Radio news reports of casualties for the "previous week" - which are not for either a week or the one previous, but for a seven-day period not a Sunday-through Saturday week*- focus on the duplicity, incompetence or stupidity or a combination of these things - on the part of both military and diplomatif leaders.

With the repidly-increasing battle tolls, much larger than admitted, accor according to news dispetches, some explanation has to be made. The explanation given is that thousands of North Vietnamese regular army troops are now fighting in South Viet Nam. American commanders and troops slike are generously quoted in unstinting praise of their new adversaries, as, indeed, how else could they be quoted, since they had just been clobbered by the foe?

Admitting that if he said any more or were he more pointed or if he gave the figures he could give he would be explse expelled from South Viet Nam and CES would lose its accreditation, Morley Safer on the evening TV news November 23rd said the canualties in just two companies of American troops in the Is Drang them betthe rendered it close to ineffective. How close, he deflared, he days not say. Of more than 400 men, how many must be casualties before the units lose their effectiveness?

The morning radio news (too late for the morning papers) announce the

most recent official figure, not for the previous seven days or the previous week, but a neatly arranged gerrymander allowing the best possible face to be put on it, of 240 dead. It would seem that the Is -Drang battel alane would account for these.

But where did these new enemy troops from the north come from, how, why end when did they get there?

From the first, the US Commenders, from the top down, herelded a great victory, beginning with the complete destruction of opposing forces, In some mysterious way, the slaughtered enemy was resurrected from the dead, a condition in which it would seem they were they were induced by adding machines rather than bullets, an so delabored and bewildered their Americans Who had so overwhelmingly defeated them that the American officers could not and did not call for idle reinforcements nearby, languidly awaiting a call!

After more than a week, news dispatches of November 23 quietly and without in any way re-evaluating the situation referred to the Is Drang battle as a draw- neither side won.

In the careful escelation planned and engineered by the US military, with the tacit agreement if not the active support and participation of the known diplomatic, who should have/and <u>did know</u> better, the total abandonment of all

international law and desire for the respect of other peoples was dignified

and justified by calling it an interdiction to prevent the "infiltration" of North Viet Namese forces. The State Department issued a White Book al legedly documenting the intrusion of both arms end men from the north, the clear import and specific language of which was that these wefe the man and these the arms they used in the battles in the south. No major segment of the press bothered to read the White Book, which proved exactly the opposite. Of the cases cited in the White "ook, perhaps two of the men might be considered North Vietnamese. Almost none of the weapons were of this origin, and the total of all the wespons, - all of which could have been bought on the open market from a dealer within easy walking distance of the Bentagon - was a minute fraction of the weepons in the hands of the opposing forces in the south. The only too well known fact, the historical certainty with any kind of a guerrilla wer, is that the weapons used by these forces were, for the most part, taken by conquest of donation from their enemies. These weapons originated in the United States and were sent to Viet Nam to be used against those who fired them back at us and our "allies". The case of China should have been only too fresh in the minds of the U.S. militery, for it was they who supplied the arms and, in fact, trained most of the men who won the revolution for Mao. The then-current news reports

were almost monotonous in their painful ennsistency: As fest as the United States trained and armed Chaing forces on Formosa and shipped them to the mainlend, these fresh troop, from commanding generals to yard birds and sometimes in complete units as large as divisions, deserted to the ^Communist side, taking all their arms with them.

But either incapable of learning, owwrwhelmed by their own egos, or for more sinister purposes, the military and diplomatic leaders persuaded the President to wage war without declaring it, merely one of the shameful parallels with Hitler's menthods. As justification they told him - and he told the world this was to prevent the dispatch of men and material to the south from the borth. A fantastic and really staggering tonnage of bombs was dropped in North Viet Nam. "argets were allegedly approved by the President himsalf but actually often included, as officially they were supposed to, what were called "targets of convenience" - whatewer struck the fancy of individual pilots. Inevitably, regardless of the basis for the selection of the target, there were great civilian losses and little military damages. The history they themselves had written of strategic bombing in World War 11 did not deter the military, for

this history showed such bombing defeated its declared purposes and instead

unified the sttacked and improved their morale, resulting in greater popular support for the government - and remember, this was for such governments as Hitlers!

