hely script? But I them did offer to help, particularly with regard to what is within the public demain. It new appears that the only reason by effer of help was not accepted was so that after solar on two years you could withinfil it.

The only "deconstrable harm" I see is to the act, the great waste of the manageral to deconcy in government. And this is an appeal.

Sincerely,

Berold Weisberg