If not decisive infinence on the debates if I am get this completed in the.

So I write you in knote before lurying for hashington on the charge you have an interest and a reporter experienced enough in these kind of affairs, like low, the could draded bids are relevant materials for you.

Live interests to each to the state of the s

I will be writing to no other editor about it until I have completed the project because of its nature and because of a new development. Despite the long-time, improper official interest in me and the kinds of documents I have been accumulating over the years, I have never been roobed before. I had a file other than the one I went over with Oliver and Lew. It is gone and a few other things with it. They can not possibly be misfiled. The package in particular cannot be because I have no file cabinet that would hold it. I did not discover this until I reached the point in the book where I wanted to use some of the documents.

I am writing the book I described to both men and am most of the way through the initial draft.

Because of this I believe I'll have to be more circumspect with that of which I write this letter. Not because I fear a theft, because I do not and have already duplicated multiple copies out of my possession. It is rather because I believe the Watergate official interests are using me by being responsible for my being able to obtain an entirely different official transcript. It is about 90 pages long, is not of Nixon talking to others, is a truly sensational document in its own right and has what I believe to be great usefulness for Nixon and his henchmen, those his need requires him to probet.

This transcript, of a meeting of the most eminent, is the most devasting commentary on the intelligence and police services of the federal government that in my long experience with one and investigating both is without equal.

I know I can trust you to preserve confidence, so I tell you that one of the participants was the late Allen Dulles, former CIA head. 't was just before he died. His is the most thoroughgoing condemnation of the integrity and honor of these people, the most complete destruction of any reason ever to take the word on any. He included himself, even under oath.

It has taken me years to get this and I believe that could it not now serve the interests of General Haig's "sinister forces" I would not have it. I have two problems with it: getting it out and not serving those interests but serving the interest of truth and justice only.

What I am doing is preparing to print this in facsimile, one of the "underground" books I invented, along with a series of relevant FBI and Secret Service reports I have. These reports have not been published and are referred to, directly and indirectly, in the transcript.

As withit the other proposals I made to you, I am without the means of printing this. It is im is the hope that you may see enough in this for your own and subsidiary use and rights to make the printing possible that I write. Meanwhile, a young lawyer friend is seeing if he can borrow the money to pay the printer.

There is another area that is close to you because of your own courage in which this document, illuminated with other suppressed official evidence, can be of great and immediate importance. The terrified courts over here have rewritten the Freedom of Information law, most of all though a decision in one of a series of cases I filed. The initial decision was procured by false swearing and other corruption. The Senate has passed an amendment. The amendment is now in conference. If it survives that and then is passed by both houses, a Nixon veto is likely. It is explicit in the debate that the purpose of the amendment is to nullify the decision in Weisberg v. Department of Justice. Two young lawyers, just getting started and without means but competent in matters relating to this law, believe what I can can have a significant

If not decisive influence on the debates if I can get this completed in time.

So I write you in haste before leaving for Washington on the chance you have an interest and a reporter experienced enough in these kind of affairs, like Lew, who could examine this and relevant materials for you.

I have not indicated all the considerable news values in this document, merely those of greater current topicality. The others are extraordinarily sensational. I will have illustrations other than facsimile reproduction of FBI and Secret Service reports and I have it all in my possession, with duplicates elsewhere, from my earlier work. I have been working toward this for years, so except for being taught by surprise and when I am overly consisted, I am prepared for this document.

I will be writhing to no other editor about it until I have completed the project boccause of its acture statements of a new development, begins the long-time, inpurper official interest in as and the line of decuments I have been accumulating ever the years. I have never been roobed before. I had a like other than the one I went ever dit 0. gredaiot, blorallin one and a few other tidays with it. They can not possibly so mathied. The package in particular cannot be because I have not the commune that whist which hold it. I did not aircover this until I reached the point in the book where I wanted to use some of the necessants.

I am writing the book I constilled to both new and an most of the way through the

Section of this I believe I'll have to care chromaport with that the obtained I winder I do not be supported in the color of the state of the color of the color.

This transcript, of a meeting of the most endment, is the most cevasting commentary on the invelingence and police corridos of the foneral accomment that in my long experience with one and investigating both is without equal.

I know I can trust you to premerte confidence, so I tell you that one of the participants was in late (lien believe former file head. It was just before he died. He is the nest thereugheoing condemnation of the integraty am buser of these people, the most complete destruction of any reason ever to take the word or say. He included himself, even under cath.

If he taken he years to get this and I bankers that could it not now serve the interests of General Holf's "sinister forces" I sould not have it. I have two problems with its getting it out and not cerving those interests but sarring the interest of truth and justice only.

What I am doing is preparing to print this an faceialle, one of the "uncerground" books I invented, along with a series of relevant PSI and beset activing reparts I have reports have not been published and are referred to, directly and incidencely, in the transcript.

As with the other proposals I made to you, I so without the means of printing this. It is in is the hope that you may see shough in this for your own and subsidient new med rights to make the printing possible that I write. Heavelle, a young lawyer fraced as seeing if he can borrow the money to pay the printer.

There is another than that is about to you because of your own courage in which this document, illustinated with other suppressed oflicial evidence, can be of great and i redicte inportance. The tradition couries over here have rewritten the Treeder and in rediction in most of all though a decision in one of a series of cauce I filed. The in tradition was produced by folice swaring and other correction. The haute has passed an accordance, the amonument is now in conference. If it survives that and then is passed by both houses, a Mixon veto is likely. It is explicit in the department the purpose of the amendment is to nullify the decision in Motebra 1. Directors and in its survives that and most in instance relating to this law, believe what I can use have a significant component in reters relating to this law, believe what I can use have a significant



THE SUNDAY TIMES

P.O. Box 7 200 Gray's Inn Road London WC1X 8EZ

Telegrams Sunday Times London WC1 Telex 22269 Telephone 01-887 1234

From the Editor

Harold Weisberg, Esq., Rt. 8, Frederick, Md. 21701 U.S.A.

19th June 1974

Dear Mr. Weisberg,

Thanks for your letter of the 6th June. I'll have a talk with the people you mention, and many thanks for the suggestions and information.

Kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

Harold Evans Editor

4