Perhaps because they willed it, and who couls stand against their will? the generals decided such bombing would end the mythical invasion from the north in Viet Nam, an invasion that existed merely in American propaganda. Perhaps the real purpose of the inhuman and except again for Hitler unprecidented onslaught on an innocent population was more sinister, for whether or not so intended, from the reports of the U.S. command in the field byfore the end of 1965, this mythical invasion became a very real one, and it resulted in a mortality rate among American troops as severe as had been the attrition rate among American aviation over North Viet Nam, where a primitive and backward government and its peasant population had wrought unbelievable destruction among the attacking planes. Even if the American figures for the losses were correct, and they were but a fraction of those claimed by the North Viet Namese (and no side ever tells the truth about its losses) they war shocking and staggerperple. ing and very likely on a then-unequaled scale. The full import of a semi-savage, by American stories, shooting down planes flying faster than the speed of sound

with primitive hand-arms, was never publicly acknowledged or in any way explained to the American people.

Apparently well-documented claims of repeated stacks on non-military targets, the most femous of which was a leprosarium claimed to have been clearly marked, were denied in the United States but widely reported and bleieved in the rest of the world. Bridges in such number they would scared scarcely be expected to emist in such a blighted and beckward land were demolished by brave aviators, flying in opposition to no abemy eviation, which was virusually nonexistent anyway, only to be destroyed all over again, and again and again. Ferries were sunk by bombs, and ever all moving traffic on the roads were bombed and strafed, and publicly admitted -imagine that! and think of Guernics. All to prevent the flow of men and arms to the south.

What ectually happened, according to the new version by the American military, is that all of this interdiction, all this slaughted and destruction, all this barbarity and open contempt for our own self-respect and the decent feelings of the rest of the world, is that there was an influx of both men and erms that was both completely undetected and in great number.

Prior to the period of the Is Drang battle and beginning with the Presidents late Spring pseeches, there were vague but credited references to the

references to the presence, if not in bettle, &f either a North Viet Namese unit or elements of it. Secretary Rusk rpe repeated its number over and ower again, usually declaring its removal would be taken as a token by the United States which might then consider ceasing its attacks on the north. But at Is Dreng, varying number of North Viet Namese regiments, up to five being claimed by some U.S. commenders, were alleged to be involved in that wattle alone.

7

What could have been expected as a consequence of the shameful attack on the by modern military standards defenseless north? What should have been anticipated of the monumental invasion of South Vietnam by a hundred thousand American troops bearing the most modern arms in the most staggering variety and quantity? Was it expected that Ho Chi Minh would commit hari kiri, or that he would makt into the rain forests, or abandon the successful struggle of his entire life? That the Chinese would thow away what so many hundreds of thousands of them had diesd for ? Ware they to suddenly die of fright, these peoples who had won their countries in wars they began with a handful of supporters and but a few of the most primitive weapons, fighting against the best ^French toops and the best American equipment? Or were they to turn into cowards? What alternative did the openly declared American policy and its equally blatant fulfilment leave North Vist Nam or ^China?

The least that could be expected was as much assistance as could be given to the guerillas in the south, the natives who had already won their war over the United States puppets supplemented by about 30m000 American tropps and uncounted airplanes, artillary and some of the most heinous inventions of mass destruction, such as mapalm and phosphorus bombs that birned and tortured uncountable thousands of villagers.

But at least overtly the United States ^Govrnment pretended that what it would do its oponent would not. The reason openly given in October and ^Movember of 1965 for earlier refusel to even talk with the opposition was That our side was whipped and would not talk from a position of weekness. Was the toehr side to weit until it was whipped and negotiate from its weekness? Can their ever be negotiation if each side waits unly until it can dictate from a postion of strength?

The unashamed position of the United States is that it had a legal and moral right to invade a country 7,000 miles away, destroy it and its population, manipulate the puppet government it had installed in violation of international agreement it had committed itself to honor, but that the poeple of

territory - legally, despite American allegations, it is not a country - had no right to **maxim** resist us and their brothers. with whom by this same international agreement they were to have been re-united could not in any way assist them.

9

We, from 7,000 miles away were not inveders; the natives were! And by the end of November, after the frightening escalation of destruction wrought by American power, less, not more of the south was in the hands of our stooges; more, not fewer of their troops and ours were cesualties. Saigon could not be adequately supplied by electricity. A new and already aging electric generater less than ten miles away could not be used, and emother, newere one under construction by the Americans ever closer to the city could be worked on only in daylight for our side did not control the countryside almost within hailing distance of the "cepital"?

Such is the bitter fruit of a policy that, if honestly declared, is

and studidity

a monument to the ignorance of the men who formulated and declared it; and if

not honestly stated is high point in international duplicity and dishonesty previously attain by only the fascist ebemy of World War 11.