Has center Tune of London - Fully
Dear Oliver, 91112 6/27/75

Your slightly encouraging letter of the 18th, postmarked the 21st, came today. I do take slight encouragement from it and hasten to give you some.

Except where you consider it necessary and then with the understanding that they keep it confidential, in confidence I have this to report: there is a possibility that some of the contents of POST MORTEM may be an individual Church committee Report. Also understand that if I face a conflict of interest and this time comes prior to my being able to arrange printing I'll resolve the conflict in favor of my obligations as a citizen. I've made this explicit and in return have assurances of complete confidentiality until the final moment.

I had a meeting on this just yesterday behind all that security and then in even more security, in a separated hideaway. (I regardix this as unnecessary but it is the way they feel they have to work and the political situation justifies it.) That staffer is to come here Wednesday and beginning 10 days later with a Senator, with whom I also met yesterday at his request. The Senator, a committee member, is an old friend and one I respect. He is the one American politician who was willing to run some risk back in 1965 and try to help me get published, with personal initiatives with the WaPost, Life, Look and Congressional committees. He had read the ms of my first book first. He is a lawyer, too.

Knowing the political realities I stayed away from him recently in the expectation that when the time came he'd call me. Our time together was short because he had a committee meeting at 10 a.m. but he used part of that time to cue his nominee on the committee in on our personal relationship of the past and on this subject, where he has shared my views from the first but in public silence if actively privately. However, he had also told his nominee what he wanted to get from me by way of recommendations and I'd gone into that earlier. This has two parts: the three major points for the committee and him to consider as they consider the JFK assassination and the proper approach.

I recommended that the committee restrict itself to its major mandate for which it will not have enough time and on this restrict itself to acquiring fact that can enable it to recommend a separate Senate investigation. If they do this or if he does I have guarateed them more than enough authentic evidence, that with which you are familiar, so they will have absolutely no work of their own to do and an absolutely solid case.

"Post Mortem is this, as ? you do now know I do not only from my own previous experience but from lawyers' readings, including one by a fried with a prestigeous firm where his job is readings for major publishers.

Now can one exaggerate the potential of a work that can cause an official inquiry? Going along with this are a number of changes and entirely new situations. Of these my newest litigation vs the FBI first. I've caught them in and charged them with perjury. Their response to my allegations of continued withholdings after swearing to none was of all things that I could make such charges ad infinitim and prove them because I know more about this subject than anyone in the FBI (picture it on the jacket!). All of this and more for which there is neither time nor space has forced the retirement at an age less than mine of the expert agent involved and the delivery to me of what is sensational proof of FBI fakery and the failure to do what it was obligated to do in its investigation. + more.

CBS has announced a fall special, 2 hrs. I know from inside another net that both others are following suit. Well, I've copyrighted all the basic work in 2 cases and own it. From inside CBS I know they are going on the assumption that they can rip off my work but I'm confident they can't legally. Meanwhile, on both cases they have been in touch with me. On King they are to be back next week. On JFK soon. I could not see them when the JFK team wanted it. (How surprised they were that I would not change my commitments!)

I'll follow your advice and leave all of this over there up to you, expecting that if and when the time comes you'll be in touch with Gordon Harbord. However, having heard nothing from you and knowing the realities and possibilities over here, I've been in touch with two publications over here, in each case on a proposal for first serial rights in return for a 5,000 print of 648 pp. of the unabridged book for me, with the first where I doubt the first where I doubt the first that the collection and if you for me, with the first where I doubt the first with the collection and if you have the first that the pest The there is no the first the first than the first than the first the first than the first than the first than the first the first than the first the first than the fir

and had decided that if there is not a deal soon and if my wife approves Ill go into debt further to bring this work out because I regard its success now as certain-

I now have a copy of the work. Playboy had gone for it all the way up to Heffner who, unexpectedly, chickened out. The whole vast bureaucracy. So you hang onto the one you have. If I can go further and if you have the time, I'd encourage you to start planning a condensation because I remain convinced that the money is in it and that this whole thing is so Byzantine that no popularization condensation can surgive without the backstopping of the complete work. For you this would have the advantage of a head start if I can arrange anything over here. If I have to go ahead with and can arrange another on my underground editions I am confident it will generate more interest and there will. be interest in the popularization. My only concern with it is faithfulness and I know that vast hunks have to come out in toto. But with the backstopping, much can be stated without worry about the detail in the full work. I believe the shorter the better. Also, I now have and can supply "new material." Important stuff, too. So there can be some sales of both editions. With the interest in the subject, this can be a real factor. Meanwhile, if what you may be able to work out is no more than a one-time newspaper use, that can help a) with financing the full Work over here and b) sales of the story elsewhere, which can also help. So, knowing there is no certainty, I feel a little better and I leave it in your hands, with thanks,

we guid. In all the supposed the sound to Sincerely, a so the to superjaces surpressed

while may leave expering that each one tide weretighet hopes, he can be passed that that had not are in It is not record a separation that the formest parties, if they do that or it is done of it is green the standard that is not the south souther with that we are it is a before you and the that,

n recommente al la montral de difficultat a proposition de la completa de la mode del la mode dela mode della Sent linka inga emilikera dista kan bas pedrik ina dhama rajan gotari sam sisa tal over open com population and primorphy and the file of the notion of securities, given any the stempth to their free the third but in public allows in or it is priviled, downer, be referre for the and decreasing referre hands of less that sugger large respirate for the fire ration secting at to the but be cord part of first that here the contains and type apperting after own off, a city controlled cape, but upon our process or process as process. - authorid, the trapportant constitutes y status touch function to constitute on a trapportant 1902014 8.0 THE ONE CONTRACTOR TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF ME OF THE CLASS BOTH CENTRE OF THE OF i remport. To la tre ene anticlare policioles une nec all'inci le aci il commune e città e 1969 and top ca solo se par prolicies, ulta present restablica e alta en cumpt, cale. must harmonical out the production. The benearing it of calculates acred the color of the of the or to be also have welconed, the begindly. We dept a ter into a commun. The brook a visio and good yeng speck often so to approve the body there opposing a finite of the property of war securing, to a experiosed ladescoy. (A recession filth on armounding into a ac-I MAY F BORDISK OF BUT THE DESCRIPTION OF BUILDING CONTRACTORS FOR BUT HERE WALL the Clark Ferror.

ason ta tall'incallat, perconditare i boys this in prover respect or proved and some secondit of loss some of the embrace of little builted may be an approbately than the collision here. There here to also a some percondition is a condition of information and the collision of the collision of the collision of the collision.

and the manufacture of the property of the state of the s

Action of the second of the se

Historia Trans of London of Lord

was 7 AG EDGG 2275 Sets